# Spectral Flow and Index Theorem for Staggered Fermions

## Eduardo Follana

Universidad de Zaragoza

(Lattice 2014, Columbia University, NY, June 2014)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Work in collaboration with:

V. Azcoiti (Universidad de Zaragoza)
G. Di Carlo (Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, INFN)
A. Vaquero (The Cyprus Institute)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Thanks: A. Hart for generating the configurations.

## Outline

#### Motivation

- Staggered fermions and topology.
- Index Theorem in the continuum.
- Index Theorem for Staggered Quarks with the spectral flow.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Numerical results.
- Conclusions and outlook.

#### Motivation

Continuum QCD has interesting topological features:

- Index theorem: quantized topological charge.
- RMT description of the distribution of low-level eigenvalues for each sector of topological charge, in the ε-regime.
- Can we identify these properties in lattice QCD? (In particular, can we do so for staggered fermions?)
- For a long time, it was believed that staggered quarks are "topology-blind".
- ▶ No good (staggered) definition of the topological charge Q.
- ► No RMT description sensitive to *Q*.

# Staggered fermions and topology

NOT SO!

Staggered quarks display the expected behaviour, if we are close enough to the continuum ⇒ improved actions (HISQ).



Improved Glue (a  $\approx 0.077$  fm, V =  $20^4$ )

#### Staggered fermions and topology

- We can define the index with the chirality of the low-lying eigenvalues.
- It works well in practice, and any discrepancy with other definitions is expected to vanish as a → 0.
- However, it is not yet a topological definition in the same sense that the spectral flow of the Wilson operator is (or equivalently, the index of the overlap operator constructed with it.)
- D. H. Adams proposed a new definition for the index with staggered fermions, similar to the Wilson one (Phys.Rev.Lett.104:141602,2010 [arXiv:0912.2850])
- Preliminary results: E. Follana, V. Azcoiti, G. Di Carlo, A. Vaquero, PoS LATTICE2011 (2011) 100

#### Index Theorem in the continuum

#### Gluonic

► Smooth continuum gauge fields *A*<sub>µ</sub>: integer *Q* Fermionic

$$D = \gamma_{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu})$$
$$D\Psi = 0, \ \gamma_{5}\Psi = \pm \Psi$$

• Index Theorem:  $n_+ - n_- = Q$ 

Spectral flow

• 
$$H(m) = \gamma_5 (D - m)$$
, hermitian.

- $H(m)^2 = D^{\dagger}D + m^2 \rightarrow \text{semipositive definite.}$
- ► Zero modes of D of chirality ±1: → eigenmodes of H(m), whose eigenvalues λ(m) cross the origin with slope ∓1.
- ► Spectral flow (net number of crossings, with sign depending on the slope) =  $n_- n_+$ .

#### Spectral flow on the Lattice

For Wilson fermions,  $H_W(m) = \gamma_5 (D_W - m)$ 

- ► This cannot be directly applied to staggered fermions  $(\gamma_5 \rightarrow \Gamma_5)$ . But different operators could be used in the continuum, for example  $H(m) = iD m\gamma_5$
- ► This can be generalized directly to the staggered case,  $H_{st}(m) = iD_{st} - m\Gamma_5$  (D. H. Adams).
- ► In a background which is not too rough, we should identify the would-be zero modes with the eigenmodes of H(m) which cross zero at a low-lying value of m. The slope of the crossing is minus the chirality.
- This is a topological property, and thus stable under small deformations of the field.
- It provides an unambiguous definition of the index, as long as there is a good separation of low and high-lying crossings.

#### Numerical setup

- Three ensembles of tree-level Symanzik and tadpole improved quenched QCD at different values of the lattice spacing (and roughly the same physical volume): .125fm (very coarse), .093 fm (coarse) and .0077 fm (fine).
- We study both the unimproved, 1link staggered Dirac operator and HISQ.
- The spectrum comes in pairs

$$\lambda(m) \leftrightarrow -\lambda(-m)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Numerical results: 2D

2D L=12 1link  $\beta = 4.0$ 



#### Numerical results: 2D





 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}$ 

æ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙



▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで





◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで





うせん 聞い ふぼう ふぼう ふしゃ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

 $\beta = 5.0$ 



 $\beta = 4.6$ 



 $\beta = 5.0$ 



500

m

 $\beta = 4.6$ 



500

m



4D L=20  $\beta = 5.0$ 

#### Numerical results: cuts



#### Conclusions and outlook

- The topology as determined by the spectral flow defined by the staggered Dirac operator works very well for realistic gauge configurations. It is a perfectly good definition, on the same footing as the one coming from the Wilson flow.
- For most configurations, the index determined through the spectral flow and through the chiral modes of HISQ coincides.

- Much harder numerically.
- Study the flow for full QCD configurations.