## Glue Helicity $\Delta G$ in The Nucleon

### Raza Sabbir Sufian

in collaboration with - **Michael Glatzmaier, Yi-Bo Yang, Keh-Fei Liu, Mingyang Sun**   $\chi_{QCD}$  Collaboration University of Kentucky

#### <u>OVERVIEW</u>

- •Motivation for Calculating Glue Helicity in Nucleon
- •Gauge invariant  $\Delta G$  operator
- •Lattice Setup for Calculation of Glue Helicity in Longitudinally Polarized Nucleon

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

•Numerical Results

#### Motivation for Calculating Glue Helicity in Nucleon

 $\oplus$  'Nucleon Spin Puzzle' raised by EMC Collaboration in 1987, recent experiments by COMPASS [Alexakhin et al., PLB (2007)], HEREMES [ Airapetian et al., PRD (2007)] found portion of nucleon spin coming from intrinsic quark spin  $\approx \frac{1}{3}$ 

 $\oplus$  What carries rest  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the proton spin?

 $\oplus$  Lattice calculation [Deka et al.  $\chi_{QCD}$  Collaboration)] (in quench approximation with Wilson fermions) based on Ji's nucleon spin decomposition finds quark spin constitutes 25%, glue angular momentum 28% and quark orbital angular momentum contribute 47% of proton spin

#### Experimental Results for Nonzero Gluon Polarization

• Recent(2009 RHIC) experimental data show evidence of nonzero polarization of gluon in the proton [Florian et. al, arXiv:1404.4293]



• STAR Collaboration [arXiv: 1405.5134 ] indicates preference for positive gluon helicity contribution in the region x > 0.05

#### Gauge invariant $\Delta G$ operator

•Total gluon helicity,  $\Delta G = \int_0^1 \Delta g(x) dx$ 

• $\Delta g(x)$  is polarized gluon parton helicity disctribution Definition of  $\Delta G$  operator from QCD factorization theorem [Manohar, PRL. (1991)]:

$$\Delta G = \int dx \frac{i}{2xP^+} \int \frac{d\xi^-}{2\pi} e^{-ixP^+\xi^-} \langle PS|F_a^{+\alpha}(\xi^-)\mathcal{L}^{ab}(\xi^-,0)\tilde{F}_{\alpha,b}^{+}(0)|PS\rangle$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\xi^{-}) &= \mathcal{P} \exp[-ig \int_{0}^{\xi^{-}}]\mathcal{A}^{+}(\eta^{-}, 0_{\perp})d\eta^{-}] \ \mathcal{A}^{+} &\equiv T^{c} \mathcal{A}^{+}_{c}, \ \xi^{\pm} &= (\xi^{t} \pm \xi^{z})\sqrt{2} \ , \ ilde{\mathcal{F}}^{lphaeta} &\sim rac{1}{2} \epsilon^{lphaeta\mu
u} \mathcal{F}_{\mu
u} \end{aligned}$$

 $\oplus$ Gauge invariant but partonic interpretation only in LCG  $\oplus$ Does not look like gluon helicity operator •Carrying out integration of longitudinal momentum reduces to gauge invariant gluon spin operator [Ji, Zhang, Zhao, PRL(2013)]:

$$\hat{S}_{g}^{\mathrm{inv}}(0) = \left[\vec{E}^{a}(0) \times \left(\vec{A}^{a}(0) - \frac{1}{\nabla^{+}}(\vec{\nabla}A^{+,b})\mathcal{L}^{ba}(\xi^{-},0)\right)\right]^{3},$$

- Similiar structure to  $\vec{E} \times \vec{A}$
- How does it transform under gauge transformation?
- •Chen, L*ü*, Sun, Wang, Goldman [PRL. (2008), PRL. (2009)]: Decomposed **A** as:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{phys}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{pure}}(\mathbf{x})$$

and proposed complete decomposition of nucleon spin

• Motivated by EM, one would like to have  $\vec{A}_{\perp}$  transform covariantly:

$$\vec{A}_{\perp} 
ightarrow U(x) \vec{A}_{\perp} U^{\dagger}(x)$$

•  $A^i_{\perp}$  satisfies a generalized Coulomb condition,

$$\partial^i A^i_\perp = ig[A^i, A^i_\perp]$$

In large momentum frame,  $\vec{A_{\parallel}}$  required to produce null magnetic field:

$$\partial^i A^{j,a}_{\parallel} - \partial^j A^{i,a}_{\parallel} - g f^{abc} A^{i,b}_{\parallel} A^{j,c}_{\parallel} \ = \ 0$$

• Solving for  $A_{\parallel}$ :

$$A^{i,a}_{\parallel}(\xi^{-}) = \frac{1}{\nabla^{+}} \Big( (\partial^{i} A^{+,b}) \mathcal{L}^{ba}(\xi^{\prime-},\xi^{-}) \Big)$$

• Using the fact that,  $A_{\perp} = A - A_{\parallel}$ In the IMF:

$$\mathbf{A}_{\perp} \rightarrow \left( \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{a}}(0) - \frac{1}{\nabla^{+}} (\nabla A^{+,b}) \mathcal{L}^{ba}(\xi^{-},0) \right)$$
$$(\Delta G)_{z} \rightarrow (\vec{E}^{a} \times \vec{A}_{\perp}^{a})_{z}$$

•The previous results rely on solving, order-by-order in the coupling, for the perp and parallel components of the gauge-field.

• At zeroth order of coupling:

$$\partial^{i}A_{\perp}^{i} = ig\left[A^{i}, A_{\perp}^{i}\right] \longrightarrow \partial^{i}A_{\perp}^{i} = 0$$

• Also according to X. Chen:

$$\vec{\nabla}.\vec{A}_{phys}=0$$

• Up-to 1-loop order, Coulomb gauge is a good choice

•Dynamically depends on the momentum of the external particle



#### Lattice Calculation of Glue Helicity in Longitudinaaly Polarized Proton

•IR physics on lattice and in continuum similar but due to lattice cut off  $\sim \frac{1}{a}$ , lattice UV result different from continuum UV result

•Therefore LPT required for renormalization which will presented by Michael J. Glatzmaier in his talk (Parallel 9E)

 $\bullet \Delta {\cal G} \rightarrow \vec{{\cal E}} \times \vec{{\cal A}}_{\perp}$  function of external momentum

Construction of  $F_{\mu\nu}$  from  $D^{o\nu}$  operator

Liu, Alexandru, Horváth [PLB(2008)]:

$$\operatorname{tr}_{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} D_{0,0}^{ov} \big( U(a) \big) \; = \; c^{T} \, a^{2} \, F_{\mu\nu}(0) \; + \; \mathcal{O}(a^{3})$$

•  $c^T = c^T(\rho) = 0.11157$  independent of  $A_\mu(x)$ ,  $\kappa = 0.19$ 

•Non-untralocal behavior of  $D^{ov}$  serves as efficient filter of UV fluctuations through chiral smearing

• QCD vacuum structure with topological charge density defined from  $D^{ov}$  has been observed to produce good signals with only a handful configurations

$$E_i = -F_{4i}$$

 $A_{\mu}(x)$  in Coulomb gauge calculated from gauge links:

$$A^{c}_{\mu}(x) = \left[\frac{U^{c}_{\mu}(x) - U^{c^{\dagger}}_{\mu}(x)}{2iag_{0}}\right]_{traceless}$$
(1)

$$(\vec{E} \times \vec{A})_i = tr\left(\epsilon_{ijk}E_jA_k\right)$$

#### Simulation Details

•Valence overlap fermion on (2 + 1) flavor RBC/UKQCDC DWF 200 gauge configurations (24  $^3\times$  64 lattice)

•Sea quark mass  $am_l = 0.005$ ,  $am_s = 0.04$  ( pion mass 331 MeV),  $a^{-1} = 1.77 GeV$ 

•2-pt function constructed from grid-8 smeared source with  $Z_3$  noise and with source time slices at t = 0 and t = 32

•2-pt function with low-mode substitution (Talk given by Keh-Fei Liu, Mingyang Sun)

• Sink momenta used p = 0, p = 1, p = 2

•Loop data  $L_i(t_1) \equiv (\vec{E} \times \vec{A_c})_i(t_1)$ ,  $t_1$  insertion time, *i*-configuration index

•2-pt function  $C_i^2(t_2)$ ,  $t_2$  sink time

Disconnected 3-pt function

$$C_i^3(t_2,t_1) = \left(C_i^2(t_2))(L_i(t_1)) - <(C^2(t_2)> < L(t_1)>
ight)$$

•Jackknife both  $C^2$  and  $C^3$  and use sum method [L. Maiani et al., Nucl. Phys. B293,420 (1987)]:

$$egin{aligned} &R_j(t_2,t_1)=rac{\langle ilde{C}_j^3(t_2,t_1)
angle}{\langle ilde{C}_j^2(t_2)
angle}\ &S_j(t_2)=\sum_{t_1}R_j(t_2,t_1) \end{aligned}$$

#### Numerical Results

 $m_{q} = 0.0203 \mid m_{\pi} = 380 \text{ MeV}$ 







$m_q$ in Lattice Units	p = 0	p = 1	p = 2
0.0203	$0.03489162 \pm 0.0289$	$0.11445934 \pm 0.0496$	$0.19448887 \pm 0.1286$
0.0576	$0.056713 \pm 0.0209$	$0.079707 \pm 0.0357$	$0.136807 \pm 0.0594$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

#### Future Developments

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Shifting source in time construct 2-pt function to increase statistics.... expected to reduce errorbar by  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

- Obtain correct renormalization factor
- $\bullet$  Use  $32^3 \times 64$  RBC/UKQCD Lattice
- Calculation with other gauge fixing choices
- Plan to construct  $A_{phys}$  on lattice

## Thank You!

#### Backup

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

#### Numerical Results

 $m_q = 0.0576 \mid m_\pi = 640 \; {
m MeV}$ 



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ● 三 のへの

#### Effective Mass Plots



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 臣 … のへで

$$\Delta \bar{G}(P^z,\mu) = Z_{gg}(P^z/\mu)\Delta G(\mu) + Z_{gq}(P^z/\mu)\Delta\Sigma(\mu) , \qquad (1)$$

where  $\Delta\Sigma(\mu)$  is the quark spin, and  $\mu$  is the renormalization scale.  $Z_{gg}$  and  $Z_{qg}$  are the matching coefficients calculable in QCD perturbation theory. The operator considered in Ref. [7] was  $\vec{E} \times \vec{A}_{\perp}$ , where  $\vec{A}_{\perp}$  is the transverse part of the gauge field, or  $\vec{E} \times \vec{A}$  in the Coulomb gauge.

#### Jaffe-Manohar Decomposition

Jaffe-Manohar sum rule for proton spin [Nucl. Phys. B (1990)]

$$J^{z} = \int d^{3}\xi \psi^{\dagger} \frac{\Sigma^{3}}{2} \psi + \int d^{3}\xi \psi^{\dagger} \left(\vec{\xi} \times (-i\vec{\nabla})\right)^{3} \psi \\ + \int d^{3}\xi \left(\vec{E}_{a} \times \vec{A}^{a}\right)^{3} + \int d^{3}\xi E^{i}_{a} \left(\vec{\xi} \times \vec{\nabla}\right)^{3} A^{i,a}$$

where  $E_a^i = F_a^{+i}$ Each term separately is not gauge-invariant, except for quark spin part

Light-cone coordinates used, i.e.  $\xi^{\pm} = (\xi^0 \pm \xi^3)/\sqrt{2}$ 

Light-cone is not accessible to Lattice QCD calculation which is based on Euclidean path-integral formulation

#### Chen, Lü, Sun, Wang, Goldman Decomposition

Chen, L*ü*, Sun, Wang, Goldman [PRL. 100, 232002 (2008), PRL. 103, 062001 (2009)]: Decomposed **A** as:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{phys}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{pure}}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$J_{QCD} = S'_q + L'_q + S'_G + L'_G,$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{S}'_{q} &= \int \psi^{\dagger} \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \psi \, d^{3}x, \\ \boldsymbol{L}'_{q} &= \int \psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{x} \times \left(\frac{1}{i} \nabla - g \, \boldsymbol{A}_{pure}\right) \psi \, d^{3}x, \\ \boldsymbol{S}'_{G} &= \int \boldsymbol{E}^{a} \times \boldsymbol{A}^{a}_{phys} \, d^{3}x, \\ \boldsymbol{L}'_{G} &= \int E^{aj} \left(\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{D}_{pure}\right) A^{aj}_{phys} \, d^{3}x, \end{split}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Wakamatsu Decompositon [Phys. Rev. D 81, 114010 (2010)]

- $\rightarrow$  Quark parts same as Ji decompostion
- $\rightarrow \! \mathsf{Q}\mathsf{uark}$  and gluon intrinsic spin parts same Chen decomposition

 $\rightarrow$  Both Chen and Wakamtsu deomposition gauge invariant but in non-covariant forms. Not convenient for connecting with high-energy DIS observables

 $\rightarrow$  Non-covariant treatment makes it hard to check out the Lorentz-frame dependence or independence of the nucleon spin sum rule derived on the basis of them

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Wakamatsu then proposed another generalization with condition [Mechanical decomposition]:

$$F^{\mu
u}_{pure}~\equiv~\partial^{\mu}\,A^{
u}_{pure}-\partial^{
u}\,A^{\mu}_{pure}-i\,g\left[A^{\mu}_{pure},A^{
u}_{pure}
ight]~=~0,$$

$$\begin{split} A^{\mu}_{phys}(x) &\to U(x) \, A^{\mu}_{phys}(x) \, U^{-1}(x), \\ A^{\mu}_{pure}(x) &\to U(x) \, \left( \, A^{\mu}_{pure}(x) - \frac{i}{g} \, \partial^{\mu} \, \right) \, U^{-1}(x). \end{split}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Shown [M. Wakamatsu, Phys. Rev. D 83, 014012 (2011)] that the quark and gluon intrinsic spin parts coincide with the first moments of the polarized distribution functions appearing in the polarized DIS cross-sections.

$$\Delta q = \int \Delta q(x) \, dx, \quad \Delta g = \int \Delta g(x) \, dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?