Lattice N=4 SYM

Joel Giedt (RPI)

in collaboration with Simon Catterall, Anosh Joseph, Eric Dzienkowski, Robert Wells, David Schaich, Tom DeGrand, Poul Damgaard

The lattice SUSY problem

 $\{Q_{\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}P_{\mu}$

- P_{μ} generator of infinitesmal translations.
- Broken on lattice.
- Only discrete subgroup preserved.
- with lattice spacing *a*

$$x \to T_{\mu}x = x + a\hat{\mu}$$

Failure of Leibnitz rule

- So why not just change the algebra to $\{Q_{\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\frac{1}{a}(T_{\mu} - 1) \equiv 2i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\nabla_{\mu}$
- Notice that

 $\nabla_{\mu}\phi(x) = \frac{1}{a}[\phi(x+a\hat{\mu}) - \phi(x)] = \partial_{\mu}\phi(x) + \frac{a}{2}\partial_{\mu}^{2}\phi(x) + \mathcal{O}(a^{2})$

- Problem: essential difference between these (∂_{μ} and ∇_{μ}) at finite lattice spacing.
- The Leibnitz rule.

$$\nabla_{\mu}[\phi(x)\chi(x)] = \frac{1}{a}[\phi(x+a\hat{\mu})\chi(x+a\hat{\mu}) - \phi(x)\chi(x)]$$
$$= \nabla_{\mu}\phi(x)\chi(x) + \phi(x)\nabla_{\mu}\chi(x) + a\nabla_{\mu}\phi(x)\nabla_{\mu}\chi(x)$$

Dondi & Nicolai, 1977

Problems for interacting theory

- SUSY algebra on elementary fields
- Not on polynomials of fields

• Result: *O*(*a*) artifact:

$$\delta_{\epsilon}S = i[\epsilon^{\alpha}Q_{\alpha} + \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}, S] = ia(\epsilon^{\alpha}X_{\alpha} - \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{X}^{\dot{\alpha}})$$

But we send *a* → 0 at the end of our calculations, so who cares?

Counterterm/renormalization in N=1 SYM

• Failure of Leibnitz rule \rightarrow O(a) term

 $\langle \partial_{\mu} S_{\mu}(x) O(y) \rangle = m_0 \langle \chi(x) O(y) \rangle + a \langle O_{11/2}(x) O(y) \rangle + \text{contact terms}$

$$O_{11/2}^R = Z_{11/2} \left[O_{11/2} + \frac{1}{a} (Z_S - 1) \partial_\mu S_\mu + \frac{1}{a} Z_T \partial_\mu T_\mu + \frac{1}{a^2} Z_\chi \chi \right] + \sum_j Z_{11/2}^{(j)} O_{11/2}^{(j)R}$$

$$S_{\mu} = -\sigma_{\rho\nu}\gamma_{\mu}\operatorname{Tr}(F_{\rho\nu}\lambda), \quad T_{\mu} = 2\gamma_{\nu}\operatorname{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}\lambda), \quad \chi = \sigma_{\mu\nu}\operatorname{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}\lambda)$$

 $\langle \partial_{\mu} S^{R}_{\mu}(x) O^{R}(y) \rangle = (m_{0} - \frac{Z_{\chi}}{a}) \langle \chi(x) O^{R}(y) \rangle + a \cdot \text{finite} + \text{contact terms}$ $S^{R}_{\mu} = Z_{S} S_{\mu} + Z_{T} T_{\mu}$

• Z_T/Z_S must be determined to test for SUSY •Fine-tuning just M_0 agrees w/ expectations

Farchioni et al. 2001

Wilson fermion N=4 SYM

- How bad is an entirely conventional approach, say using Wilson fermions?
- We cannot impose SU(4) R symmetry because it is chiral, and Wilson fermions violate chiral symmetry.
- However, we can impose SO(4) flavor symmetry with the fermions in 4's (vector) and the scalars in 6 (antisymmetric tensor).
- To determine the number of fine-tunings, we write down the most general renormalizable action consistent with these constraints.

$$S = \int d^4x \operatorname{Tr} \{ -\frac{1}{2g_r^2} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{g_r^2} \overline{\lambda}_i \overline{\sigma}^{\mu} D_{\mu} \lambda_i + \frac{1}{g_r^2} D_{\mu} \phi_m D_{\mu} \phi_m + m_{\phi}^2 \phi_m \phi_m \phi_m \phi_m \phi_m + m_{\lambda} (\lambda_i \lambda_i + \overline{\lambda}_i \overline{\lambda}_i) + \kappa_1 \phi_m \phi_m \phi_n \phi_n + \kappa_2 \phi_m \phi_n \phi_m \phi_n + y_1 (\lambda_i [\phi_{ij}, \lambda_j] + \overline{\lambda}_i [\phi_{ij}, \overline{\lambda}_j]) + y_2 \epsilon_{ijkl} (\lambda_i [\phi_{jk}, \lambda_l] + \overline{\lambda}_i [\phi_{jk}, \overline{\lambda}_l]) \} + \int d^4x \{ \kappa_3 (\operatorname{Tr} \phi_m \phi_m)^2 + \kappa_4 \operatorname{Tr} \phi_m \phi_n \operatorname{Tr} \phi_m \phi_n \}$$

•We achieved the first three coefficients by rescaling the fermion and scalar.

•We are left with 8 parameters to fine-tune: hopeless.

- Goal of modern formulations: reduce the number of finetunings.
- Method: lattice symmetries that restrict the long distance effective action.

Twisted N=4

• We form the twisted rotation group from an SO(4) subgroup of the flavor (R symmetry) group SU(4):

 $SO(4)' = \operatorname{diag}[SO(4)_E \times SO(4)_R]$

 $\lambda^I_{\alpha} \to \Psi_{\alpha\beta}$

Then it is natural to expand on the five gamma matrices (a=1,...,5):

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2}\eta + \psi_a \gamma_a + \frac{i}{2}\chi_{ab}[\gamma_a, \gamma_b]$$

• Given the 5d language of the fermions, it is also natural to package up the bosons in a 5d way:

$$\mathcal{A}_a = A_a + iB_a, \quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}_a = A_a - iB_a$$

$$Q \text{ invariant action}$$

$$S = \frac{1}{2g^2}(Q\Lambda + S_{\text{closed}})$$

$$\Lambda = \int d^4x \operatorname{Tr}(\chi_{mn}\mathcal{F}_{mn} + \eta[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m, \mathcal{D}_m] - \frac{1}{2}\eta d)$$

$$S_{\text{closed}} = -\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x \operatorname{Tr}\epsilon_{mnrpq}\chi_{pq}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_r\chi_{mn}$$

$$Q\mathcal{A}_m = \psi_m, \quad Q\psi_m = 0, \quad Q\overline{\mathcal{A}}_m = 0$$

$$Q\chi_{mn} = -\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{mn}, \quad Q\eta = d, \quad Qd = 0$$

Lattice discretization

• In the lattice theory we switch to link variables for the gauge fields

$$\mathcal{U}_a(x) = e^{\mathcal{A}_a(x)}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x) = \mathcal{U}_a^{\dagger}(x) = e^{-\overline{\mathcal{A}}_a(x)}$$

• Physically, $U_a(x)$ is a link that goes from

$$x \to x + ae_a$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x)$ is a link between the same pair of sites but going in the opposite direction.

Catterall, 0712.2532

• The five e_a are basis vectors of the A_4^* lattice.

$$e_{1} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}\right)$$

$$e_{2} = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}\right)$$

$$e_{3} = \left(0, -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}\right)$$

$$e_{4} = \left(0, 0, -\frac{3}{\sqrt{12}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}\right)$$

$$e_{5} = \left(0, 0, 0, -\frac{4}{\sqrt{20}}\right)$$

• These vectors are also used to construct an important orthogonal matrix

$$\mathcal{O}_{a\mu} = e_a^{\mu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{a5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad a = 1, \dots, 5, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, 3$$

• The bosonic fields of the usual formulation of N=4 SYM are obtained as

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + i\phi_{\mu+1} = \mathcal{O}_{a\mu}\mathcal{A}_a, \quad \phi_5 + i\phi_6 = \mathcal{O}_{a5}\mathcal{A}_a$$

Unsal, hep-th/0603046

• Under gauge transformations, the link variables transform in the usual way:

$$\mathcal{U}_a(x) \to g(x)\mathcal{U}_a(x)g^{\dagger}(x+e_a)$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x) \to g(x+e_a)\overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x)g^{\dagger}(x)$

• The transformations of all of our other fields are dictated by this index related prescription

$$\eta(x) \to g(x)\eta(x)g^{\dagger}(x), \quad \psi_a(x) \to g(x)\psi_a(x)g^{\dagger}(x+e_a)$$

 $\chi_{ab}(x) \to g(x+e_a+e_b)\chi_{ab}(x)g^{\dagger}(x)$

- Next we have to figure out how to discretize the covariant derivatives.
- For the field strength, the following has the right continuum limit:

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}(x) = \mathcal{D}_a^{(+)} \mathcal{U}_b(x) = \mathcal{U}_a(x) \mathcal{U}_b(x + e_a) - \mathcal{U}_b(x) \mathcal{U}_a(x + e_b)$$

- We also introduce derivatives for term 2 of the action: $[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_a, \mathcal{D}_a] \to \overline{\mathcal{D}}_a^{(-)} \mathcal{U}_a(x) = \mathcal{U}_a(x) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x) - \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x - e_a) \mathcal{U}_a(x - e_a)$
- It is easy to see this has the right continuum limit.

• The lattice version of Q transformations is a fairly straightforward transcription from the continuum:

 $egin{aligned} & Q\mathcal{U}_a = \psi_a, \quad Q\psi_a = 0, \quad Q\overline{\mathcal{U}}_a = 0 \ & Q\chi_{ab}(x) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}(x) \equiv \overline{\mathcal{U}}_b(x+e_a)\overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x) - \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x+e_b)\overline{\mathcal{U}}_b(x) \ & Q\eta = d, \quad Qd = 0 \end{aligned}$

• Then the Q exact action requires the replacements in the "gauge fermion"

$$\chi_{ab}\mathcal{F}_{ab}: \quad \mathcal{F}_{ab}(x) = \mathcal{D}_{a}^{(+)}\mathcal{U}_{b}(x) = \mathcal{U}_{a}(x)\mathcal{U}_{b}(x+e_{a}) - \mathcal{U}_{b}(x)\mathcal{U}_{a}(x+e_{b})$$
$$\eta[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a},\mathcal{D}_{a}] \to \eta\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)}\mathcal{U}_{a}(x) = \eta(x)[\mathcal{U}_{a}(x)\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x) - \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x-e_{a})\mathcal{U}_{a}(x-e_{a})]$$
$$S_{Q-exact} = \sum_{x} Q \operatorname{Tr}\{\chi_{ab}\mathcal{F}_{ab} + \eta\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)}\mathcal{U}_{a} - \frac{1}{2}\eta d\}$$

- The action has a shift invariance (using equations of motion): $\eta \to \eta + \epsilon {\bf 1}$

• The Q-closed term is a little more work

$$\chi_{de}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c}^{(-)}\chi_{ab} = \chi_{de}(\cdots)[\chi_{ab}(x)\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{c}(\cdots) - \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{c}(\cdots)\chi_{ab}(x-e_{c})]$$
$$= \chi_{de}(x-e_{d}-e_{e}-e_{c})[\chi_{ab}(x)\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{c}(x-e_{c}) - \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{c}(x-e_{c}+e_{a}+e_{b})\chi_{ab}(x-e_{c})]$$



• For the closure of this term, an important property is the lattice Bianchi identity

$$\epsilon_{abcde}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c}^{(-)}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}=0$$

- If we have a renormalization scheme that preserves the lattice structure (including the symmetries), then we can enumerate the terms in the most general long distance effective action.
- There is only one Q-closed operator allowed by the lattice symmetries and it is already present.

$$S_{\text{Q-closed}} = -\frac{\alpha_4}{4} \sum_x \epsilon_{abcde} \operatorname{Tr}(\chi_{de} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_c^{(-)} \chi_{ab})$$

• Q-exact terms must be fermionic, so they take the general form

 $Q \operatorname{Tr}[\Psi f(\mathcal{U}, \overline{\mathcal{U}})]$

• Taking into account the lattice gauge invariance and S_5 symmetry, we have (up to irrelevant operators)

 $Q\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_{ab}\mathcal{U}_a\mathcal{U}_b) - Q\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_{ab}\mathcal{U}_b\mathcal{U}_a) = Q\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_{ab}\mathcal{D}_a^{(+)}\mathcal{U}_b)$

• With η we have lots of operators but shift invariance reduces to a few combinations

$$Q\mathrm{Tr}[\eta(x)\overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x-e_a)\mathcal{U}_a(x-e_a)], \quad Q\mathrm{Tr}(\eta d)$$

 $Q \operatorname{Tr}[\eta(x) \mathcal{U}_a(x) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a(x)], \quad Q \operatorname{Tr}\eta, \quad Q\{\operatorname{Tr}\eta \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{U}_a \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a)\}$

 $Q \operatorname{Tr}[\eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)} \mathcal{U}_{a}], \quad Q \operatorname{Tr}(\eta d)$ $Q \operatorname{Tr}(\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}) - \frac{1}{N} Q \{ \operatorname{Tr} \eta \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}) \}$

• Thus the renormalizable long distance theory is

$$S = \sum_{x} Q \operatorname{Tr} \{ \alpha_{1} \chi_{ab} \mathcal{D}_{a}^{(+)} \mathcal{U}_{b} + \alpha_{2} \eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)} \mathcal{U}_{a} - \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2} \eta d \}$$
$$+ \sum_{x} \beta_{1} Q \{ \operatorname{Tr}(\eta \mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}) - \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \eta \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{U}_{a} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}) \}$$
$$- \frac{\alpha_{4}}{4} \sum_{x} \epsilon_{abcde} \operatorname{Tr}(\chi_{de} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c}^{(-)} \chi_{ab})$$

• Seems to be 4 fine-tunings. This is far fewer than a naive approach would yield.

• Act with Q and then rescale the fermions and auxiliary field: $o \lambda_{a}n \quad v_{ab} o \lambda_{a} \gamma_{ab}, \quad \psi_{a} o \lambda_{ab} \psi_{a}, \quad d o \lambda_{d} d$

$$\eta \to \lambda_\eta \eta, \quad \chi_{ab} \to \lambda_\chi \chi_{ab}, \quad \psi_a \to \lambda_\psi \psi_a, \quad d \to \lambda$$

• The action becomes

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left\{-\alpha_{1}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}\mathcal{F}_{ab}-\alpha_{1}\lambda_{\chi}\lambda_{\psi}\chi_{ab}\mathcal{D}_{[a}^{(+)}\psi_{b]}+\alpha_{2}\lambda_{d}d\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)}\mathcal{U}_{a}-\alpha_{2}\lambda_{\eta}\lambda_{\psi}\eta\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)}\psi_{a}\right.\\\left.-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2}\lambda_{d}^{2}d^{2}-\frac{\alpha_{4}}{4}\lambda_{\chi}^{2}\epsilon_{abcde}\chi_{de}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c}^{(-)}\chi_{ab}\right\}+\beta\left\{\lambda_{d}\operatorname{Tr}(d\mathcal{U}_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})-\lambda_{\eta}\lambda_{\psi}\operatorname{Tr}(\eta\psi_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})\right.\\\left.-\frac{1}{N}\lambda_{d}\operatorname{Tr}d\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{U}_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})+\frac{1}{N}\lambda_{\eta}\lambda_{\psi}\operatorname{Tr}\eta\operatorname{Tr}(\psi_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})\right\}$$

• Use freedom to set

 $\alpha_1 \lambda_{\chi} \lambda_{\psi} = \alpha_1, \quad \alpha_2 \lambda_d = \alpha_1, \quad \alpha_2 \lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{\psi} = \alpha_1, \quad \alpha_4 \lambda_{\chi}^2 = \alpha_1$ • Solution:

$$\lambda_{\eta} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_1^3}{\alpha_4 \alpha_2^2}}, \quad \lambda_{\chi} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\psi}} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_4}}, \quad \lambda_d = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$$

• Define

$$\alpha_3' = \alpha_3 \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^2, \quad \beta' = \beta \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$$

• Action is now

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left\{-\alpha_{1}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}\mathcal{F}_{ab}-\alpha_{1}\chi_{ab}\mathcal{D}_{[a}^{(+)}\psi_{b]}+\alpha_{1}d\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)}\mathcal{U}_{a}-\alpha_{1}\eta\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}^{(-)}\psi_{a}\right.\\\left.-\frac{\alpha_{3}'}{2}d^{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{4}\epsilon_{abcde}\chi_{de}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c}^{(-)}\chi_{ab}\right\}+\beta'\left\{\operatorname{Tr}(d\mathcal{U}_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})-\operatorname{Tr}(\eta\psi_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})\right.\\\left.-\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Tr}d\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{U}_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})+\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Tr}\eta\operatorname{Tr}(\psi_{a}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a})\right\}$$

• Only 2 fine-tunings:

$$\alpha'_3 \to \alpha_1, \quad \beta' \to 0$$

Cf. clover fermions, also 2 fine-tunings.

One less, one left

- Actually, we showed in our previous work that the moduli space is not lifted at any order of lattice perturbation theory.
- Here it is crucial that the partition function is a topological quantity, so that the one-loop result holds to all orders.
- But the β term would lift the moduli space, so it is actually forbidden.
- Thus we are left with a single fine-tuning.

The other 15 SUSYs

• The supercharge also has the KD structure

$$\mathcal{Q} = Q + Q_a \gamma_a + \frac{i}{2} Q_{ab} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b]$$

 We can work out the other 15 SUSYs using discrete R invariances of the action (on-shell). For *a* fixed and *b*,*c*,etc. not equal to *a*, *R_a*:

$$\begin{split} \eta &\to 2\psi_a, \quad \psi_a \to \frac{1}{2}\eta, \quad \psi_b \to -\chi_{ab} \\ \chi_{ab} \to -\psi_b, \quad \chi_{bc} \to \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{bcagh}\chi_{gh} \\ \mathcal{D}_a \to \mathcal{D}_a, \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}}_a \to \overline{\mathcal{D}}_a, \quad \mathcal{D}_b \to \overline{\mathcal{D}}_b, \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}}_b \to \mathcal{D}_b \end{split}$$

• This leads to the five SUSYs

$$Q_{a}\mathcal{A}_{b} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}\eta, \quad Q_{a}\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{b} = -\chi_{ab}, \quad Q_{a}\psi_{b} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}d_{a} + (1-\delta_{ab})[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a},\mathcal{D}_{b}]$$
$$Q_{a}\chi_{bc} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcde}[\mathcal{D}_{d},\mathcal{D}_{e}], \quad Q_{a}\eta = 0, \quad Q_{a}d_{a} = 0$$
$$d_{a} = [\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a},\mathcal{D}_{a}] - \sum_{m \neq a}[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{m},\mathcal{D}_{m}]$$

• Then there are 10 other discrete R symmetries:

 $\begin{aligned} R_{ab}: \\ \eta \to 2\chi_{ab}, \quad \psi_a \to \psi_b, \quad \psi_b \to -\psi_a, \quad \psi_c \to \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{cabgh}\chi_{gh} \\ \chi_{ab} \to -\frac{1}{2}\eta, \quad \chi_{ac} \to \chi_{bc}, \quad \chi_{bc} \to -\chi_{ac}, \quad \chi_{gh} \to -\epsilon_{ghabc}\psi_c \\ \mathcal{D}_{a,b} \to \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a,b}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a,b} \to \mathcal{D}_{a,b}, \quad \mathcal{D}_c \to \mathcal{D}_c, \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}}_c \to \overline{\mathcal{D}}_c \end{aligned}$

Then one gets 10 more supercharges by applying these to Q:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ab}\mathcal{A}_{c} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcgh}\chi_{gh}, \quad Q_{ab}\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{c} = \delta_{ac}\psi_{b} - \delta_{bc}\psi_{a}, \quad Q_{ab}\psi_{c} = \epsilon_{abcgh}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{gh} \\ Q_{ab}\chi_{cd} &= \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} - \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc})d_{ab} + \delta_{ac}[\mathcal{D}_{b},\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{d}] - \delta_{bc}[\mathcal{D}_{a},\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{d}] \\ Q_{ab}\eta &= 2\mathcal{F}_{ab}, \quad Q_{ab}d_{ab} = 0 \\ d_{ab} &= -[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a},\mathcal{D}_{a}] - [\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a},\mathcal{D}_{a}] + \sum_{m \neq a,b}[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{m},\mathcal{D}_{m}] \end{aligned}$$

The equation $Q_{ab}d_{ab} = 0$ requires the EOM.

R_a and renormalization

• Returning to

$$Q \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \alpha_1 \chi_{ab} \mathcal{F}_{ab} + \alpha_2 \eta [\overline{\mathcal{D}}_a, \mathcal{D}_a] - \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \eta d \right\}$$
$$- \frac{\alpha_4}{4} \epsilon_{abcde} \chi_{de} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_c \chi_{ab} + \beta \left\{ \cdots \right\}$$

• Eliminate auxiliary

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left\{-\alpha_{1}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}\mathcal{F}_{ab}+\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}}{2\alpha_{3}}[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a},\mathcal{D}_{a}]^{2}-\alpha_{1}\chi_{ab}\mathcal{D}_{[a}\psi_{b]}\right.\\\left.-\alpha_{2}\eta\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{a}\psi_{a}-\frac{\alpha_{4}}{4}\epsilon_{abcde}\chi_{de}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{c}\chi_{ab}+\beta\left\{\cdots\right\}$$

- Apply R_a to this and demand invariance
- In bosonic sector terms are interchanged, requiring

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_3}, \quad \beta = 0$$

- In fermionic sector terms are interchanged, requiring $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_4, \quad \beta = 0$
- Thus R_a invariance forces SUSY long distance theory.

• Recall

$$\mathcal{U}_a = e^{\mathcal{A}_a}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a = e^{-\overline{\mathcal{A}}_a}$$

- Implies under R_a $\mathcal{U}_a \to \mathcal{U}_a, \quad \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a \to \overline{\mathcal{U}}_a, \quad \mathcal{U}_b \to \overline{\mathcal{U}}_b^{-1}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{U}}_b \to \mathcal{U}_b^{-1}$
- Thus a simple test of R_a restoration, and hence full N=4 SUSY restoration is

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr} \{ \mathcal{U}_{a}(x) \mathcal{U}_{b}(x+e_{a}) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x+e_{b}) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}(x) \} \rangle$$

= $\langle \operatorname{Tr} \{ \mathcal{U}_{a}(x) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}^{-1}(x+e_{a}) \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x+e_{b}) \mathcal{U}_{b}^{-1}(x) \} \rangle$

• Amazing

• Due to exact symmetries of lattice theory



Blocking

- The arguments about the long distance effective action only hold if there is a real space renormalization group which preserves the lattice structure.
- This means that Q, S₅, gauge invariance and geometric interpretation of fields should survive the flow.
- Here we provide an explicit construction.

• The original lattice Λ may be described by

$$\Lambda = \{a \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} n_{\mu} e_{\mu} | n \in \mathbf{Z}^4\}$$

- where the e_{μ} are the first four of the five (degenerate) basis vectors of the A_4^* lattice described above.
- The blocked lattice will merely be doubled in every direction:

$$\Lambda' = \{2a \sum_{\mu=1}^4 n_\mu e_\mu | n \in \mathbf{Z}^4\}$$

• From this point forward we will work in lattice units, setting a = 1

- The blocked fields will be denoted by primes.
- They must begin and end on sites of the blocked lattice Λ' .
- We want the geometric intepretation to survive the blocking.
- For example, $\chi'_{ab}(x)$ must begin on site $x + 2e_a + 2e_b$ and end on site x.



• One choice that achieves this is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{a}'(x) &= \mathcal{U}_{a}(x)\mathcal{U}_{a}(x+e_{a}), \quad \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}'(x) = \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x+e_{a})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x) \\ d'(x) &= d(x), \quad \eta'(x) = \eta(x) \\ \psi_{a}'(x) &= \psi_{a}(x)\mathcal{U}_{a}(x+e_{a}) + \mathcal{U}_{a}(x)\psi_{a}(x+e_{a}) \\ \chi_{ab}'(x) &= \frac{1}{2}[\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x+e_{a}+2e_{b})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}(x+e_{a}+e_{b})\chi_{ab}(x) \\ &\quad +\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}(x+2e_{a}+e_{b})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x+e_{a}+e_{b})\chi_{ab}(x)] \\ &\quad +[\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x+e_{a}+2e_{b})\chi_{ab}(x+e_{a})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}(x) \\ &\quad +\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}(x+2e_{a}+e_{b})\chi_{ab}(x+e_{a})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x)] \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}[\chi_{ab}(x+e_{a}+e_{b})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x+e_{a})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}(x) \\ &\quad +\chi_{ab}(x+e_{a}+e_{b})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{b}(x+e_{a})\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}(x)] \end{aligned}$$

• This choice preserves the Q algebra, namely

$$egin{aligned} & Q\mathcal{U}_a'=\psi_a\mathcal{U}_a+\mathcal{U}_a\psi_a=\psi_a' \ & Q\psi_a'=-\psi_a\psi_a+\psi_a\psi_a=0 \ & Q\overline{\mathcal{U}}_a'=0 & Q\eta'=d=d' & Qd'=0 \ & Q\chi_{ab}'=\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}' \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}'_{ab}(x) = \overline{\mathcal{U}}'_b(x+2e_a)\overline{\mathcal{U}}'_a(x) - \overline{\mathcal{U}}'_a(x+2e_b)\overline{\mathcal{U}}'_b(x)$$

• The last result, for $Q\chi'_{ab}$, is the only one that requires any significant computation.

Future directions

- RSRG calculations: MCRG
- CTs, finite parts, two loops
- Other 15 SUSYs after RSRG, fine-tuning
- Strong coupling issues