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## Motivation

> A precise $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ will improve understanding on unitary triangle, CP violation and weak decay.
$>$ Exclusive semileptonic decay $B \rightarrow \pi \ell v$ to determine $\left|V_{u b}\right|:$
Theory (Lattice or LCSR) + Experiment
$>$ Rare decay $B^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$ GIM, Cabibbo, loop suppressed


## Exclusive decays:

BF \% from experiments:

- BaBar 2010: 6.1\% 1005.3288v2
- Belle 2011: 5.3\% 1012.0090
- BaBar 2012: 5\% 1208.1253
- Belle 2013 tagged: 7.7\% 1306.2781 HFAG PDG 2013: 3.1\%

Unquenched Lattice : at $q^{2} \sim 20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$

- HPQCD 2006: ~10\%
- FNAL/MILC 2008: ~9.4\%


## Form factors

> The partial decay rate is related to $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ and form factor $f_{+}$(zero lepton mass limit) by

$$
\frac{d \Gamma}{d q^{2}}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \ell^{-} \nu\right)=\text { Phase space } \times\left|V_{u b}\right|^{2}\left|f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

> The heavy-to-light hadronic matrix element

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\pi| \mathcal{V}^{\mu}|B\rangle & =f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(p_{B}^{\mu}+p_{\pi}^{\mu}-\frac{M_{B}^{2}-M_{\pi}^{2}}{q^{2}} q^{\mu}\right)+f_{0}\left(q^{2}\right) \frac{M_{B}^{2}-M_{\pi}^{2}}{q^{2}} q^{\mu} \\
& =\sqrt{2 M_{B}}\left[v^{\mu} f_{\|}\left(E_{\pi}\right)+p_{\perp}^{\mu} f_{\perp}\left(E_{\pi}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

> Form factor $f_{0}$ is also important in some BSM models ( $\tau$ lepton).
$>$ We also calculate the tensor form factor $f_{T}$

$$
\langle\pi| \mathcal{T}^{\mu \nu}|B\rangle=-\frac{q^{2}\left(p_{B}+p_{\pi}\right)^{\mu}-\left(M_{B}^{2}-M_{\pi}^{2}\right) q^{\mu}}{M_{B}+M_{\pi}} f_{T}\left(q^{2}\right)
$$

for the rare $B^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$decay $\quad(1303.6010,1312.2523)$

## Simulation data

> Twelve $\left(N_{f}=2+1\right)$ asqtad MILC ensembles; Four lattice spacings
> Full-QCD asqtad staggered light quarks; Fermilab $b$ quark.
> Improvements with respect to FNAL/MILC 20080811.3640

- Increased statistics: 2 X ensembles, $\sim 3 \mathrm{X}$ number of configurations
- Finer lattice spacings: $a_{\text {min }}=0.09 \mathrm{fm} \rightarrow 0.045 \mathrm{fm}$
- Smaller light quark masses: $\left(M_{\pi}=177 \sim 450 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$

$$
\text { Part of FNAL/MILC } 2008
$$

| $a(\mathrm{fm})$ | $a \hat{m}^{\prime} / a m_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sim 0.12$ | $0.01 / 0.05$ | $20^{3} \times 64$ | $4 \times 2259(592) 4.5$ | 389 |  |
|  | $0.007 / 0.05$ | $20^{3} \times 64$ | $4 \times 2110(836) 3.8$ | 327 |  |
|  | $0.005 / 0.05$ | $24^{3} \times 64$ | $4 \times 2099(529) 3.8$ | 277 |  |
| $\sim 0.09$ | $0.0062 / 0.031$ | $28^{3} \times 96$ | $4 \times 1931(557) 4.1$ | 354 |  |
|  | $0.00465 / 0.031$ | $32^{3} \times 96$ | $4 \times 984$ | 4.1 | 307 |
|  | $0.0031 / 0.031$ | $40^{3} \times 96$ | $4 \times 1015$ | 4.2 | 249 |
|  | $0.00155 / 0.031$ | $64^{3} \times 96$ | $4 \times 791$ | 4.8 | 177 |
| $\sim 0.06$ | $0.0072 / 0.018$ | $48^{3} \times 144$ | $4 \times 593$ | 6.3 | 450 |
|  | $0.0036 / 0.018$ | $48^{3} \times 144$ | $4 \times 673$ | 4.5 | 316 |
|  | $0.0025 / 0.018$ | $56^{3} \times 144$ | $4 \times 801$ | 4.4 | 264 |
|  | $0.0018 / 0.018$ | $64^{3} \times 144$ | $4 \times 827$ | 4.3 | 224 |
| $\sim 0.045$ | $0.0028 / 0.014$ | $64^{3} \times 192$ | $4 \times 801$ | 4.6 | 324 |

## Correlator fits

> Use ratios constructed from two- and three-point functions 0811.3640
> Fitting with $B$-meson excited state to reduce systematic effect

$$
R_{\Gamma}(t) / k_{\Gamma}=f_{\Gamma}^{l a t}\left[1+\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} e^{-\Delta M_{B}\left(T_{a}-t\right)}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& k_{\|}=1 \\
& k_{\perp}=\left|p_{\pi}^{i}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$




## Chiral and continuum extrapolation

> We use NLO staggered heavy-light meson $\chi \mathrm{PT}+\mathrm{NNLO}$ analytics terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & f^{(0)\left(c_{0}(1+\operatorname{logs})+c_{1} m_{q, v a l}+c_{3} E_{\pi}+c_{4} E_{\pi}^{2}+\right.} \begin{array}{r}
c_{5} a^{2} \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\text { Leading order form: } f_{\|}^{(0)}=1 / f_{\pi}, f_{\perp, T}^{(0)}=\left(1 / f_{\pi}\right)\left(g /\left(E_{\pi}+\Delta_{B B^{*}}\right)\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

> Use hard-pion approximation because the external pions are too energetic to satisfy $E_{\pi} \sim M_{\pi} . \quad 1011.6531,1006.1197$
> Use $\mathrm{SU}(2) \chi \mathrm{PT}$ in which the strange sea quark is integrated out. The NNLO SU(3) extrapolation is not stable with the data used: almost identical $m_{s, \text { sea }}$ $\rightarrow$ not able to fit reliably with $m_{s, \text { sea }}$ dependence. A new ensemble with $a \approx 0.12 \mathrm{fm}$, $m_{l} / m_{s}=0.005 / 0.005$ is included to stablize the fit.
> The $B-B^{*}-\pi$ coupling $g_{\pi}=0.45 \pm 0.08$ is from lattice determinations. 1109.2480
> Incorporate HQ discretization effects in the chiral fit 1112.3051

$$
f=f^{(0)}\left[c_{0}\left(1+\operatorname{logs}_{\text {hard }}^{N L O}\right)+\delta f_{\text {analytic }}^{N L O}+\delta f_{\text {analytic }}^{N N L O}\right]\left(1+\delta f^{\mathrm{HQ} \text { disc. }}\right)
$$

## Chiral-continuum fit results

chi2/[dof] $=1.1[48]$,pvalue $=0.34$


| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.12 \mathrm{fm} 0.10 \mathrm{~ms}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.12 \mathrm{fm} 0.14 \mathrm{~ms}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.12 \mathrm{fm} 0.20 \mathrm{~ms}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.09 \mathrm{fm} 0.05 \mathrm{~ms}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.09 \mathrm{fm} 0.10 \mathrm{~ms}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.09 \mathrm{fm} 0.15 \mathrm{~ms}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.09 \mathrm{fm} 0.20 \mathrm{~ms}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.06 \mathrm{fm} 0.10 \mathrm{~ms}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.06 \mathrm{fm} 0.14 \mathrm{~ms}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.06 \mathrm{fm} 0.20 \mathrm{~ms}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\mathrm{a} \approx 0.06 \mathrm{fm} 0.40 \mathrm{~ms}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ |
| $a \approx 0.045 \mathrm{fm} 0.20 \mathrm{~ms}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| : cont. phys. limit |  |




## Systematic errors

> The NNLO statistical error covers different variations in the chiral and continuum extrapolation. Quote no additional systematic for $\chi$ PT.
> All other errors are sub percent and are largely independent of $q^{2}$.



## Error budget at $q^{2}=20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$

> The kinematic point $q^{2}=20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ represents the region where the lattice uncertainty and experimental uncertainty are comparable.
Decisive to determine $\left|V_{u b}\right|$.
> The errors in " $\left(\right.$ )" are already included in "Statistical $+\chi \mathrm{PT}+\mathrm{HQ}+g_{\pi}$ "

| Uncertainty $(\%)$ | $\delta f_{+}\left(q^{2}=20\right)$ | $\delta f_{0}\left(q^{2}=20\right)$ | $\delta f_{T}\left(q^{2}=20\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistical $+\chi \mathrm{PT}+\mathrm{HQ}+g_{\pi}$ | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| (NLO $\chi \mathrm{PT})$ | $(1.1)$ | $(0.6)$ | $(0.8)$ |
| (Heavy quark discretization) | $(<1.5)$ | $(<1.5)$ | $(<1.5)$ |
| (Coupling $\left.g_{B B^{*} \pi}\right)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.7)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Scale $r_{1}$ | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Non-perturbative $Z_{V}^{b b}$ | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Non-perturbative $Z_{V}^{q q}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Perturbative $\rho$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Heavy $b$ quark mass mistuning | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Light quark mass tuning | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 |

## Error budget for $f_{+}$



## Extrapolation in $q^{2}:$ z-expansion

> Use model-independent expansion in parameter $z$ to extrapolate to full kinematic range. hep-ph/9702300
> Use Bourrely-Caprini-Lellouch (BCL) formulae, which have the right asymptotic at high $q^{2}$ and threshold) 0807.2722

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{+, T}(z) & =\frac{1}{1-t(z) / t_{*}} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{z}-1} b_{n}\left[z^{n}-(-1)^{n-N_{z}} \frac{n}{N_{z}} z^{N_{z}}\right] \\
f_{0}(z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{N_{z}} b_{n} z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t=q^{2}, \\
t_{*}=M_{B^{*}}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

> Functional z-expansion:
Advantage over synthetic data point method: d.o.f $\approx \#$ of independent functions in $f^{\chi P T}$ instead of arbitrary \# of synthetic points $\rightarrow$ correct correlation.
Covariance function $K_{f}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\delta f^{\chi P T}(z) \delta f^{\chi P T}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ can be uniquely diagonalized in a basis $\left\{\psi_{i}(z)\right\}$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\chi^{2} & =\int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} d z \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} d z^{\prime}\left[f^{\chi^{P T}}(z)-f^{B C L}(z)\right] K_{f}^{-1}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\left[f^{\chi P T}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-f^{B C L}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right], \\
& =\sum_{i}\left(1 / \lambda_{i}\right)\left[\int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}}\left[f^{\chi P T}(z)-f^{B C L}(z)\right] \psi_{i}(z)\right]^{2} & K_{f}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \psi_{i}(z) \psi_{i}\left(z^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Minimizing $\chi^{2}$ to find expansion coefficients $b_{n}$.

## Extrapolation in $q^{2}: z$-fit results

> Fit to $N_{z}=4$ order
$>$ Constraints with log normal distribution on $\sum_{i j} B_{i j} b_{i} b_{j}$
> Kinematic constraint on $f_{0,+}$ :

$$
f_{0}\left(q^{2}=0\right)=f_{+}\left(q^{2}=0\right)
$$



## Extrapolation in $q^{2}$ : compared to previous results



## Determination of $\left|V_{u b}\right|$



## Summary and outlook

$>$ We are updating our previous result (from 2008) for the $B \rightarrow \pi \ell v$ semileptonic form factors. We also calculate the tensor form factor which is needed to predict the rare decay $B^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$(in progress).
> We use a new functional method to implement the z-expansion.
> We combine the lattice result (normalization blinded) for the form factors with experimental measurements to determine $\left|V_{u b}\right|$.

| Fit | $\overline{\chi^{2}}[\mathrm{dof}]$ | p -value | $b_{0}$ | $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $b_{3}$ | $\left\|V_{u b}\right\|$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lattice only | $0.62[3]$ | 0.6 | $0.410(12)$ | $-0.69(12)$ | $-0.54(94)$ | $0.33(150)$ | - |
| Exp. only(BaBar 10,12+Belle 11,13) | $1.1[51]$ | 0.32 | $0.397(12)$ | $-0.42(14)$ | $-0.72(52)$ | - | - |
| All (exp. + lattice) | $1.1[53]$ | 0.27 | $0.417(11)$ | $-0.580(53)$ | $-0.30(12)$ | $0.58(29)$ | $4.1 \%$ |

> The error for $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ is 4.1\%, compared to the result of using FNAL/MILC 2008 analysis: $\left|V_{u b}\right|=(3.28 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}(8.8 \%)$.
$>$ We are also working on the $B_{S} \rightarrow K \ell v$ semileptonic form factors (Y. Liu, 1312.3197) which provides an another exclusive determination of $\left|V_{u b}\right|$. The work is in progress.

## Thank you

## Backup: Experimental results

> Experimental observable is the differential branching fraction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{\Delta \mathcal{B}}{\Delta q^{2}} \sim \frac{d \mathcal{B}}{d q^{2}}=\tau_{B} \frac{d \Gamma}{d q^{2}}=\frac{\tau_{B} G_{F}^{2}}{192 \pi^{3} M_{B}^{3}}\left|V_{u b}\right|^{2}\left|\vec{p}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|^{3}\left|f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \text { Define an intermediate variable } \equiv \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{B}}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}=\frac{1}{C_{\tau}} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \mathcal{B}}{\Delta q^{2}}} \sim\left|V_{u b} \| \vec{p}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|^{3 / 2} f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)
$$

BaBar 2010 (1208.1253)
Untagged
Belle 2011 (1208.1253)
Untagged
BaBar 2012 (1208.1253)
untagged
Belle 2013 (1306.2781)
Hadronic tagged
Sys. correlation between $B^{0}$ and $B^{-}$
final state radiation removed


## Backup: Combining lattice and experiments

> Adding the experimental data to the fit
> Combine lattice and experiments

$$
\chi^{2}=\chi_{\text {Lat }}^{2}+\chi_{B a B a r}^{2}+\chi_{\text {Belle }}^{2}
$$

