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## Old Dominion University

## Resonances from QCD

- We want to understand the spectrum of hadrons directly from QCD.
- Most excited hadrons are resonant enhancements in the scattering of lighter stable particles: $\rho$ resonance in $I=1 \pi \pi$ scattering.
- Many excited hadrons decay to the lightest pseudoscalar octet ( $\pi, K, \eta$ ), which are long-lived.
- Excited states are often resonances in multiple channels: need to use the coupled-channel formalism.
- Several options, one choice is $\boldsymbol{I}=\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\pi} K-\boldsymbol{\eta} K$ scattering.
- Physical amplitudes have resonant states in several partial waves:
J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards and C. E. Thomas Phys. Rev. D 87, 034505


$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{P}=0^{+} & \kappa, K_{0}{ }^{*}(1430), \ldots \\
J^{P}=1^{-} & K^{*}(892), \ldots \\
\hline J^{P}=2^{+} & K_{2}^{*}(1430), \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation method

- Anisotropic lattices with 2+1 dynamical flavours. $3.5 \times$ finer spacing in time: better energy resolution. Wilson clover action, Symanzik-improved gauge action.
- Distillation method for quark smearing.
- 3 volumes

| $L(\mathrm{fm})$ | $\left(L / a_{s}\right)^{3} \times\left(T / a_{t}\right)$ | $N_{\text {cfgs }}$ | $N_{t_{\text {srcs }}}$ | $N_{\text {vecs }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.9 | $16^{3} \times 128$ | 479 | $4-8$ | 64 |
| 2.4 | $20^{3} \times 128$ | 603 | $2-6$ | 128 |
| 2.9 | $24^{3} \times 128$ | 553 | $2-6$ | 162 |
| $m_{\pi}=391 \mathrm{MeV}, \quad m_{K}=549 \mathrm{MeV}, \quad m_{\eta}=589 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |

- Large basis of operators including:
"Single-meson" like operators: $\bar{\psi} \Gamma \psi, \bar{\psi} \Gamma \overleftrightarrow{D} \ldots \overleftrightarrow{D} \psi$
"Meson-meson" like operators with definite momentum: $\pi\left(\vec{p}_{1}\right) K\left(\vec{p}_{2}\right), \quad \eta\left(\vec{p}_{1}\right) K\left(\vec{p}_{2}\right)$
- Include all Wick contractions, all disconnected contributions.
- All relevant irreps with boosts

$$
p^{2}=\left|\vec{p}_{1}+\vec{p}_{2}\right|^{2} \leq 4\left(\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right)^{2}
$$



## Spectrum extraction

- Use variational method to obtain the spectrum in each irrep.
- A typical irrep: $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{+}$. Contains $\pi K-\eta K$ with $J^{P}=0^{+}, 4^{+}, \ldots$
- Large shifts from non-interacting levels: strong interactions between hadrons. $E=\left(m_{1}^{2}+\left|\vec{p}_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(m_{2}^{2}+\left|\vec{p}_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$



## Many irreps

$p=[000]$


$p=[001]$






- >100 energy levels obtained
- 73 used for $S+P$ wave analysis
- 24 used for $D$-wave analysis

$$
p=[011],[111],[002]
$$









## Coupled-channel scattering

- Use coupled-channel extension of Lüscher formalism:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{i}=\frac{2 p_{i}}{E_{\text {cm }}} \\
q_{i}^{2}=p_{i}^{2}(L / 2 \pi)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$



Couples channels $i j$, diagonal in $\ell$

- $t$-matrix in $\infty$-volume $\longrightarrow$ finite volume energies.
- Actual problem: Finite volume energy levels $\longrightarrow t$-matrix.
- Many unknowns for each energy level: channel-coupling, partial wave mixing.

A solution:
$\rightarrow$ Parameterise $t_{i j}$ using a few free parameters.
$\rightarrow$ Given many energy levels, the problem is constrained.

## $S$-wave amplitudes from $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{+}$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\delta_{i j} \delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}+i \rho_{i} t_{i j}^{(\ell)}\left(\delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}+i \mathcal{M}_{\ell n ; \ell^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{\vec{d}, \Lambda}\left(q_{i}^{2}\right)\right)\right]=0
$$

- Expect $J^{P}=\mathrm{O}^{+}$dominant. Neglect $J^{P}=4^{+}$and higher.
- Use $K$-matrix, respects unitarity and has the flexibility needed for resonances.

$$
t_{i j}^{-1}(s)=K_{i j}^{-1}(s)+I_{i j}(s) \quad K=\frac{1}{m^{2}-s}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
g_{\pi K}^{2} & g_{\pi K} g_{\eta K} \\
g_{\pi K} g_{\eta K} & g_{\eta K}^{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{\pi K, \pi K} & \gamma_{\pi K, \eta K} \\
\gamma_{\pi K, \eta K} & \gamma_{\eta K, \eta K}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Minimise a $\chi^{2}$ by varying $m, g$ 's and $\gamma$ 's to obtain best possible description of the lattice energies.



## $S$-wave amplitudes from $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{+}$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\delta_{i j} \delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}+i \rho_{i} t_{i j}^{(\ell)}\left(\delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}+i \mathcal{M}_{\ell n ; \ell^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{\overrightarrow{,},}\left(q_{i}^{2}\right)\right)\right]=0
$$

- Expect $J^{P}=0^{+}$dominant. Neglect $J^{P}=4^{+}$and higher.
- Use $K$-matrix, respects unitarity and has the flexibility needed for resonances.

$$
t_{i j}^{-1}(s)=K_{i j}^{-1}(s)+I_{i j}(s) \quad K=\frac{1}{m^{2}-s}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
g_{\pi K}^{2} & g_{\pi K} g_{\eta K} \\
g_{\pi K} g_{\eta K} & g_{\eta K}^{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{\pi K, \pi K} & \gamma_{\pi K, \eta K} \\
\gamma_{\pi K, \eta K} & \gamma_{\eta K, \eta K}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Minimise a $\chi^{2}$ by varying $m, g$ 's and $\gamma$ 's to obtain best possible description of the lattice energies.



## $S$-wave amplitudes



- $\quad t_{i j}$ represented as phase and inelasticity

$$
t_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\eta e^{2 i \delta_{i}}-1}{2 i \rho_{i}} & (i=j) \\
\frac{\sqrt{1-\eta^{2}} e^{i\left(\delta_{i}+\delta_{j}\right)}}{2 \sqrt{\rho_{i} \rho_{j}}} & (i \neq j)
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Discrete phase shift points obtained in decoupling ( $\eta \rightarrow 1$ ) limit.
- Broad resonance in $S$-wave $\pi K$.
- $\quad \eta K$ coupling is small.
- 3 subthreshold points, naturally included in an energy-level fit.


## $P$-wave near-threshold state

In irreps with $P$-wave overlap:
$T_{1}^{-}$, [001] $A_{1}$, [001] $E_{2}$, [011] $A_{1}$, [011] $B_{1,2},[111] A_{1},[111] E_{2}$, [002] $A_{1}$
"extra" level near $\pi K$ threshold.
Fitting the energy levels using an elastic Breit-Wigner in $\pi K: k^{3} \cot \delta_{1}=\left(m_{R}^{2}-s\right) \frac{6 \pi s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{g_{R}^{2}}$

$$
\times 10^{-4}
$$


-

In $t$ there is a pole on the real axis just below $\pi K$ threshold:

Bound state in $J^{P}=1^{-}$

## $S+P$-waves from 73 energy levels






- Makes use of in-flight $A_{1}$ energies to constrain $S$-wave.
- $\quad$ Partial wave mixing $\rightarrow$ obtain both $S$ and $P$ simultaneously
- Use in-flight and $P$-wave energy levels below $\pi \pi K$ threshold ( $a_{t} E_{c m}=0.235$ ).
- $D$-wave and higher are negligible in this region.


## $S+P$-waves from 73 energy levels





- Makes use of in-flight $A_{1}$ energies to constrain $S$-wave.
- $\quad$ Partial wave mixing $\rightarrow$ obtain both $S$ and $P$ simultaneously
- Use in-flight and $P$-wave energy levels below $\pi \pi K$ threshold ( $a_{t} E_{c m}=0.235$ ).
- $D$-wave and higher are negligible in this region.


## Narrow $D$-wave resonance

- No time for full discussion.
- Many other energy levels containing scattering amplitude information.
- Using only irreps with $J=2$ and higher ( $E^{+}, T_{2}{ }^{+}$, [100] $B_{1,2}$ ) we find a narrow resonance:
- Fit to energies. Discrete points obtained in $\eta \rightarrow 1$ limit.
- $\operatorname{In} J \geq 1$ scattering $\pi \pi K$ can contribute (for $a_{t} E_{c m}>0.235$ ).



## Scattering amplitude poles

- t-matrix singularities have similar pattern to experiment.
- Unitarised $\chi \mathrm{PT}: \kappa(800) \rightarrow$ virtual bound state (for $m_{\pi} \gtrsim 2.5 m_{\pi}{ }^{\text {(phys) }}$ ) Pole found below threshold on the unphysical sheet.
- A pole on the physical sheet below threshold found in $J^{P}=1^{-}$. Similar to $K^{*}(892)$, but just bound at $m_{\pi}=391 \mathrm{MeV}$.

Poles are found on unphysical sheets:

- Poles in $S$-wave, large width. Dominant coupling to $\pi K$. Similar to the $K_{0}{ }^{*}(1430)$.
- Narrow poles found in $D$-wave. Dominant coupling to $\pi K$. Similar to the $K_{2}{ }^{*}(1430)$.



## Summary



- Parameterise energy dependence
$\rightarrow$ determine coupled-channel $\pi K-\eta K$ scattering amplitudes.
- Thorough analysis of $\sim 100$ energy levels
$\rightarrow$ obtain tight constraints on the amplitudes
- Methods appear to work quite generally for a wide range of interactions.
- Very different scattering features found:
(a) Broad resonance (in coupled channels)
(b) Bound state
(c) Narrow resonance
- Approximate decoupling between $\pi K-\eta K$
- Applicable to scattering in many other coupled-channels.


## Backup Slides

## Energy level fitting

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\delta_{i j} \delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}+i \rho_{i} t_{i j}^{(\ell)}\left(\delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}+i \mathcal{M}_{\ell n ; \ell^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{\vec{d}, \Lambda}\left(q_{i}^{2}\right)\right)\right]=0
$$

A convenient unitarity-preserving coupled-channel parameterisation is the $K$-matrix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{i j}^{-1}(s) & =\frac{1}{\left(2 k_{i}\right)^{\ell}} K_{i j}^{-1}(s) \frac{1}{\left(2 k_{j}\right)^{\ell}}+I_{i j}(s) . \\
K_{i j}(s) & =\sum_{p} \frac{g_{i}^{(p)} g_{j}^{(p)}}{m_{p}^{2}-s}+\sum_{n} \gamma_{i j}^{(n)} s^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Unitarity of the $S$-matrix is preserved when the parameters $m, g$ and $\gamma$ are real, and

$$
\operatorname{Im} I_{i j}(s)=-\rho_{i} \delta_{i j}
$$

## Principal Correlators - [100] $\mathbf{A}_{1}$












## Elastic Region

Scattering length and $K$-matrix in $S$-wave.

Breit-Wigner and $K$-matrix in $P$-wave.



## Partial wave contributions in each irrep

| $\vec{P}$ | LG( $\vec{P})$ | $\Lambda$ | $\begin{gathered} J^{P}(\vec{P}=0) \\ \|\lambda\|^{(\tilde{\eta})}(\vec{P} \neq 0) \end{gathered}$ | $(K \pi) \ell^{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ $0,0,0$ ] | $O_{h}^{D}$ | $A_{1}^{+}$ | $0^{+}, 4^{+}$ | $0^{1}, 4^{1}$ |
|  |  | $T_{1}^{-}$ | $1^{-}, 3^{-},\left(4^{-}\right)$ | $1^{1}, 3^{1}$ |
|  |  | $T_{1}^{+}$ | $\left(1^{+}\right),\left(3^{+}\right), 4^{+}$ | $4^{1}$ |
|  |  | $T_{2}^{-}$ | (2-), $3^{-}$, (4-) |  |
|  |  | $T_{2}^{+}$ | $2^{+},\left(3^{+}\right), 4^{+}$ | $2^{1}, 4^{1}$ |
|  |  | $E^{+}$ | $2^{+}, 4^{+}$ | $2^{1}, 4^{1}$ |
|  |  | $A_{2}^{-}$ | $3^{-}$ | $3^{1}$ |
| $[0,0, n]$ | Dic ${ }_{4}$ | $A_{1}$ | $0^{+}, 4$ | $0^{1}, 1^{1}, 2^{1}, 3^{1}, 4^{2}$ |
|  |  | $A_{2}$ | ( $0^{-}$), 4 |  |
|  |  | $E_{2}$ | 1, 3 | $1^{1}, 2^{1}, 3^{2}, 4^{2}$ |
|  |  | $B_{1}$ | 2 | $2^{1}, 3^{1}, 4^{1}$ |
|  |  | $B_{2}$ | 2 | $2^{1}, 3^{1}, 4^{1}$ |
| [0, n, n] | $\mathrm{Dic}_{2}$ | $A_{1}$ | $0^{+}, 2,4$ | $0^{1}, 1^{1}, 2^{2}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}$ |
|  |  | $A_{2}$ | ( $0^{-}$), 2, 4 | $2^{1}, 3^{1}, 4^{2}$ |
|  |  | $B_{1}$ | 1, 3 | $1^{1}, 2^{1}, 3^{2}, 4^{2}$ |
|  |  | $B_{2}$ | 1, 3 | $1^{1}, 2^{1}, 3^{2}, 4^{2}$ |
| [ $n, n, n$ ] | $\mathrm{Dic}_{3}$ | $A_{1}$ | $0^{+}, 3$ | $0^{1}, 1^{1}, 2^{1}, 3^{2}, 4^{2}$ |
|  |  | $A_{2}$ | ( $0^{-}$), 3 | $3^{1}, 4^{1}$ |
|  |  | $E_{2}$ | 1, 2, 4 | $1^{1}, 2^{2}, 3^{2}, 4^{3}$ |

Table 2: The separation of $K \pi$ spins, helicities and partial waves in each lattice irrep, with $J \leq 4$. The first three columns are taken from Refs. [13, 8, 7]. The final column is derived by considering how the helicity components are projected on each $\ell$. The brackets around $\left(J^{P}\right)$ donote a $J^{P}$ at rest that does not contribute to elastic $K \pi$ scattering (e.g. $0^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, \ldots$ ) and also $|\lambda|^{\tilde{\eta}}=0^{-}$.

