### Computational Efficiency and Spectrum Results for Staggered Wilson Fermions 32<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory

Christian Zielinski

Division of Mathematical Sciences Nanyang Technological University Singapore

Collaborators: David H. Adams (NTU) and Dániel Nógrádi (Eötvös University)

Background

Introduction

#### Background on staggered Wilson fermions

- Constructed by adding a "Wilson term" to staggered fermions [D. Adams PRL(2010), PLB(2011); C. Hoelbling, PLB(2011)]
  - Four flavors  $\rightarrow$  one or two physical flavors
- Theoretical viability
  - Two flavor version: no fine-tuning of new counterterms
  - One flavor version: fine-tuning of new gluonic counterterm
- Expected to be more computationally efficient than usual Wilson fermions



#### This talk

- Update on computational efficiency
  - Previous results for  $16^3 \times 32$  lattice with  $\beta = 6$
  - New results for  $20^3 \times 40$  lattice with  $\beta = 6$  and  $\beta = 6.136716$  tests dependence on volume and lattice spacing
- Results for the spectrum of the staggered Wilson Dirac operator over a range of lattices:  $8^4$  to  $16^3\times32$ 
  - Spectrum improves significantly for larger lattices
  - Resolves a paradox regarding earlier results of de Forcrand *et al.* on computational efficiency of staggered overlap fermions

Update on Computational Efficiency

└─ Methodology

#### Measuring the computational efficiency

• The cost of inverting the Dirac operator on a source for staggered Wilson is compared with usual Wilson at fixed pion mass  $m_{\pi}$ 

• Cost:

$$cost = (\# CG iters) \times (cost per CG iter)$$

 $\approx\!\mathrm{cost}$  matrix-vec. mult.

Ratio:

$$\frac{\text{cost}_{W}}{\text{cost}_{SW}} = \underbrace{\frac{(\# \text{ CG iters})_{W}}{(\# \text{ CG iters})_{SW}}}_{\text{depends on } m_{\pi}} \times \underbrace{\frac{(\text{cost MV mult.})_{W}}{(\text{cost MV mult.})_{SW}}}_{\text{depends only on algorithm}}$$
(2)

(1)

Update on Computational Efficiency

└─ Methodology

#### Cost of matrix-vector multiplication

- Independent of lattice size,  $\beta$  and pion mass
- Cost ratio for matrix-vector multiplication can be estimated from FLOP counts:

$$\frac{(\text{FLOPs})_{W}}{(\text{FLOPs})_{SW}} = \frac{4 \times 1392 \text{ FLOPs/site}}{1743 \text{ FLOPs/site}} \approx 3.2$$
(3)

- Also depends on memory bandwidth requirements
- We estimate

$$\frac{(\text{cost MV mult.})_{W}}{(\text{cost MV mult.})_{SW}} \approx 2 - 3 \tag{4}$$

Agrees with de Forcrand et al.

Update on Computational Efficiency

Previous results

#### Ratio of number of CG iterations

- Computed at fixed pion masses
  - Need to determine dependence of pion mass  $m_{\pi}$  on bare quark mass m



Figure: Previously done for  $16^3 \times 32$  lattice at  $\beta = 6$ 

Update on Computational Efficiency

Previous results

#### Ratio of number of CG iterations (cont'd)



Note: only a mild dependence on pion mass

Update on Computational Efficiency

Previous results

## Summary: Computational efficiency for $16^3 \times 32$ , $\beta = 6$

#### We found

$$\frac{\operatorname{cost}_{\mathsf{W}}}{\operatorname{cost}_{\mathsf{SW}}} = \underbrace{\frac{(\# \operatorname{CG iters})_{\mathsf{W}}}{(\# \operatorname{CG iters})_{\mathsf{SW}}}}_{\approx 2} \times \underbrace{\frac{(\operatorname{cost} \operatorname{MV mult.})_{\mathsf{W}}}{(\operatorname{cost} \operatorname{MV mult.})_{\mathsf{SW}}}}_{\approx 2-3} \approx 4 - 6 \tag{5}$$

Staggered Wilson is 4-6 times more efficient than usual Wilson for inverting the Dirac operator in this case

Update on Computational Efficiency

New results

#### New: Computational efficiency for $20^3 \times 40$ , $\beta = 6$



• Larger physical volume  $\rightarrow$  Improves at small  $m_{\pi}$ , otherwise unchanged

Update on Computational Efficiency

New results

#### New: Computational efficiency for $20^3 \times 40$ , $\beta = 6.136716$



• Smaller lattice spacing  $\rightarrow$  Significant improvement in efficiency

-Spectrum of the staggered Wilson Dirac operator

└─ Motivation

#### A paradox regarding the computational efficiency

- Efficiency of staggered overlap fermions investigated earlier by de Forcrand and collaborators on 12<sup>4</sup> lattice. Their results:
  - Speed-up factor  $\approx 10$  in free field case
  - Speed-up factor  $\approx 2-3$  for  $\beta = 6$
- Difference between free field and  $\beta = 6$  cases explained by bad behavior of the **spectrum** of the staggered Wilson Dirac operator at  $\beta = 6$
- But we find a larger speed-up factor 4-6 for staggered Wilson at  $\beta = 6!$
- Hypothesis: Staggered Wilson spectrum improves for larger lattices

Spectrum of the staggered Wilson Dirac operator

- Motivation

#### Staggered Wilson (red) vs. usual Wilson spectrum (blue)



Figure: Free field case

Spectrum of the staggered Wilson Dirac operator

Numerical results

#### Staggered Wilson spectrum, $\beta = 6$



-Spectrum of the staggered Wilson Dirac operator

Numerical results

#### Staggered Wilson spectrum, $\beta = 6$



Spectrum of the staggered Wilson Dirac operator

Numerical results

#### Staggered Wilson (red) vs. Wilson spectrum (blue), $\beta = 6$



#### Conclusions

- Two-flavor staggered Wilson fermions more efficient by factor 4 6 for inverting the Dirac operator in a background of quenched  $16^3 \times 32$  lattice at  $\beta = 6$
- Dependence of the speed-up factor on physical volume and lattice spacing has been investigated
  - Increases with decreasing lattice spacing
  - Mostly unchanged for increasing volume

Conclusions

### Conclusions (cont'd)

- Staggered Wilson spectrum improves significantly with increasing volume
  - Efficiency results of de Forcrand *et al.* for staggered overlap on small 12<sup>4</sup> lattice is not representable
  - Expect significantly better efficiency of staggered overlap on larger lattices  $\geq 16^3 \times 32$
- Will investigate this in the future

Appendix



# Backup slide

#### Appendix

#### Computational intensity

• A measure for bandwidth requirements is the (arithmetic) intensity

$$I = \frac{(\text{FLOPs})}{(\text{Memory transactions in byte})}$$

• We find (Clover, HISQ, ASQTAD from R. Brower, Chile 2011):

Fermions	FLOPs	IO	Intensity
Wilson	1320	1440	0.92
Wilson with clover term	1824	1728	1.06
Staggered	570	792	0.72
HISQ / ASQTAD	1146	1560	0.73
Staggered Wilson	1743	2352	0.74

(6)