

## Non-renormalization Theorem and Cyclic Leibniz Rule in Lattice Supersymmetry

M. Sakamoto (Kobe University)

in collaboration with M. Kato and H. So based on JHEP 1305(2013)089; arXiv:1311.4962; and in progress



*For more than 30 years*, no one has succeeded to construct satisfactory lattice models which realize full supersymmetry algebras.

2

*For more than 30 years*, no one has succeeded to construct satisfactory lattice models which realize full supersymmetry algebras.

So, I want to know the answer to the question:

Are full SUSY algebras necessary to keep crucial features of SUSY on lattice?

2

*For more than 30 years*, no one has succeeded to construct satisfactory lattice models which realize full supersymmetry algebras.

So, I want to know the answer to the question:

Are full SUSY algebras necessary to keep crucial features of SUSY on lattice?

Our results suggest that the answer is possibly *negative*.

#### Leibniz rule and SUSY algebra



We want to find lattice SUSY transf.  $\delta_Q$ ,  $\delta_{Q'}$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} \text{lattice SUSY transf.} & \text{lattice action} \\ \delta_Q S[\phi, \chi, F] = \delta_{Q'} S[\phi, \chi, F] = 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with the SUSY algebra  $\{\delta_Q, \delta_{Q'}\} = \delta_P$ 

#### Leibniz rule and SUSY algebra

3

We want to find lattice SUSY transf.  $\delta_Q$ ,  $\delta_{Q'}$  such that  $\int_{\Gamma} [attice SUSY transf.] = [attice action] [attice action$ 

$$\set{\delta_Q,\,\delta_{Q'}} = \delta_P$$

One might replace  $\delta_P$  by a difference operator  $\nabla$ . Then, we need to find  $\nabla$  which satisfies the *Leibniz rule*.  $\delta_P(\phi\psi) = (\delta_P\phi)\psi + \phi(\delta_P\psi)$  $\xrightarrow{\delta_P \to \nabla} \nabla(\phi\psi) = (\nabla\psi)\psi + \phi(\nabla\psi)$  Leibniz rule

#### Leibniz rule and SUSY algebra

3

We want to find lattice SUSY transf.  $\delta_Q, \delta_{Q'}$  such that  $\int attice SUSY transf. \quad attice action$  $\delta_Q S[\phi, \chi, F] = \delta_{Q'} S[\phi, \chi, F] = 0$ 

with the SUSY algebra

- "translation" on lattice

$$\set{\delta_Q,\,\delta_{Q'}} = \delta_P$$

One might replace  $\delta_P$  by a difference operator  $\nabla$ . Then, we need to find  $\nabla$  which satisfies the *Leibniz rule*.  $\delta_P(\phi\psi) = (\delta_P\phi) \psi + \phi (\delta_P\psi)$ 

 $\xrightarrow{\delta_P o 
abla} \left[ 
abla(\phi\psi) = (
abla\psi) \psi + \phi (
abla\psi) 
ight]$  Leibniz rule

However, we can show that it is hard to realize the Leibniz rule on lattice!!

#### **No-Go theorem**

To answer the question whether the Leibniz rule can be realized on lattice or not, let us consider generalized difference operators and field products such as

difference operator:  $(
abla \phi)_n \equiv \sum_m 
abla_{nm} \phi_m$ field product:  $(\phi * \psi)_n \equiv \sum_{lm} M_{nlm} \phi_l \psi_m$ 



4

To answer the question whether the Leibniz rule can be realized on lattice or not, let us consider generalized difference operators and field products such as

difference operator:  $(
abla \phi)_n \equiv \sum_m 
abla_{nm} \phi_m$ field product:  $(\phi * \psi)_n \equiv \sum_m M_{nlm} \phi_l \psi_m$ 

No-Go Theorem M.Kato, M.S. & H.So, JHEP 05(2008)057 There is no difference operator  $\nabla$  satisfying

There is no difference operator  $\nabla$  satisfying the following three properties:

- i) translation invariance
- ii) locality

iii) Leibniz rule  $\nabla(\phi * \psi) = (\nabla \phi) * \psi_n + \phi * (\nabla \psi)$ 

### Our approach to construct lattice SUSY models 5

The No-Go theorem tells us that we cannot realize SUSY algebras with  $\nabla$  equipped with the Leibniz rule.

### Our approach to construct lattice SUSY models

The No-Go theorem tells us that we cannot realize SUSY algebras with  $\nabla$  equipped with the Leibniz rule.

Our strategy to construct lattice SUSY models is

Nilpotent SUSY algebra $(\delta_Q)^2 = (\delta_{Q'})^2 = \{\delta_Q, \delta_{Q'}\} = 0$ 

Leibniz rule  $\longrightarrow$ 

**Cyclic Leibniz rule** 

## Complex SUSY quantum mechanics on lattice 6

### Complex SUSY quantum mechanics on lattice 6

#### Lattice action

$$egin{aligned} S &= (
abla \phi_-, 
abla \phi_+) - (F_-, F_+) - i(\chi_-, 
abla ar\chi_+) + i(
abla ar\chi_-, \chi_+) \ &- \lambda_+(F_+, \phi_+ st \phi_+) + 2\lambda_+(\chi_+, ar\chi_+ st \phi_+) \ &- \lambda_-(F_-, \phi_- st \phi_-) - 2\lambda_-(\chi_-, ar\chi_- st \phi_-) \end{aligned}$$

difference operator:  $(\nabla \phi)_n \equiv \sum_m \nabla_{nm} \phi_m$ field product:  $(\phi * \psi)_n \equiv \sum_{lm} M_{nlm} \phi_l \psi_m$ inner product:  $(\phi, \psi) \equiv \sum_n \phi_n \psi_n$ 

To make our discussions simple, we here put *m*=0.
 We can add mass terms as well as *supersymmetric Wilson* terms to prevent the doubling.



N=2 Nilpotent SUSYs:  $(\delta_+)^2 = (\delta_-)^2 = \{\delta_+, \delta_-\} = 0$ 

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} \delta_+\phi_+=ar\chi_+\ \delta_+\chi_+=F_+\ \delta_+\chi_-=-i
abla\phi_-\ \delta_+F_-=-i
ablaar\chi_-\ \mathrm{others}\ =0 \end{array}
ight.$$

$$egin{aligned} &\delta_-\chi_+ = i 
abla \phi_+ \ &\delta_-F_+ = -i 
abla ar\chi_+ \ &\delta_-\phi_- = -ar\chi_- \ &\delta_-\chi_- = F_- \ &\delta_-\chi_- = F_- \ & ext{others} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



N=2 Nilpotent SUSYs: 
$$(\delta_+)^2 = (\delta_-)^2 = \{\delta_+, \delta_-\} = 0$$

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} \delta_+\phi_+=ar\chi_+\ \delta_+\chi_+=F_+\ \delta_+\chi_-=-i
abla\phi_-\ \delta_+F_-=-i
ablaar\chi_-\ \mathrm{others}\ =\mathbf{0} \end{array}
ight.$$

$$egin{aligned} &\delta_-\chi_+ = i
abla \phi_+ \ &\delta_-F_+ = -i
abla ar\chi_+ \ &\delta_-\phi_- = -ar\chi_- \ &\delta_-\chi_- = F_- \ & ext{others} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $egin{aligned} \delta_{\pm}S &= 0 \ &\downarrow \end{aligned} \ \hline (
abla ar{\chi}_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm} * \phi_{\pm}) + (
abla \phi_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm} * ar{\chi}_{\pm}) + (
abla \phi_{\pm}, ar{\chi}_{\pm} * \phi_{\pm}) = 0 \end{aligned}$ 



N=2 Nilpotent SUSYs: 
$$(\delta_+)^2 = (\delta_-)^2 = \{\delta_+, \delta_-\} = 0$$

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} \delta_+\phi_+=ar\chi_+\ \delta_+\chi_+=F_+\ \delta_+\chi_-=-i
abla\phi_-\ \delta_+F_-=-i
ablaar\chi_-\ \mathrm{others}\ =0 \end{array}
ight.$$

$$egin{aligned} &\delta_-\chi_+ &= i
abla \phi_+ \ &\delta_-F_+ &= -i
abla ar\chi_+ \ &\delta_-\phi_- &= -ar\chi_- \ &\delta_-\chi_- &= F_- \ &\delta_-\chi_- &= F_- \ &\delta_- & ext{others} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_{\pm}S=0 \ \Downarrow$$

 $\begin{aligned} (\nabla \bar{\chi}_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm} * \phi_{\pm}) + (\nabla \phi_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm} * \bar{\chi}_{\pm}) + (\nabla \phi_{\pm}, \bar{\chi}_{\pm} * \phi_{\pm}) = 0 \\ \end{aligned}$ We call this *Cyclic Leibniz rule*.

We have found that the *Cyclic Leibniz Rule* guarantees the N=2 nilpotent SUSYs.

Cyclic Leibniz Rule (CLR)

 $(\nabla A, B * C) + (\nabla B, C * A) + (\nabla C, A * B) = 0$ 

VS.

Leibniz Rule (LR)

8

We have found that the *Cyclic Leibniz Rule* guarantees the N=2 nilpotent SUSYs.

 $\begin{array}{l} Cyclic \ Leibniz \ Rule \ (CLR)\\ (\nabla A, \ B * C) + (\nabla B, \ C * A) + (\nabla C, \ A * B) = 0\\ \hline & VS. \\ Leibniz \ Rule \ (LR)\\ (\nabla A, \ B * C) + (A, \ \nabla B * C) + (A, \ B * \nabla C) = 0 \end{array}$ 

Lattice 2014 at Columbia University, New York, June 23 - 28, 2014

8

We have found that the *Cyclic Leibniz Rule* guarantees the N=2 nilpotent SUSYs.

 $\begin{array}{l} & Cyclic \ Leibniz \ Rule \ ({\rm CLR}) \\ & (\nabla A, \ B \ast C) + (\nabla B, \ C \ast A) + (\nabla C, \ A \ast B) = 0 \\ & {\rm VS.} \\ & Leibniz \ Rule \ ({\rm LR}) \\ & (\nabla A, \ B \ast C) + (A, \ \nabla B \ast C) + (A, \ B \ast \nabla C) \not \approx 0 \\ & {\rm No-Go \ theorem} \end{array}$ 

Lattice 2014 at Columbia University, New York, June 23 - 28, 2014

8

We have found that the *Cyclic Leibniz Rule* guarantees the N=2 nilpotent SUSYs.

 $(\nabla A, B * C) + (\nabla B, C * A) + (\nabla C, A * B) = 0$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} & \text{VS.} & \textit{Leibniz Rule (LR)} \\ \hline (\nabla A, \ B \ast C) + (A, \ \nabla B \ast C) + (A, \ B \ast \nabla C) \nsucceq 0 \\ & \text{No-Go theorem} \end{array}$ 

The cyclic Leibniz rule ensures a lattice analog of vanishing surface terms!  $(\nabla \phi, \phi * \phi) = 0 \leftarrow \int dx \, \partial_x (\phi(x))^3 = 0$ on lattice  $\int CLR$  in continuum

Lattice 2014 at Columbia University, New York, June 23 - 28, 2014

8

### An example of CLR



#### An explicit example of the Cyclic Leibniz Rule :

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \phi)_n &= \frac{1}{2} \big( \phi_{n+1} - \phi_{n-1} \big) \\ (\phi * \psi)_n &= \frac{1}{6} \big( 2\phi_{n+1} \psi_{n+1} + 2\phi_{n-1} \psi_{n-1} \\ &+ \phi_{n+1} \psi_{n-1} + \phi_{n-1} \psi_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$



M.Kato, M.S. & H.So, JHEP 05(2013)089

which satisfy i) translation invariance, ii) locality and iii) Cyclic Leibniz Rule.

The field product  $(\phi * \psi)_n$  should be non-trivial!



	CLR	no CLR
nilpotent SUSYs		
Nicolai maps		
"surface" terms		
non-renormalization theorem		
cohomology		

#### Advantages of CLR



#### Advantages of our lattice model with **CLR** are given by

	CLR	no CLR
nilpotent SUSYs	$\delta_+,\delta$	$\delta = \delta_+ + \delta$
Nicolai maps		
"surface" terms		
non-renormalization theorem		
cohomology		

#### Advantages of CLR



#### Advantages of our lattice model with **CLR** are given by

	CLR	no CLR
nilpotent SUSYs	$\delta_+,\delta$	$\delta = \delta_+ + \delta$
Nicolai maps	2	1
"surface" terms		
non-renormalization theorem		
cohomology		



	CLR	no CLR
nilpotent SUSYs	$\delta_+,\delta$	$\delta = \delta_+ + \delta$
Nicolai maps	2	1
"surface" terms	$( abla \phi,\phi*\phi)=0$	$( abla \phi, \phi * \phi)  eq 0$
non-renormalization theorem		
cohomology		



	CLR	no CLR
nilpotent SUSYs	$\delta_+,\delta$	$\delta = \delta_+ + \delta$
Nicolai maps	2	1
"surface" terms	$( abla \phi,\phi*\phi)=0$	$( abla \phi,\phi st \phi)  eq 0$
non-renormalization theorem	0	×
cohomology		



	CLR	no CLR
nilpotent SUSYs	$\delta_+,\delta$	$\delta = \delta_+ + \delta$
Nicolai maps	2	1
"surface" terms	$( abla \phi,\phi*\phi)=0$	$( abla \phi,\phi st \phi)  eq 0$
non-renormalization theorem	0	×
cohomology	non-trivial	trivial

#### Advantages of CLR



#### Advantages of our lattice model with **CLR** are given by

	CLR	no CLR
nilpotent SUSYs	$\delta_+,\delta$	$\delta = \delta_+ + \delta$
Nicolai maps	2	1
"surface" terms	$( abla \phi,\phi*\phi)=0$	$( abla \phi, \phi st \phi)  eq 0$
non-renormalization theorem	0	X
cohomology	non-trivial	trivial

### Non-renormalization theorem in continuum

One of the striking features of SUSY theories is the *non-renormalization theorem*.

One of the striking features of SUSY theories is the *non-renormalization theorem*.

One of the striking features of SUSY theories is the *non-renormalization theorem*.

□ 4d N=1 Wess-Zumino model in continuum

 $S = \int d^4x \left\{ \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ \Phi^{\dagger}(\bar{\theta}) \Phi(\theta) + \int d^2\theta \ W(\Phi) + c.c. \right\}$   $D \text{ term}_{(kinetic terms)} F \text{ term}_{(potential terms)}$ 

Non-renormalization Theorem

There is *no quantum correction to the F-terms* in any order of perturbation theory.



$$S = \int d^4x \Big\{ \int d^2 heta d^2ar{ heta} \ \Phi^{\dagger}(ar{ heta}) \Phi( heta) + \int d^2 heta \ W(\Phi) + c.c. \Big\}$$
  
D term (kinetic terms) F term (potential terms)

tre su



$$\begin{split} S &= \int\!\!d^4x \Big\{ \int\!\!d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \; \Phi^\dagger(\bar{\theta}) \Phi(\theta) + \int\!\!d^2\theta \, W(\Phi) + c.c. \Big\} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{D} \; \mathsf{term} \\ (\mathsf{kinetic terms}) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{term} \\ (\mathsf{potential terms}) \end{array} \\ \hline \textbf{Holomorphy} \; \mathsf{plays} \; \mathsf{an} \; \mathsf{important} \; \mathsf{role} \; \mathsf{in} \; \mathsf{the} \; \mathsf{non-renormal-ization theorem.} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{chiral superfield} \\ \mathsf{coupling constant} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{anti-chiral superfield} \\ \mathsf{operpotential} \end{array} \\ \hline \int\!\!d^2\theta \, W_{\mathrm{tree}}(\Phi,\lambda) + \int\!\!d^2\bar{\theta} \; \bar{W}_{\mathrm{tree}}(\Phi^\dagger,\lambda^*) \end{split}$$

ţ



$$\begin{split} S &= \int d^4x \Big\{ \int d^2\theta \, d^2\bar{\theta} \, \Phi^{\dagger}(\bar{\theta}) \Phi(\theta) + \int d^2\theta \, W(\Phi) + c.c. \Big\} \\ & \text{D term}_{\text{(kinetic terms)}} \quad \text{F term}_{\text{(potential terms)}} \\ \hline \textbf{Holomorphy} \text{ plays an important role in the non-renormal-ization theorem.} \\ & \text{ree}_{\text{uperpotential}} \quad \begin{array}{c} -chiral \, superfield \\ -coupling \, constant \\ \int d^2\theta \, W_{\text{tree}}(\Phi, \lambda) + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \, \bar{W}_{\text{tree}}(\Phi^{\dagger}, \lambda^*) \\ \hline d^2\theta \, W_{\text{tree}}(\Phi, \lambda) + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \, \bar{W}_{\text{tree}}(\Phi^{\dagger}, \lambda^*) \\ \hline d^2\theta \, W_{\text{eff}}(\Phi, \lambda; \, \Phi^{\dagger}, \lambda^*) + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \, \bar{W}_{\text{eff}}(\Phi^{\dagger}, \lambda^*; \, \Phi, \lambda) \end{split}$$













### Difficulty in defining chiral superfield on lattice 13

The holomorphy requires that the F term  $W(\Phi)$  depends only on the *chiral* superfield  $\Phi(x,\theta)$ , which is defined by

$$ar{D}\Phi(x, heta)\equiv \Big(rac{\partial}{\partialar{ heta}}-i heta\sigma_\mu\partial_\mu\Big)\Phi(x, heta)=0$$
 in continuum

### Difficulty in defining chiral superfield on lattice 13

The holomorphy requires that the F term  $W(\Phi)$  depends only on the *chiral* superfield  $\Phi(x,\theta)$ , which is defined by

$$ar{D}\Phi(x, heta)\equiv igg(rac{\partial}{\partialar{ heta}}-i heta\sigma_\mu\partial_\muigg)\Phi(x, heta)=0$$
 in continuum  $igg
angle igg] ar{D}\Phi( heta)_n\equiv igg(rac{\partial}{\partialar{ heta}}-i heta\sigma_\mu
abla_\muigg)\Phi( heta)_n=0$  on lattice

### Difficulty in defining chiral superfield on lattice 13

The holomorphy requires that the F term  $W(\Phi)$  depends only on the *chiral* superfield  $\Phi(x,\theta)$ , which is defined by

$$ar{D}\Phi(x, heta)\equiv igg(rac{\partial}{\partialar{ heta}}-i heta\sigma_\mu\partial_\muigg)\Phi(x, heta)=0$$
 in continuum  $igg
vert$  $igg] igg( heta)_n\equiv igg(rac{\partial}{\partialar{ heta}}-i heta\sigma_\mu
abla_\muigg)\Phi( heta)_n=0$  on lattice

However, the above definition of the chiral superfield is *ill-defined* because any products of chiral superfields are not chiral due to the *breakdown of LR on lattice!*  $\bar{D}\Phi_1 = \bar{D}\Phi_2 = 0 \implies \bar{D}(\Phi_1\Phi_2) \neq 0$ 

the breakdown of the Leibniz rule on lattice



□ Lattice superfields

 $\Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}, \theta_{-}) \equiv \chi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}F_{\pm} + \theta_{\mp}i\nabla\phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\theta_{\mp}i\nabla\bar{\chi}_{\pm}$  $\Lambda_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}) \equiv \phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\chi_{\pm}$ 

### 14

#### □ Lattice superfields

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm},\theta_{-}) &\equiv \chi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}F_{\pm} + \theta_{\mp}i\nabla\phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\theta_{\mp}i\nabla\bar{\chi}_{\pm} \\ \Lambda_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}) &\equiv \phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\chi_{\pm} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \square \text{ Lattice action in superspace } S = S_{\text{type I}} + S_{\text{type II}} \\ S_{\text{type I}} = \int d\theta_+ d\theta_- \ \Psi_- \Psi_+ \implies \textit{kinetic terms (D-term)} \\ S_{\text{type II}} = \int d\theta_+ d\theta_- \left\{ \theta_- \lambda_+ (\Psi_+, \Lambda_+ * \Lambda_+) + \theta_+ \lambda_- (\Psi_-, \Lambda_- * \Lambda_-) \right\} \\ \implies \textit{potential terms (F-term)} \end{array}$ 



#### □ Lattice superfields

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{+},\theta_{-}) &\equiv \chi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}F_{\pm} + \theta_{\mp}i\nabla\phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\theta_{\mp}i\nabla\bar{\chi}_{\pm} \\ \Lambda_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}) &\equiv \phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\chi_{\pm} \end{split}$$

$$\label{eq:stypeII} \begin{split} \square \text{ Lattice action in superspace } & S = S_{\text{typeII}} + S_{\text{typeII}} \\ S_{\text{typeII}} = \int \!\! d\theta_+ d\theta_- \; K(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+; \Psi_-, \Lambda_-) \\ S_{\text{typeII}} = \int \!\! d\theta_+ d\theta_- \; \Big\{ \theta_- \; W(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+) + \theta_+ \; \bar{W}(\Psi_-, \Lambda_-) \Big\} \end{split}$$

#### Lattice superfields

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{+},\theta_{-}) &\equiv \chi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}F_{\pm} + \theta_{\mp}i\nabla\phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\theta_{\mp}i\nabla\bar{\chi}_{\pm} \\ \Lambda_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}) &\equiv \phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\chi_{\pm} \end{split}$$

 $\Box$  Lattice action in superspace  $S = S_{type I} + S_{type II}$  $S_{ ext{type I}} = \left ( d heta_+ d heta_- \ K(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+; \Psi_-, \Lambda_-) 
ight )$  $S_{ ext{type II}} = \int\!\! d heta_+ d heta_- \left\{ heta_- \, W(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+) + heta_+ \, ar W(\Psi_-, \Lambda_-) 
ight\}$  $S_{type II}$  is SUSY-invariant *if and only if*  $W(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+)$ depends only on  $\Psi_+, \Lambda_+$  and is written into the form  $W(\Psi_+,\Lambda_+)=\sum \lambda_+^{(n)}(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+*\Lambda_+*\cdots*\Lambda_+)$ and  $(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+ * \Lambda_+ * \cdots * \Lambda_+)$  has to obey **CLR**. M.Kato, M.S., H.So, in preparation

## Non-renormalization theorem in our lattice model 15

$$\int d\theta_{+} d\theta_{-} \ \theta_{-} W_{\text{tree}}(\Psi_{+}, \Lambda_{+}, \lambda_{+}) \\ W_{\text{tree}} = \lambda_{+}(\Psi_{+}, \Lambda_{+} * \Lambda_{+}) \\ \text{quantum corrections}$$

## Non-renormalization theorem in our lattice model 15

$$\int d heta_+ d heta_- heta_- W_{ ext{tree}}(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+, \lambda_+) \ V_{ ext{tree}} = \lambda_+(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+ * \Lambda_+) \ Quantum corrections \ \int d heta_+ d heta_- heta_- W_{ ext{eff}}(\Psi_+, \Lambda_+, \lambda_+; \Psi_-, \Lambda_-, \lambda_-)$$

## Non-renormalization theorem in our lattice model 15

### Non-renormalization theorem in our lattice model **(5**)



### Non-renormalization theorem in our lattice model **(5**)



### Non-renormalization theorem in our lattice model **(5**)











































□ We have proved the *No-Go theorem* that the Leibniz rule cannot be realized on lattice under reasonable assumptions.

- We proposed a lattice SUSY model equipped with the *cyclic* Leibniz rule as a modified Leibniz rule.
- □ A striking feature of our lattice SUSY model is that the *nonrenormalization theorem* holds for a finite lattice spacing.
- Our results suggest that the cyclic Leibniz rule grasps important properties of SUSY.



Extension to higher dimensions

We have to extend our analysis to higher dimensions. Especially, we need to find solutions to CLR in more than one dimensions.

□ inclusion of gauge fields

□ Nilpotent SUSYs with CLR ↔ full SUSYs Are nilpotent SUSYs extended by CLR enough to guarantee full SUSYs ?



# Appendix



 $\Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}, \theta_{-}) \equiv \chi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}F_{\pm} + \theta_{\mp}i\nabla\phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\theta_{\mp}i\nabla\bar{\chi}_{\pm}$  $\Lambda_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}) \equiv \phi_{\pm} + \theta_{\pm}\chi_{\pm}$ 

transform under SUSY transformations  $\delta_{\pm}$  as

$$\delta_{\pm} \mathcal{O}( heta_{\pm}) = rac{\partial}{\partial heta_{\pm}} \mathcal{O}( heta_{\pm})$$



#### Two Nicolai maps:

$$\xi_{\pm} \equiv 
abla \phi_{-} \pm \phi_{+} * \phi_{+}$$
  
 $ar{\xi}_{\pm} \equiv 
abla \phi_{+} \pm \phi_{-} * \phi_{-}$ 

### Action: $S = S_{B} + S_{F}$ $S_{B} = (\overline{\xi}_{+}, \xi_{+}) = (\overline{\xi}_{-}, \xi_{-})$ $\uparrow$ $(\nabla \phi_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm} * \phi_{\pm}) = 0$ CLR



difference operator:  $(\nabla \phi)_n \equiv \sum_m \nabla_{nm} \phi_m$ field product:  $(\phi * \psi)_n \equiv \sum_{lm} M_{nlm} \phi_l \psi_m$ 



difference operator:  $(
abla \phi)_n \equiv \sum_m 
abla_{nm} \phi_m$ field product:  $(\phi * \psi)_n \equiv \sum_{lm} M_{nlm} \phi_l \psi_m$ 

i) translation invariance

 $abla_{nm} = 
abla(n-m)$   $M_{nlm} = M(l-n,m-n)$ 



difference operator: 
$$(
abla \phi)_n \equiv \sum_m 
abla_{nm} \phi_m$$
  
field product:  $(\phi * \psi)_n \equiv \sum_{lm} M_{nlm} \phi_l \psi_m$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{ii) locality} & \nabla(m) \xrightarrow{|m| \to \infty} 0 \text{ (exponentially)} \\ & M(l,m) \xrightarrow{|l|,|m| \to \infty} 0 \text{ (exponentially)} \\ \end{split} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{holomorphic representation} \\ & \widetilde{\nabla}(z) \equiv \sum \limits_{m} \nabla(m) z^m \\ & \widetilde{M}(z,w) \equiv \sum \limits_{l_m} M(l,m) z^l w^m \end{array} \text{ on } 1 - \varepsilon < |z|, |w| < 1 + \varepsilon \\ \end{split}$$

 $\widetilde{
abla}(oldsymbol{z}), \widetilde{M}(oldsymbol{z}, oldsymbol{w})$  have to be holomorphic on  $1-arepsilon < |oldsymbol{z}|, |oldsymbol{w}| < 1+arepsilon$ 



difference operator: 
$$(
abla \phi)_n \equiv \sum\limits_m 
abla_{nm} \phi_m$$
  
field product:  $(\phi * \psi)_n \equiv \sum\limits_{lm} M_{nlm} \phi_l \psi_m$ 

iii) Leibniz rule

$$abla(\phi * \psi) = (
abla \phi) * \psi + \phi * (
abla \psi)$$

$$\implies M(z,w) \left( 
abla (zw) - 
abla (z) - 
abla (w) 
ight) = 0$$

$$\implies 
abla(zw) - 
abla(z) - 
abla(w) = 0$$

 $\implies 
abla(z) \propto \log z$ 

 $\implies \log z$  is non-holomorphic on  $1 - \varepsilon < |z| < 1 + \varepsilon$ .

 $\implies$  The Leibniz rule cannot be realized on lattice!