# String tension from smearing and Wilson flow methods

### M. Okawa<sup>\*</sup> with A. Gonzalez-Arroyo

Lattice 2014

\*Hiroshima University

June 26, 2014

Last year, we proposed a new method to extract string tension from 4 dimensionally smeared Creutz ratios (PL B718 (2013) 1524).

After reviewing this method, we first show that the same physical results can be obtained replacing the 4-d smearing technique by Wilson flow for sufficiently small time steps  $\Delta t$ .

We then demonstrate the practical advantage of our method by applying it to the calculation of the Creutz ratio of SU(3) Yang-Mills theory in the continuum limit. • Creutz ratio from 4-dimensional smearing method

It is well know that Wilson loops W(R,T) with large R,T are quite noisy.

Our proposal is to calculate Creutz ratio with 4-dimensional Ape smearing

$$U_{n,\mu}^{smeared} = \operatorname{Proj}_{SU(3)} \left[ (1-f)U_{n,\mu} + \frac{f}{6} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu = \pm 1}^{\pm 4} U_{n,\nu} U_{n+\nu,\mu} U_{n+\mu,\nu}^{\dagger} \right]$$

This form of Ape smearing has been introduced by Narayanan and Neuberger, JHEP 0603 (2006) 064.

Let  $t = f * n_s / 6$  with  $n_s$  the number of smearing steps.

Wilson loop and potential have huge t dependences, which makes 4-d Ape smearing almost useless for these quantities See, however, Lohmayer and Neuberger, JHEP 1208 (2012) 102.

It is crucial to consider Creutz ratio

$$\chi(R,T) = -\log \frac{W(R+1/2,T+1/2)W(R-1/2,T-1/2)}{W(R+1/2,T-1/2)W(R-1/2,T-1/2)}$$

which is free from ultraviolet divergences and its t dependence is quite well fitted by

$$\chi(t) = a \left( 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-b}{t+c}\right) \right)$$

This is the method proposed in Phys. Lett. B718 (2013) 1524.

We demonstrate this method using SU(3) LGT on a  $32^4$  lattice at  $\beta = 6.17$  with f = 0.1.

 $t = f * n_s / 6$  dependence of Wilson loop W(R,T)



t

 $t = f * n_s / 6$  dependence of Potential $V(R,T) = -\log \frac{W(R,T+1/2)}{W(R,T-1/2)}$ 



t



t

#### • 4-d Ape smearing and Wilson flow

#### 4-d Ape smearing

$$U_{n,\mu}^{smeared} = \operatorname{Proj}_{SU(3)} \left[ (1-f)U_{n,\mu} + \frac{f}{6} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu = \pm 1}^{\pm 4} U_{n,\nu} U_{n+\nu,\mu} U_{n+\mu,\nu}^{\dagger} \right]$$

with  $t = f * n_s / 6$  is equivalent to Wilson flow

$$\frac{dV_{n,\mu}(t)}{dt} = -g_0^2 \{\partial_{n,\mu} S_W\} V_{n,\mu}(t), \quad V_{n,\mu}(t=0) = U_{n,\mu}$$

provided f is sufficiently small.

In our method, we use data typically at  $t \le \frac{(R-1/2)^2}{25}$  for  $\chi(R,R)$  with  $f \sim 0.1$ .

For Wilson flow, we use  $3^{rd}$  order Runge-Kutta with  $\Delta t = 0.01$ 

## For small t, 4-d smearing and Wilson flow results are essentially identical.



Actually, for small t, 4-d smearing with f = 0.1, 0.2, 0.4gives essentially the same result for Creutz ratios.





• Creutz ratio in the continuum limit

We made simulations at three lattices having almost same physical volume  $(La)^4$ 

Lattice	eta	N <sub>cnfg</sub>
$24^{4}$	5.96	1200
$32^{4}$	6.17	600
$48^{4}$	6.42	100

In this talk, we concentrate on the diagonal  $\chi(R,R)$ , although

there are a lot of interesting physics in off-diagonal  $\chi(R,T)$ .



Introducing a scale  $\overline{r}$  and writing r = Ra, dimensional analysis implies that there should be  $O(a^2)$  lattice artifact in  $1/r^4$  term.

$$\left(\frac{\overline{r}}{a}\right)^2 \chi(R,R) = \sigma \overline{r}^2 + 2\gamma \left(\frac{\overline{r}}{r}\right)^2 + 4\left(\frac{\overline{r}}{r}\right)^4 \left(c + d\left(\frac{a}{\overline{r}}\right)^2\right)$$

 $\tilde{F}(r)$  defined by

$$\overline{r}^{2}\tilde{F}(r) \equiv \sigma\overline{r}^{2} + 2\gamma\left(\frac{\overline{r}}{r}\right)^{2} + 4c\left(\frac{\overline{r}}{r}\right)^{4}$$

is the Creutz ratio in the continuum limit.

We can fix the scale a la Sommer as

$$\overline{r}^2 \tilde{F}(\overline{r}) = \sigma \overline{r}^2 + 2\gamma + 4c = 1.65$$

Eliminating c from the expression and replacing r to Ra, we finally find a fitting function

$$\left(\frac{\overline{r}}{a}\right)^2 \chi(R,R) = \sigma \overline{r}^2 + 2\gamma \left(\frac{\overline{r}}{a}\right)^2 \frac{1}{R^2} + 4\left(\frac{\overline{r}}{a}\right)^4 \frac{1}{R^4} \left(c + d\left(\frac{a}{\overline{r}}\right)^2\right)$$
$$4c = 1.65 - \sigma \overline{r}^2 - 2\gamma$$

with 6 fitting parameters

$$\sigma \overline{r}^2, \gamma, d, \ \frac{a(\beta = 5.96)}{\overline{r}}, \ \frac{a(\beta = 6.17)}{\overline{r}}, \ \frac{a(\beta = 6.42)}{\overline{r}}$$

The resultant fit has  $\chi^2$  / (# of freedom) = 1.05 with

$$\sigma \overline{r}^2 = 1.159(6) \qquad \gamma = 0.250(3), \ d = 0.24(2)$$
$$a(\beta = 5.96) / \overline{r} = 0.2117(5),$$
$$a(\beta = 6.17) / \overline{r} = 0.1499(2),$$
$$a(\beta = 6.42) / \overline{r} = 0.1052(3)$$





relation between

 $\overline{r}$  and  $t_0$ 





#### • comparison with 3-d smearing methods

In the continuum limit,  $\sqrt{8t_0} / r_0 = 0.948(6)$ . Lüscher JHEP08(2010) 071.

Then, our result is converted to  $r_0\sqrt{\sigma} = 1.124(8)$ 

Previous results of  $r_0\sqrt{\sigma}$  derived from 3-d smeared Potential are almost consistent with the value  $r_0\sqrt{\sigma} = \sqrt{1.65 - \pi/12} = 1.178$ 

It is not clear how to interpret this 5% difference, however, they are obtained from quite different geometries of Wilson loops !

**3-d smearing**, W(r,t) with finite r and  $t = \infty$ 

$$r_0^2 F(r) = r_0^2 \sigma + \frac{\pi}{12} \frac{r_0^2}{r^2} \simeq r_0^2 \sigma + 0.2612 \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}$$

4-d smearing, W(r,t) with finite  $r \approx t$ 

$$\overline{r}^2 \widetilde{F}(r) = \overline{r}^2 \sigma + 2\gamma \frac{\overline{r}^2}{r^2} + 4c \frac{\overline{r}^4}{r^4} \approx \overline{r}^2 \sigma + 0.499 \frac{\overline{r}^2}{r^2} - 0.008 \frac{\overline{r}^4}{r^4}$$



$\beta$	$a / \overline{r}$	$a\Lambda_{\overline{\scriptscriptstyle MS}}$	$\overline{r}\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$
5.96	0.2117(5)	0.11523	0.5443(13)
6.17	0.1499(2)	0.08520	0.5684(8)
6.42	0.1052(3)	0.06105	0.5803(17)



#### Conclusion

Creutz ratios in the continuum limit can be evaluated precisely by 4-d Ape smearing, giving rather reliable determination of string tension.

Wilson flow technique gives the same physical results once the time steps  $\Delta t$  is sufficiently small

It is worth calculating Wilson loops during Wilson flow updating.

They should give fruitful physics !