Phase Diagram of Non-Degenerate Twisted Mass Fermions

Derek Horkel University of Washington



Based on work done in collaboration with Steve Sharpe [Paper in Progress]

Lattice 2014 Columbia University June 23, 2014

Outline

- Motivation
- Background
- Non-Degenerate Untwisted Quarks at Finite Lattice Spacing
- Non-Degenerate Maximally Twisted Quarks
- Critical Manifold for Arbitrary Twist
- Conclusions

Motivation

Present simulations are frequently in the "Aoki regime" (m~a²)



- Increasingly, non-degenerate up and down quarks are used
- How is the competition between quark mass and discretization effects impacted by this non-degeneracy?
- In this talk, I study this question for Wilson and twisted mass fermions in lattice chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) focusing on the phase diagram and pion spectrum

Background

Continuum SU(3) ChPT

- In leading order (LO) SU(3) ChPT, CP is spontaneously violated due to the condensate, <Σ>, becoming complex [Dashen 1971], [Creutz 2004]
- The transition occurs where the π_0 is massless

$$\mathcal{V}_{SU(3)LO} = -\frac{f^2}{4} \operatorname{tr}(\chi \Sigma^{\dagger} + \chi^{\dagger} \Sigma)$$

$$m_{\pi_0}^2 = \frac{2}{3} B_0 \left(m_u + m_d + m_s - \sqrt{m_u^2 + m_d^2 + m_s^2 - m_u m_d - m_u m_s - m_s m_d} \right)$$

Vanishes at: $m_u = \frac{-m_s m_d}{m_s + m_d}$



LO SU(2) Lattice ChPT



Non-Degenerate Quarks In ChPT

- LO results in SU(2) ChPT are unaffected by non-degeneracy; the effect seen at LO in SU(3) enters at NLO in SU(2)
- SU(2) and SU(3) theories can be matched, expanding in inverse strange quark mass
- At leading order in 1/m_s, only the I₇ Gasser-Leutwyler coefficient is necessary [Gasser & Leutwyler 1984]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{SU(2)} \supset \frac{l_7}{16} [\operatorname{tr}(\chi^{\dagger}\Sigma - \Sigma^{\dagger}\chi)]^2 & m_q \equiv B_0(m_u + m_d) & \epsilon \equiv B_0(m_u - m_d) \\ m_{\pi^0 SU(3)LO}^2 = m_q - \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon^2}{4B_0 m_s}}_{q_s} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{u,d}^4}{m_s^3}\right) & l_7 = \frac{f^2}{8B_0 m_s} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{u,d}}{m_s^2}\right) \\ m_{\pi^0 SU(2)NLO}^2 = m_q - \frac{l_3 m_q^2}{4f^2} - \underbrace{\frac{2l_7 \epsilon^2}{f^2}}_{f_s} + \mathcal{O}\left(m_{u,d}^3\right) \end{aligned}$$

New Results



Non-Degenerate Quarks with Finite Lattice Spacing m_{μ} $(\Sigma) - e^{i\theta \hat{n}\cdot\vec{\tau}}$



The Aoki phase is continuously connected to the continuum CP violating phase!

Pion Masses



Pion Masses



Power Counting

 We have considered the I₇ term in the SU(2) O(m²) potential but the comparable I₃ term has been neglected

$$\mathcal{V}_{SU(2)} \supset -\frac{l_3}{16} [\operatorname{tr}(\chi^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}\chi)]^2 + \frac{l_7}{16} [\operatorname{tr}(\chi^{\dagger}\Sigma - \Sigma^{\dagger}\chi)]^2$$

- The I₃ term does not qualitatively alter the phase diagram near m_q=0 and is not necessary in qualitatively matching the SU(2) and SU(3) phase diagrams
- In the Aoki regime (m~A²), if an m² term is included then mA, A³ and A⁴ terms should also be included
- As I have checked, while these terms do qualitatively change the phase diagram, they do not change it as significantly as the I₇ term

Twisted Quark Mass

 $B_0 \widetilde{M} = \widetilde{m_q} \mathbb{1} + \epsilon \tau_3 \to \widetilde{m_q} e^{i\tau_1 \omega} + \epsilon \tau_3 \equiv m \mathbb{1} + i\mu \tau_1 + \epsilon \tau_3$

• With non-degenerate quarks, the twist cannot be in the τ_3 direction to maintain a real fermion determinant [Frezzotti and Rossi 2003]

 $\frac{\mathcal{V}_{A^2}}{f^2} = -m\cos\theta - \mu n_1\sin\theta - w'\cos^2\theta - c_l n_3^2\epsilon^2\sin^2\theta$ Untwisted Twist Discretization Isospin Breaking Term Term Term Term

 In practice maximal twist (m=0) is most interesting and we consider this first

Maximal Twist (m=0) Phase Diagram $\langle \Sigma \rangle = e^{i\theta \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\tau}}$ μ $\sin\theta = 1$ $n_1 = 1$ $\sin\theta =$ $\sin\theta = 1$ $n_1 = \frac{|\mu|}{2c_l\epsilon^2}$ $n_1 = \frac{|\mu|}{2c_l\epsilon^2}$ ϵ $n_3 = \sqrt{1 - n_1^2}$ $n_3 = \sqrt{1 - n_1^2}$ $\sin \theta = -1$ $\sin\theta =$ $\sin\theta = -1$ $n_1 = 1$

Aoki Scenario and the continuum (w'≤0)



First-order Scenario (w'>0)

Maximal Twist Pion Masses



Maximal Twist Pion Masses

First-Order Scenario (w'>0)



Critical Manifold For Arbitrary Twist

- Minimizing the full, arbitrary twist potential results in a critical manifold of second-order transition.
- Along this boundary at least one pion is massless



Conclusions

- The continuum CP violating phase and the Aoki phase are continuously connected
- The critical surface of this phase can be closer to the physical point when discretization effects are considered
- When non-degenerate quarks are introduced, generic twist, including maximal twist, results in a more complicated phase diagram and non-degenerate pions
- Higher order terms modify the phase diagram but the effects are not significant

References

- Sinya Aoki. New Phase Structure for Lattice QCD with Wilson Fermions. Phys.Rev., D30:2653, 1984.
- Michael Creutz. Spontaneous violation of CP symmetry in the strong interactions. Phys.Rev.Lett., 92:201601, 2004.
- Roger F. Dashen. Some features of chiral symmetry breaking. Phys.Rev., D3:1879–1889, 1971.
- R. Frezzotti and G.C. Rossi. Twisted mass lattice QCD with mass nondegenerate quarks. Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl., 128:193–202, 2004.
- J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler. Chiral Perturbation Theory: Expansions in the Mass of the Strange Quark. Nucl.Phys., B250:465, 1985.
- Stephen R. Sharpe. Observations on discretization errors in twisted-mass lattice QCD. Phys.Rev., D72:074510, 2005.
- Stephen R. Sharpe. Effective field theories for lattice QCD. "New Horizons in Lattice Field Theory" Natal, Brazil, March 13-27, 2013 <u>http://www.phys.washington.edu/~sharpe/brazil13/sharpe_brazil1.pdf</u>
- Stephen R. Sharpe and Jr Singleton, Robert L. Spontaneous flavor and parity breaking with Wilson fermions. Phys.Rev., D58:074501, 1998.
- K. Symanzik. Continuum Limit and Improved Action in Lattice Theories. 2. O(N) Nonlinear Sigma Model in Perturbation Theory. Nucl.Phys., B226:205, 1983.

Extra Slides (Higher order)

Order ma~a³

• To see how higher order terms in discretization error effect this picture, we need to include two more terms in the potential,

$$\mathcal{V}_{A^3} = -W\operatorname{tr}(\chi^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}\chi)\operatorname{tr}(A^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}A) - \frac{W_{3,3}}{f^2}\operatorname{tr}((A^{\dagger}\Sigma)^3 + (\Sigma^{\dagger}A)^3)$$

• Parametrizing Σ and absorbing the part of $W_{3,3}$ proportional to cos θ into m yields:

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}_{A^3}}{f^2} = -(m\cos\theta + \mu n_1\sin\theta)(1 + \delta_w\cos\theta) - w'\cos^2\theta - c_l n_3^2\epsilon^2\sin^2\theta - w_3\cos^3\theta$$

• The w₃ term which is cubic in cos θ which will introduce asymmetry between positive and negative m. Both the w₃ and δ_w terms will shift the w'>0 first-order transition line off the imaginary mass axis. These terms also make the potential more difficult to analyze exactly.

A detailed discussion of these effects for $\varepsilon=0$ can be found in [Sharpe 2008]



