# Neutral B-meson mixing in and beyond the SM with 2+1 flavor lattice QCD

Chris Bouchard (OSU) and Elizabeth Freeland (Art Institute of Chicago) Fermilab Lattice and MILC Collaborations





presented by Aida X. El-Khadra (UIUC)

Lattice 2014, New York City 23-28 June 2014



#### Outline

- Motivation and Introduction
- Lattice set-up
- Correlators
- Chiral-continuum extrapolation
- Complete but preliminary systematic error budget
- Conclusions

#### **Motivation and Introduction**



uncertainty on  $\xi$  dominates  $\Delta M_s / \Delta M_d$  band

Wednesday, June 25, 14

#### Motivation and Introduction



#### HFAG, PDG 2014 averages:

$$\Delta M_d = (0.510 \pm 0.003) \text{ ps}^{-1} \quad (0.6\%) \qquad \Delta \Gamma_d / \Gamma_d = 0.001 \pm 0.010$$
  
$$\Delta M_s = (17.761 \pm 0.022) \text{ ps}^{-1} \quad (0.1\%) \qquad \Delta \Gamma_s / \Gamma_s = 0.138 \pm 0.012 \quad (8.7\%)$$

#### Motivation and Introduction



In general :	SM:
$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} c_i(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i(\mu)$	$\mathcal{O}_1 =$ $\mathcal{O}_2 =$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1} = (\bar{b}^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} L q^{\alpha}) (\bar{b}^{\beta} \gamma_{\mu} L q^{\beta})$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{2} = (\bar{b}^{\alpha} L q^{\alpha}) (\bar{b}^{\beta} L q^{\beta})$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{3} = (\bar{b}^{\alpha} L q^{\beta}) (\bar{b}^{\beta} L q^{\alpha})$$

 $\mathcal{O}_4 = (\bar{b}^{\alpha} L q^{\alpha}) \ (\bar{b}^{\beta} R q^{\beta})$  $\mathcal{O}_5 = (\bar{b}^{\alpha} L q^{\beta}) \ (\bar{b}^{\beta} R q^{\alpha})$ 

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_i \rangle \equiv \langle \bar{B_q^0} | \mathcal{O}_i | B_q^0 \rangle(\mu) = e_i \ m_{B_q}^2 \ f_{B_q}^2 \ B_{B_q}^{(i)}(\mu)$$

We calculate all five matrix elements.

A. El-Khadra

Wednesday, June 25, 14

#### Lattice set-up



- 14 MILC asqtad ensembles
  4 lattice spacings
  - $\sim 4$  sea quark masses per lattice spacing
  - $\sim 600$  2000 configurations

 $\times 4$  time-sources per ensemble

- asqtad light valence quarks
  ~ 7 light valence masses per ensemble
- Fermilab *b* quarks
- O(a) improved four-quark operators

### Lattice set-up

0.45 0.4 0 رvea (GeV) ومع شرقه المحمد ا 0.35  $\bigcirc$ 0 Ο 0.2  $\bigcirc$ 0.15  $0.1^{-}_{0}$ 0.02 0.04 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.06 0.14 a (fm)

- A. Bazavov et al (FNAL/MILC, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 034503, arXiv:1205.7013) "old data"
  - 6 MILC asqtad ensembles
    2 lattice spacings
    4(2) sea quark masses per lattice spacing
    - $\sim 600$  configurations

 $\times 4$  time-sources per ensemble

- asqtad light valence quarks
  ~ 7 light valence masses per ensemble
- Fermilab *b* quarks
- O(a) improved four-quark operators

Wednesday, June 25, 14

7

## Lattice set-up



- C. Bouchard et al. (arXiv:1112.5642, Lattice 2011 proceedings)
  - 6+3 (partial) MILC asqtad ensembles
    3 lattice spacings
    ~4 sea quark masses per lattice spacing
    - $\sim 600 2000$  configurations

 $\times$  4 time-sources per ensemble

- asqtad light valence quarks
  ~ 7 light valence masses per ensemble
- Fermilab *b* quarks
- O(a) improved 4-quark operators

#### Correlators



 $C_2(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \langle \chi_B(t,\mathbf{x}) \chi_B^\dagger(0,0) \rangle \quad \text{with IS smearing of source/sink}$ 

 $C_{3,i}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \langle \chi_B(t_2, \mathbf{y}) \mathcal{O}_i(0, 0) \chi_B^{\dagger}(t_1, \mathbf{x}) \rangle$ 

A. El-Khadra

Wednesday, June 25, 14

9

#### Correlators



Fit to:

$$C_2(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{N_{\text{states}}-1} |Z_m|^2 (-1)^{(t+1)m} \left( e^{-E_m t} + e^{-E_m (T-t)} \right)$$

$$C_{3,i}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{N_{\text{states}}-1} Z_m Z_n \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{mn} (-1)^{(t_1+1)m+(t_2+1)n} e^{-E_m t_1} e^{-E_n t_2}$$

- simultaneous fits using Bayesian constraints for excited states
- $N_{\text{states}} = 2 + 2$
- $t_{\min}$ ,  $t_{\max}$  constant in physical units
- 3pt max  $t_{1,2} < T/2$

#### **Renormalization and matching**

Operator renormalization at one-loop in perturbation theory

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_i \rangle^{\text{cont}}(\mu) = (1 + \alpha_s \zeta_{ii}) \langle \mathcal{O}_i \rangle^{\text{lat}}(\mu) + \alpha_s \zeta_{ij} \langle \mathcal{O}_j \rangle^{\text{lat}}(\mu) + O(\alpha_s^2)$$

- $\zeta_{ij} = \zeta_{ij}(\mu, m_b, am_b) = Z_{ij}^{\text{cont}} Z_{ij}^{\text{lat}}$
- calculated in mean-field improved lattice perturbation theory
- $\bullet \, \overline{\mathrm{MS}}$  -NDR scheme
- $\alpha_s = \alpha_V(2/a)$
- $\mu = m_b$

## Heavy-quark discretization errors

- analyze cut-off effects with (continuum) HQET
- discretization errors arise due to mismatch of coefficients of the EFT descriptions of lattice and continuum matrix elements
- discretization errors take the form  $\sim a^{d-4} f_k(am_0) \langle \mathcal{O}_k \rangle \sim f_k(am_0) (a\Lambda)^{d-4}$
- with tree-level tadpole O(a) improvement we have errors  $O(lpha_s a \Lambda)$  and  $O(a \Lambda)^2$

## Chiral-continuum extrapolation

SU(3) heavy-meson partially-quenched rooted staggered  $\chi$ PT

- Solution NLO chiral logs + taste-splittings + "wrong-spin" corrections
- + B-meson hyperfine and flavor splittings
- + HQ discretization terms

Schematically



Lattice 2014, NYC, 25 June 2014

#### Chiral-continuum extrapolation



A. El-Khadra

#### Lattice 2014, NYC, 25 June 2014

Wednesday, June 25, 14

## Preliminary systematic error budget

• test stability of chiral-continuum extrapolation under changes of fit function, data included, or inputs:



Wednesday, June 25, 14

#### Lattice 2014, NYC, 25 June 2014

# Preliminary systematic error budget

	ξ		$f_{B_q}^2 B_{B_q}^{(1)}$		$f_{B_q}^2 B_{B_q}^{(i)}$		
source	2012	2014	2011	2014	2011	2014	
comb. stat. $\chi$ PT- cont.	3.7 w.s. 3.2	1.5	7   5	5 8	3-11 4.3-16	5-7 7-14	$B_s$ $B_d$
HQ disc.	0.3	0.3	4	included	4	included	
inputs	0.7	included	5.1	included	5.1	included	
PT	0.5	0.5	8	6.4	8	6.4	
FV	0.5	0.5	I	I	Ι	I	
total	5	1.7	2  8	8 10	0- 5   - 9	8-10 10-15	$B_s \\ B_d$

A. El-Khadra

#### Conclusions

- $\Theta$  We present results for *B* mixing parameters on a large set of MILC asquaded ensembles
- systematic error analysis is still preliminary
- Simultaneous chiral-continuum fits of  $[\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle, \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle, \langle \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle]$  and  $[\langle \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle, \langle \mathcal{O}_5 \rangle]$  to account for the wrong spin terms
- Solution combine this analysis with  $f_B$ ,  $f_{Bs}$  to extract bag parameters (see E. Neil talk, parallel session 6G)