



# A Feynman-Hellmann approach to the spin structure of hadrons

James Zanotti The University of Adelaide

Lattice 2014, June 23 - June 28, 2014, New York, USA

## CSSM/ QCDSF/UKQCD Collaborations

- Alex Chambers (Adelaide)
- R. Horsley (Edinburgh)
- Y. Nakamura (RIKEN, Kobe)
- H. Perlt (Leipzig)
- D. Pleiter (Jülich)

- P. Rakow (Liverpool)
- G. Schierholz (DESY)
- A. Schiller (Leipzig)
- H. Stüben (Hamburg)
- R. Young (Adelaide)

also M. Constantinou for renormalisation

## Outline

- Motivation: Spin of the proton
- Feynman-Hellmann
- Quark-line connected spin fractions
- Disconnected quark contributions
- Tensor charge
- Summary and Future work

[arXiv:1405.3019]

## Motivation for Investigation of Hadron Spin

• Proton "Spin Crisis": only 33(3)(5)% of the proton spin carried by quarks

• i.e. with decomposition 
$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + L_q + J_g \qquad [X.Ji (1996)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta\Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s \approx 33\% \qquad [COMPASS (2007)]$$
• Quark model 
$$\Rightarrow \Delta\Sigma = 1 \qquad \Delta q = \int_0^1 [\Delta q(x) + \Delta \bar{q}(x)] dx \\ \Delta q(x) = q^{\uparrow}(x) - q^{\downarrow}(x)$$

- Can we reproduce this feature directly from QCD?
- Is this suppression a property of the nucleon, or a universal feature?

# Motivation for Investigation of Hadron Spin

- $\Delta s$  is a purely quark-line disconnected contribution • Much effort to determine  $\Delta s$  experimentally  $\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}^{p}(x) dx = \frac{1}{36}(4a_{0} + 3a_{3} + a_{8})$ • e.g. COMPASS, HERMES Also g<sub>A</sub> and semileptonic hyperon decays assuming SU(3) symmetry x > 0.004  $x \ge 0.02$  $-0.15 \le \Delta s \le -0.03$  A challenge on the lattice
  - Usually tackled through stochastic estimation of nucleon 3pt function
- e.g. PRL108, 222001 (arXiv:1112.3354)  $m_{\pi} = 285 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}} \quad \mu = \sqrt{7.4} \,\mathrm{GeV}$   $\Delta s = -0.020(10)(4)$

Many new results, Tuesday late parallel session

## Feynman-Hellmann Theorem

- Provides a method for determining hadronic matrix elements from energy shifts
- Suppose we want

 $\langle H | \mathcal{O} | H \rangle$ 

 $S \to S + \lambda \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \mathcal{O}(x)$ 

Proceed by

real parameter

local operator, e.g.  $ar{q}(x)\gamma_5\gamma_3q(x)$ 

FH tells us

$$\frac{\partial E_H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{2E_H(\lambda)} \left\langle H \left| \frac{\partial S(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right| H \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{\partial E_H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{2E_H(\lambda)} \langle H|\mathcal{O}|H\rangle$$

• Calculation of matrix element  $\equiv$  hadron spectroscopy

## Feynman-Hellmann Theorem

- Most commonly used to determine  $\sigma$  terms since

 $\sigma_l^H = m_l \langle H | (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) | H \rangle$  $\sigma_s^H = m_s \langle H | \bar{s}s | H \rangle$  and  $S = \sum_{q} \left[ m_{q} \bar{q} q + \bar{q} D q \right]$ plays the role of  $\lambda$ 2.0 1.8  $\begin{bmatrix} 1.6 \\ M^N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3.6 \\ 1.4 \end{bmatrix}$  $\sigma_{\pi N} \approx m_{\pi}^2 \frac{dm_N}{dm_{\pi}^2} \bigg|$ 1.2 5.2 5.25 5.29 1.0 5.4 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.0 $m_\pi^2 \left[ GeV^2 \right]$ 

## Feynman-Hellmann Theorem

 To access hadron spin fractions, we modify the action to include the axial current

$$S \to S + \lambda \sum_{x} \bar{q}(x) i \gamma_5 \gamma_3 q(x)$$

• FH Theorem then gives

$$\frac{\partial E_H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{1}{2M_H} \langle H | \bar{q} i \gamma_5 \gamma_3 q | H \rangle$$

• but for a spin-J hadron with polarisation m in the z-direction

$$\langle H, Jm | \bar{q}i\gamma_5\gamma_3 q | H, Jm \rangle = 2M_H \Delta q^{Jm}$$

$$\Delta q = \frac{\partial E_H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0}$$

• Also note: reversing hadron polarisation  $\equiv$  changing sign of  $\lambda$ 

## Lattice Set-Up

- N<sub>f</sub> =2+1 O(a)-improved Clover fermions ("SLiNC" action)
- Tree-level Symanzik gluon action (plaq + rect)
- Results from a single lattice spacing (a~0.074fm), and volume (32<sup>3</sup> x 64)
- Novel method for tuning the quark masses
- Most results are at the SU(3)-symmetric point
- Connected results also at 360MeV
- ~350 measurements from 1500 trajectories

- Use existing N<sub>f</sub>=2+1 configurations
- Modify the action of the valence quarks only



- Allows for comparison with results using standard 3-point function methods
- For more details see

A. Chambers et al. (QCDSF), arXiv:1405.3019

- Start with nucleon mass v  $\lambda$ 



SU(3) symmetric point,  $m_{\pi} \approx 470 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

• Linear terms give (unrenormalised):

 $\begin{aligned} \Delta u_{\rm conn}^{latt} &= 0.97(13) \\ \Delta d_{\rm conn}^{latt} &= -0.27(11) \end{aligned}$ 

Compare with the 3-point method using a similar size ensemble

 $\Delta u_{\text{conn}}^{latt} = 0.911(29)$  $\Delta d_{\text{conn}}^{latt} = -0.290(16)$ 

Good agreement, but statistical error a concern

- We can make use of the correlations between the results at different  $\lambda$  obtained on the same set of configurations
- Observe:  $E(\lambda) = E(\lambda = 0) + \Delta E(\lambda)$



• And  $\Delta E_H(\lambda)$  can be computed from a ratio of 2-point functions

$$\frac{C(\lambda, t)}{C(\lambda = 0, t)} \xrightarrow{\text{large } t} \propto e^{-\Delta E_H(\lambda)t}$$



- Energy shift v  $\lambda$ 



- Rough agreement
- Possible excited state contamination in 3-point function results?



 $Z_A = 0.867(4)$  [M. Constantinou *et al.* (in preparation)]

Compare with 3-point method





## **Connected Spin Contributions - Summary**

 $\widehat{\Delta\Sigma}^J = \frac{\Delta\Sigma^J}{2J}$ 

- Convert quark spin contributions to spin fractions
- using  $Z_A = 0.867(4)$



- To compute the disconnected contributions to  $\Delta q$ 



• Problem: the term we have added to the fermion matrix

$$M \to M(\lambda) = M_0 + \lambda \, i \gamma_5 \gamma_3$$

- does not satisfy  $\gamma_5$  hermiticity

$$M^{\dagger}(\lambda) = \gamma_5 M(-\lambda)\gamma_5$$

•  $\det[M(\lambda)]^* = \det[M(-\lambda)]$ 

• and we have a sign problem

• Solution: instead add the  $\gamma_5$  hermitian operator to M

 $M \to M(\lambda) = M_0 + \lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_3$ 

• Which is fine since we are interested in small perturbations around  $\lambda = 0$ 

 $\left. \frac{\partial S(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0}$ 

Except that now the correlation functions will pick up a phase

$$C(\lambda, t) \xrightarrow{\text{large } t} A(\lambda) e^{-E(\lambda)t} e^{i\phi(\lambda)t}$$

where

$$E(\lambda) = E(\lambda = 0) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$
$$\phi(\lambda) = \lambda \Delta q + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$

- Imaginary part of the correlator now  $\neq 0$   $\propto e^{-Mt} \sin(\phi t)$ 
  - Real part

 $\mathcal{I}$ 

 $\mathcal{R}$ 

$$\propto e^{-Mt}\cos(\phi t)$$

t/a

• Using the spin up/down projectors  $\Gamma_{\pm} = \frac{1}{4}(1+\gamma_4)(1\pm i\gamma_5\gamma_3)$ 

$$\mathcal{R}\left[C_{\pm}(\lambda,t)\right] \stackrel{\text{large } t}{\longrightarrow} A(\lambda)e^{-E(\lambda)t}\cos(\phi t)$$

$$\mathcal{I}\left[C_{\pm}(\lambda,t)\right] \stackrel{\text{large } t}{\longrightarrow} \pm A(\lambda)e^{-E(\lambda)t}\sin(\phi t)$$
• Motivates the correlated ratio
$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{+}(\lambda,t)\right] - \mathcal{I}\left[C_{-}(\lambda,t)\right] \stackrel{\text{large } t}{\longrightarrow} \tan(\phi t)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{large } t}{\longrightarrow} \tan(\phi t)$$



#### Tensor Charge - Connected

SU(3) symmetric point,  $m_{\pi} \approx 470 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

• Energy shift v $\lambda$ 

 $M \to M(\lambda) = M_0 + \lambda \gamma_5 \sigma_{34}$ 



#### Tensor Charge - Disconnected



### Tensor Charge - Disconnected



# Summary

- Feynman-Hellmann method
  - Alternative to conventional 3-point function methods for computing matrix elements
  - Demonstrated by computing connected and disconnected contributions to



- Advantages
  - Simple to implement
  - Good control over excited state contamination
  - Excellent for studying a single operator in many hadrons
- Disadvantages
  - Different inversions (gauge configurations) for each operator and  $\lambda$
- At the SU(3)-symmetric point, disconnected  $\Delta q$  and  $\delta q$  consistent with zero