Kaon semileptonic vector form factor with $N_f=2+1+1$ Twisted Mass fermions

L. Riggio (ETM Collaboration)

Università di Roma Tre, INFN Roma Tre



N. Carrasco, P. Lami, V. Lubicz, E. Picca, L. Riggio, F. Sanfilippo, S. Simula, C. Tarantino

Motivation

Precise determination of the CKM matrix element is important to test the SM



Semileptonic decay rate : $\Gamma(K \to \pi l \nu) \propto (|V_{us}|f_+(0))^2$

Experimental average

$$|V_{us}|f_+(0) = 0.2163(5)$$

Extracting f+(0) from Lattice QCD allows us to estimate $|V_{us}|$

Outline



General strategy



- Interpolation of f_+ and f_0 to $q^2=0$
- Chiral and continuum extrapolation of f(0)
- Results and CKM unitarity tests
 - Summary and Conclusions

Something on the action:

- Wilson Twisted Mass action at maximal twist with Nf=2+1+1 sea quarks
- Osterwalder-Seiler valence quark action
- Iwasaki gluon action

Details of the ensembles used in this $N_f = 2+1+1$ analysis

The valence light quark mass is put equal to the sea quark mass

ensemble	β	V/a^4	$a\mu_{sea} = a\mu_l$	$a\mu_{\sigma}$	$a\mu_{\delta}$	N_{cfg}	$a\mu_s$	$a\mu_c$
A30.32	1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0030	0.15	0.19	150	0.0145,	0.1800, 0.2200,
A40.32			0.0040			90	0.0185,	0.2600, 0.3000,
A50.32			0.0050			150	0.0225	0.3600, 0.4400
A40.24	1.90	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	150		
A60.24			0.0060			150		
A80.24			0.0080			150		
A100.24			0.0100			150		
B25.32	1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0025	0.135	0.170	150	0.0141,	0.1750, 0.2140,
B35.32			0.0035			150	0.0180,	0.2530, 0.2920,
B55.32			0.0055			150	0.0219	0.3510, 0.4290
B75.32			0.0075			75		
B85.24	1.95	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0085	0.135	0.170	150		
D15.48	2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0015	0.12	0.1385	60	0.0118,	0.1470, 0.1795,
D20.48			0.0020			90	0.0151,	0.2120, 0.2450,
D30.48			0.0030			90	0.0184	0.2945, 0.3595

Lattice Spacings					
$a(\beta = 1.90)$	$0.0885(36) { m fm}$				
a($\beta = 1.95$)	0.0815(30) fm				
$a(\beta = 2.10)$	$0.0619(18) { m fm}$				

PRA027

"QCD simulations for flavor physics in the Standard Model and beyond" (35 millions of core-hours at the BG/ P system in Julich from December 2010 to March 2011)

β	L(fm)	$M_{\pi}({ m MeV})$	$M_{\pi}L$
1.90	2.84	245.41	3.53
		282.13	4.06
		314.43	4.53
1.90	2.13	282.13	3.05
		343.68	3.71
		396.04	4.27
		442.99	4.78
1.95	2.61	238.67	3.16
		280.95	3.72
		350.12	4.64
		408.13	5.41
1.95	1.96	434.63	4.32
2.10	2.97	211.18	3.19
		242.80	3.66
		295.55	4.46

Details of the ensembles used in this $N_f = 2+1+1$ analysis

The valence light quark mass is put equal to the sea quark mass

ensemble	β	V/a^4	$a\mu_{sea} = a\mu_l$	$a\mu_{\sigma}$	$a\mu_{\delta}$	N_{cfg}	$a\mu_s$	$a\mu_c$
A30.32	1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0030	0.15	0.19	150	0.0145,	0.1800, 0.2200,
A40.32			0.0040			90	0.0185,	0.2600, 0.3000,
A50.32			0.0050			150	0.0225	0.3600, 0.4400
A40.24	1.90	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	150		
A60.24			0.0060			150		
A80.24			0.0080			150		
A100.24			0.0100			150		
B25.32	1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0025	0.135	0.170	150	0.0141,	0.1750, 0.2140,
B35.32			0.0035			150	0.0180,	0.2530, 0.2920,
B55.32			0.0055			150	0.0219	0.3510, 0.4290
B75.32			0.0075			75		
B85.24	1.95	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0085	0.135	0.170	150		
D15.48	2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0015	0.12	0.1385	60	0.0118,	0.1470, 0.1795,
D20.48			0.0020			90	0.0151,	0.2120, 0.2450,
D30.48			0.0030			90	0.0184	0.2945, 0.3595

Lattice Spacings					
a($\beta = 1.90$)	$0.0885(36) { m fm}$				
$a(\beta = 1.95)$	0.0815(30) fm				
a($\beta = 2.10$)	0.0619(18) fm				

Three different values of the lattice spacing: $0.06 \text{ fm} \div 0.09 \text{ fm}$

PRA027

"QCD simulations for flavor physics in the Standard Model and beyond" (35 millions of core-hours at the BG/ P system in Julich from December 2010 to March 2011)

β	L(fm)	$M_{\pi}({ m MeV})$	$M_{\pi}L$
1.90	2.84	245.41	3.53
		282.13	4.06
		314.43	4.53
1.90	2.13	282.13	3.05
		343.68	3.71
		396.04	4.27
		442.99	4.78
1.95	2.61	238.67	3.16
		280.95	3.72
		350.12	4.64
		408.13	5.41
1.95	1.96	434.63	4.32
2.10	2.97	211.18	3.19
		242.80	3.66
		295.55	4.46

Details of the ensembles used in this $N_f = 2+1+1$ analysis

The valence light quark mass is put equal to the sea quark mass

ensemble	β	V/a^4	$a\mu_{sea} = a\mu_l$	$a\mu_{\sigma}$	$a\mu_{\delta}$	N_{cfg}	$a\mu_s$	$a\mu_c$
A30.32	1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0030	0.15	0.19	150	0.0145,	0.1800, 0.2200,
A40.32			0.0040			90	0.0185,	0.2600, 0.3000,
A50.32			0.0050			150	0.0225	0.3600, 0.4400
A40.24	1.90	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	150		
A60.24			0.0060			150		
A80.24			0.0080			150		
A100.24			0.0100			150		
B25.32	1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0025	0.135	0.170	150	0.0141,	0.1750, 0.2140,
B35.32			0.0035			150	0.0180,	0.2530, 0.2920,
B55.32			0.0055			150	0.0219	0.3510, 0.4290
B75.32			0.0075			75		
B85.24	1.95	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0085	0.135	0.170	150		
D15.48	2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0015	0.12	0.1385	60	0.0118,	0.1470, 0.1795,
D20.48			0.0020			90	0.0151,	0.2120, 0.2450,
D30.48			0.0030			90	0.0184	0.2945, 0.3595

Lattice Spacings					
a($\beta = 1.90$)	0.0885(36) fm				
$a(\beta = 1.95)$	0.0815(30) fm				
$a(\beta = 2.10)$	0.0619(18) fm				

Different volumes: $2 fm \div 3 fm$

PRA027 "QCD simulations for flavor physics in the Standard Model and beyond" (35 millions of core-hours at the BG/ P system in Julich from December 2010 to March 2011)

β	L(fm)	$M_{\pi}({ m MeV})$	$M_{\pi}L$
1.90	2.84	245.41	3.53
		282.13	4.06
		314.43	4.53
1.90	2.13	282.13	3.05
		343.68	3.71
		396.04	4.27
		442.99	4.78
1.95	2.61	238.67	3.16
		280.95	3.72
		350.12	4.64
		408.13	5.41
1.95	1.96	434.63	4.32
2.10	2.97	211.18	3.19
		242.80	3.66
		295.55	4.46

Details of the ensembles used in this $N_f = 2+1+1$ analysis

The valence light quark mass is put equal to the sea quark mass

ensemble	β	V/a^4	$a\mu_{sea} = a\mu_l$	$a\mu_{\sigma}$	$a\mu_{\delta}$	N_{cfg}	$a\mu_s$	$a\mu_c$
A30.32	1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0030	0.15	0.19	150	0.0145,	0.1800, 0.2200,
A40.32			0.0040			90	0.0185,	0.2600, 0.3000,
A50.32			0.0050			150	0.0225	0.3600, 0.4400
A40.24	1.90	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	150		
A60.24			0.0060			150		
A80.24			0.0080			150		
A100.24			0.0100			150		
B25.32	1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0025	0.135	0.170	150	0.0141,	0.1750, 0.2140,
B35.32			0.0035			150	0.0180,	0.2530, 0.2920,
B55.32			0.0055			150	0.0219	0.3510, 0.4290
B75.32			0.0075			75		
B85.24	1.95	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0085	0.135	0.170	150		
D15.48	2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0015	0.12	0.1385	60	0.0118,	0.1470, 0.1795,
D20.48			0.0020			90	0.0151,	0.2120, 0.2450,
D30.48			0.0030			90	0.0184	0.2945, 0.3595

Lattice Spacings					
$a(\beta = 1.90)$	0.0885(36) fm				
$a(\beta = 1.95)$	0.0815(30) fm				
$a(\beta = 2.10)$	0.0619(18) fm				

Pion masses in range $210 \div 440$ MeV

PRA027 "QCD simulations for flavor physics in the Standard Model and beyond" (35 millions of core-hours at the BG/ P system in Julich from December 2010 to March 2011)

β	L(fm)	$M_{\pi}({ m MeV})$	$M_{\pi}L$
1.90	2.84	245.41	3.53
		282.13	4.06
		314.43	4.53
1.90	2.13	282.13	3.05
		343.68	3.71
		396.04	4.27
		442.99	4.78
1.95	2.61	238.67	3.16
		280.95	3.72
		350.12	4.64
		408.13	5.41
1.95	1.96	434.63	4.32
2.10	2.97	211.18	3.19
		242.80	3.66
		295.55	4.46

Details of the ensembles used in this $N_f = 2+1+1$ analysis

The valence light quark mass is put equal to the sea quark mass

ensemble	β	V/a^4	$a\mu_{sea} = a\mu_l$	$a\mu_{\sigma}$	$a\mu_{\delta}$	N_{cfg}	$a\mu_s$	$a\mu_c$
A30.32	1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0030	0.15	0.19	150	0.0145,	0.1800, 0.2200,
A40.32			0.0040			90	0.0185,	0.2600, 0.3000,
A50.32			0.0050			150	0.0225	0.3600, 0.4400
A40.24	1.90	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	150		
A60.24			0.0060			150		
A80.24			0.0080			150		
A100.24			0.0100			150		
B25.32	1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0025	0.135	0.170	150	0.0141,	0.1750, 0.2140,
B35.32			0.0035			150	0.0180,	0.2530, 0.2920,
B55.32			0.0055			150	0.0219	0.3510, 0.4290
B75.32			0.0075			75		
B85.24	1.95	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0085	0.135	0.170	150		
D15.48	2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0015	0.12	0.1385	60	0.0118,	0.1470, 0.1795,
D20.48			0.0020			90	0.0151,	0.2120, 0.2450,
D30.48			0.0030			90	0.0184	0.2945, 0.3595

PRA027

"QCD simulations for flavor physics in the Standard Model and beyond" (35 millions of core-hours at the BG/ P system in Julich from December 2010 to March 2011)

To inject momenta we used non-periodic boundary conditions

β	V/a^4	θ
1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	$0.0, \pm 0.400,$
		$\pm 0.933, \pm 1.733$
	$24^3 \times 48$	$0.0, \pm 0.300,$
		$\pm 0.700, \pm 1.300$
1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	$0.0, \pm 0.366,$
		$\pm 0.854, \pm 1.588$
	$24^3 \times 48$	$0.0, \pm 0.275,$
		$\pm 0.641, \pm 1.191$
2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	$0.0, \pm 0.424,$
		$\pm 0.986, \pm 1.832$

General strategy

$$\langle \pi(p') | V_{\mu} | K(p) \rangle = (p_{\mu} + p'_{\mu}) f_{+}(q^{2}) + (p_{\mu} - p'_{\mu}) f_{-}(q^{2})$$

extract the matrix element $\langle \pi(p') | V_{\mu} | K(p) \rangle$ from appropriate ratio of three-points correlation function to build $f_0(q^2)$ and $f_+(q^2)$

we used the ratio
$$R_{\mu} = \frac{C_{\mu}^{K\pi}(t, \vec{p}, \vec{p}') - C_{\mu}^{\pi K}(t, \vec{p}', \vec{p})}{C_{\mu}^{\pi \pi}(t, \vec{p}', \vec{p}') - C_{\mu}^{KK}(t, \vec{p}, \vec{p})}$$

Fit simultaneously $f_0(q^2)$ and $f_+(q^2)$ to get $f_0(0)=f_+(0)$

z expansion

Polynomial fit

Perform the Chiral and continuum extrapolation of f(0)

SU(2) ChPT



General strategy

The matrix element of the vector current between two PS mesons decomposes into two form factors $\langle \pi (p') | V_{\mu} | K(p) \rangle = (p_{\mu} + p'_{\mu}) f_{+} (q^{2}) + (p_{\mu} - p'_{\mu}) f_{-} (q^{2})$

depending on the momentum transfer

$$q^{2} = (E - E')^{2} - (p_{i} - p'_{i})^{2}$$

The matrix element can be derived in lattice QCD from a combination of Euclidean three-point functions We define the ratio:

$$R_{\mu} = \frac{C_{\mu}^{K\pi}(t,\vec{p},\vec{p}') \quad C_{\mu}^{\pi K}(t,\vec{p}',\vec{p})}{C_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}(t,\vec{p}',\vec{p}') \quad C_{\mu}^{KK}(t,\vec{p},\vec{p})}$$
 in which the renormalization Z_{V} and Z_{K} and Z_{π} cancels

$$C_{\mu}^{K\pi} \left(t_{x}, t_{y}, \vec{p}, \vec{p}^{'} \right) \xrightarrow[t_{x} \to \infty]{} (t_{x} - t_{y}) \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{Z_{K}Z_{\pi}}}{4E_{K}E_{\pi}} \left\langle \pi \left(p^{\prime} \right) \right| \hat{V}_{\mu} \left| K \left(p \right) \right\rangle e^{-E_{K}t_{x} - E_{\pi}\left(t_{x} - t_{y} \right)}$$

$$\hat{R}_{\mu} = \frac{\left(\left\langle \pi \left(p^{\prime} \right) \right| V_{\mu} \left| K \left(p \right) \right\rangle \right)^{2}}{4p_{\mu}p_{\mu}^{\prime}}$$

General strategy

The matrix element of the vector current between two PS mesons decomposes into two form factors

 $\langle \pi(p') | V_{\mu} | K(p) \rangle = (p_{\mu} + p'_{\mu}) f_{+}(q^{2}) + (p_{\mu} - p'_{\mu}) f_{-}(q^{2})$

$$\hat{R}_{\mu} = \frac{\left(\left\langle \pi(p') \middle| V_{\mu} \middle| K(p) \right\rangle\right)^{2}}{4 p_{\mu} p'_{\mu}}$$

We can define V_0 and V_1 related to the form factors by the relations

$$V_{0} = 2\sqrt{R_{0}}\sqrt{EE'} = \left((E+E')f_{+}(q^{2}) + (E-E')f_{-}(q^{2}) \right)$$
$$V_{i} = 2\sqrt{R_{i}}\sqrt{p_{i}p_{i}'} = \left((p_{i}+p_{i}')f_{+}(q^{2}) + (p_{i}-p_{i}')f_{-}(q^{2}) \right)$$

and resolving the system we obtain:

$$f_{+}(q^{2}) = \frac{(E - E') V_{i} - (p_{i} - p'_{i}) V_{0}}{2Ep'_{i} - 2E'p_{i}}$$
$$f_{-}(q^{2}) = \frac{(p_{i} + p'_{i}) V_{0} - (E + E') V_{i}}{2Ep'_{i} - 2E'p_{i}}$$
$$f_{0}(q^{2}) = f_{+}(q^{2}) + \frac{q^{2}}{m_{K}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}} f_{-}(q^{2})$$

- V₀ and V_i are extracted from the double ratio of the three-points correlation function
- momenta are fixed by the non-periodic boundary conditions
- energies are extracted from the dispersion relation with the masses obtained fitting the two-points correlation function at rest

Plateaux

example of plateaux of V_0 and V_1 for all the selected kinematics



Plateaux

example of plateaux of the effective mass of a two-points correlation function at zero momentum



The fit intervals for the two-point correlation functions at rest are the one reported in [arXiv:1403.4504]

β	V/a^4	$[t_{min}, t_{max}]_{(\ell\ell,\ell s)}/a$
1.90	$24^3 \times 48$	[12, 23]
1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	[12, 31]
1.95	$24^3 \times 48$	[13, 23]
1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	[13, 31]
2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	[18, 40]

Extracting f(0)

We fitted simultaneously $f_+(q^2)$ and $f_0(q^2)$ to extract f(0) using the z expansion * to interpolate at $q^2=0$ we neglect the points corresponding to large negative q^2

* Bourrely Caprini and Lellouch [Phys.Rev. D79 (2009) 013008]



Extracting f(0)

We fitted simultaneously $f_+(q^2)$ and $f_0(q^2)$ to extract f(0) using the z expansion to interpolate at $q^2=0$ we neglect the points corresponding to large negative q^2



Extracting f(0)

We fitted simultaneously $f_+(q^2)$ and $f_0(q^2)$ to extract f(0) using the z expansion to interpolate at $q^2=0$ we neglect the points corresponding to large negative q^2





tinuum extrapolation

 $\xi = \frac{2B_0m_l}{(4\pi f_0)^2}$

SU(3) ChPT (at fixed m_s) $f_{+}(0) = 1 + f_{2} + \Delta f$ $f_2^{\text{fullQCD}} = \frac{3}{2}H_{\pi K} + \frac{3}{2}H_{\eta K}$ $H_{PQ} = -\frac{1}{64\pi^2 f_{\pi}^2} \left| M_P^2 + M_Q^2 + \frac{2M_P^2 M_Q^2}{M_P^2 - M_Q^2} \log \frac{M_Q^2}{M_P^2} \right|$ $\Delta f = (m_s - m_l)^2 [\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 m_l] + \Delta_2 a^2$

Le same as in Fig. 3.2, but for the decay constant $r_0 f_{\pi}$.

giovedì 26 giugno 2014



f+(0): SU(3) Chiral and continuum extrapolation

we performed a small interpolation in the data to arrive at $m_{s phys}$

SU(3) Chiral fit

0.01

0.02

m₁^R (GeV)

0.03

fit formula:

SU(3) Chiral fit

 $\beta = 1.90 \text{ V} = 32^3 \text{x} 64$

 $\beta = 1.90 \text{ V} = 24^3 \text{ x} 48$

 $\beta = 1.95 \text{ V} = 32^3 \text{x} 64$

 $\beta = 1.95 \text{ V} = 24^3 \text{ x} 48$

 $\beta = 2.10 \text{ V} = 48^3 \text{x} 96$

Continuum limit

0.04

$$f_{+}(0) = 1 + f_{2} + \Delta f$$
$$\Delta f = \left(m_{s} - m_{l}\right)^{2} \left[\Delta_{0} + \Delta_{1}m_{l}\right] + \Delta_{2}a^{2}$$

 $M^2{}_K,\,M^2{}_\pi$ and f_π appearing in f_2 are expressed at LO

We also tried the same fit using f_{π} instead of f_0 in the definition of f_2 obtaining cosistent results $f_{+}(0)=0.9734(40)$

The compatibility between ensemble A40.32 and A40.24 shows that FSE are small

To calculate $f_{+}(0)$ we used $m_s=99.6(4.1)$ MeV and $m_{u/d}=3.70(17)$ MeV extracted in our previous work [arXiv:1403.4504]

our result:

 $f_+(0) = 0.9725(41)$

1.06

1.04

1.02

0.98

0.96

f(0)

$f_{+}(0)$ - results and sistematics

The results from our analysis:

$$f_+(0) = 0.9683(65)$$

in particular:

$$f_{+}(0) = 0.9683(50)_{\text{stat+fit}}(42)_{\text{chiral}}$$

stat+fit is referred to both the statistical uncertainties (including the total error on the light and strange quark mass determination) and the uncertainties due to the fitting procedure

Chiral extrapolation systematic uncertainties have been evaluated comparing the results obtained from two different fit formulae i.e. SU(2) ChPT and SU(3) ChPT

An estimate of the systematic effects associated to the \underline{FSE} has not been performed yet. However comparing ensembles A40.24 and A40.32 we expect these effect to be small compared to the other uncertainties

Testing the CKM unitarity

Testing the first row

Testing the first row

$$|V_u|^2 \equiv |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1$$
 $V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$

Experimental input ⁽¹⁾ our result determination of
$$|V_{us}|$$

 $K_{\ell 2}$ $\left| \frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}} \right| \frac{f_{K^{\pm}}}{f_{\pi^{\pm}}} = 0.2758(5)$ $\frac{f_{K^{\pm}}}{f_{\pi^{\pm}}} = 1.183(17)$ $|V_{us}| = 0.2271(33)$
 $K_{\ell 3}$ $|V_{us}|f_{+}(0) = 0.2163(5)$ $f_{+}(0) = 0.9683(65)$ $|V_{us}| = 0.2234(16)$
 $|V_{ud}| = 0.97425(22)$ from β -decay ⁽³⁾
 $K_{\ell 2}$ $\left| \frac{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1.0007(16)}{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 0.9991(8)}$ (1) Eur.Phys.J. C69 (2010) 399-424
 $K_{\ell 3}$ $\left| \frac{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 0.9991(8)}{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 0.9991(8)} \right|$ (2) PoS LATTICE 2013 (Carrasco et al.
(3) Phys.Rev. C79 (2009) 055502

Testing the CKM unitarity



Conclusions



We presented $N_f=2+1+1$ preliminary results for the semileptonic form factor $f_+(0)$

Summary of the results in comparison with FLAG averages:

	Our results	$\operatorname{FLAG}_{N_f=2}$	$FLAG_{N_f=2+1}$	$\boxed{\text{FNAL/MILC}^*_{N_f=2+1+1}}$
$f_{+}(0)$	0.9683(65)	0.9560(84)	0.9661(32)	0.9704(32)

Future plans:

- - compare with an estimate of $f_+(0)$ coming from the scalar density
 - A more detailed analysis of the q² dependence of the form factor and a comparison with the experimental data

* PRL 112 (2014) (Bazavov et. al.)

Backup

Chiral and continuum extrapolation of M_V

Extrapolating the vector pole mass M_V obtained from the fit in q^2 of f_+ we should get a rough estimate of the K^{*} mass



Chiral and continuum extrapolation of M_V

Excluding the ensemble A30.32 from the chiral and continuum extrapolation of f(0) we get



So even if A30.32 seems to be off is overall effect is less then 0.1% and therefore marginal

Ademollo Gatto Theorem

The AG theorem states that in SU(3) limits $f_+(0)=1$ and deviation from unity are of the order of $(m_s-m_l)^2$

$$f_{+}(0) = 1 + \infty (m_{s} - m_{l})^{2}$$

We can test AG theorem plotting $\Delta f = f_+(0) - 1 - f_2$ divided by $(m_s - m_l)^2$ as a function m_l notice the data as been extrapolated at m_s^{phys}



On the action

Wilson Twisted Mass action at maximal twist with Nf=2+1+1 sea quarks

Light degenerate doublet

$$S_{tm}^{l} = a^{4} \sum_{x} \overline{\psi}(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mu} (\nabla_{\mu} + \nabla_{\mu}^{*}) - i \gamma_{5} \tau^{3} \left[M_{0} - \frac{a}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}^{*} \right] + \mu_{l} \right\} \psi(x)$$

Heavy non degenerate doublet

$$S_{tm}^{h} = a^{4} \sum_{x} \overline{\psi}(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mu} (\nabla_{\mu} + \nabla_{\mu}^{*}) - i\gamma_{5} \tau^{1} \left[M_{0} - \frac{a}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}^{*} \right] + \mu_{\sigma} + \mu_{\delta} \tau^{3} \right\} \psi(x)$$



Osterwalder-Seiler valence quark action

$$S_{OS}^f = a^4 \sum_x \overline{q}_f(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu (\nabla_\mu + \nabla^*_\mu) - i\gamma_5 r_f \left[M_0 - \frac{a}{2} \nabla_\mu \nabla^*_\mu \right] + \mu_f \right\} q_f(x)$$