Fluctuation effects on QCD phase diagram at strong coupling

T. Ichihara^{A, B} and A. Ohnishi^B Dept. Phys., Kyoto U.^A YITP, Kyoto U.^B

Finite density QCD and sign problem

Sec.1 Intro.

- Finite density QCD
 - Neutron stars, Early universe, Heavy ion collisions (RHIC, LHC),...
 - QCD phase diagram, Critical point, Inhomogeneous structure, ...
- Sign problem
 - Approaches to finite μ region Reweighting, Taylor expansion, Imaginary μ, Canonical approach, Complex Langevin, Dual variables, Lefschetz thimble, Strong coupling...

Strong coupling lattice QCD (SC-LQCD)

Sec.1 Intro.

- Long history of study (Wilson (1974), Creutz (1980), Munster (1981), Kamoto, Smit, Faldt, Petersson, Damggard,...)
- Strong coupling expansion (1/g² expansion)
 - Expansion in plaquette terms
 - Integration procedure (different from standard Lattice QCD)
 - 1. link variables
 - 2. Grassmann variables
- Weaker sign problem in SC-LQCD compared with standard Lattice QCD
 - Effective action in terms of hadronic d.o.f.
 → We expect weaker sign problem in SC-LQCD.
 - No sign problem in the mean field (MF) approximation
 - Sign problem with fluctuation effects

Strong coupling lattice QCD with fluctuations in the strong coupling limit (SCL)

- Current numerical approaches
 - Monomer-Dimer-Polymer (MDP) simulation

Karsch, Mutter (1989,1990) . de Forcrand, Fromm (2010), Unger, de. Forcrand (2011)

• Auxiliary field Monte-Carlo (AFMC) method

T. I., T. Z. Nakano, A. Ohnishi PoS Lattice2013, 143 (2013), T. I., A. Ohnishi, T. Z. Nakano, arXiv:1401.4647 [hep-lat]

- QCD phase diagram in SCL
- Origin of sign problem
 - MDP :Baryon loop configurations
 - AFMC : Bosonization procedure

Lattice 2014@NewYork

 Δf : the difference of the free energy density between full and phase quenched simulation





Sec.1

Intro.

Finite coupling effects on QCD phase diagram in MF Sec.1 Intro.

- MF : Miura, Nakano, Ohnishi, Kawamoto (2009)
Nakano, Miura, Ohnishi (2011)Finite coupling effectsReweighting: de. Forcrand et. al. (2013), Unger (2014)
- To obtain the insight into the continuum limit

•

- QCD phase diagram evolution (1st. order phase line)
- To evaluate the influence on Critical point
 - Density fluctuation can be included via NLO bosonization, which is important effects on QCD critical point. Fujii, Ohtani (2003.2004)





Purpose

Sec.2 Formalism

- To develop a method to include **both**
 - finite coupling (Next-to-Leading order (NLO) of strong coupling expansion here)
 - 2. fluctuation effects
- To investigate phase diagram evolution

Lattice QCD action

Sec.2 Formalism

• Unrooted staggered fermion, anisotropic lattice, lattice spacing a=1

$$S_{\text{LQCD}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,\nu=0}^{d} \left[\eta_{\nu,x}^{+} \bar{\chi}_{x} U_{\nu,x} \chi_{x+\hat{\nu}} - \eta_{\nu,x}^{-} (\text{H.C.}) \right] \qquad \bigcup_{\bar{\chi}}^{\chi} \quad \bigcup_{\bar{\chi}}^{\chi} \quad \bigcup_{\bar{\chi}}^{\psi} U^{\dagger}$$
$$+ \frac{m_{0}}{\gamma} \sum_{x} \bar{\chi}_{x} \chi_{x}$$
$$+ \frac{2N_{c}\xi}{g_{\tau}^{2}(g_{0},\xi)} \mathcal{P}_{\tau} + \frac{2N_{c}}{g_{s}^{2}(g_{0},\xi)\xi} \mathcal{P}_{s} \qquad \qquad \underbrace{1/g^{2}}_{1/g^{2}}$$

• Assuming $\gamma = \xi$ and $g_{\tau} = g_s$, temporal lattice spacing is expressed as $a_{\tau} = a/\gamma^2$ due to quantum corrections, so we here define $T = \gamma^2/N_{\tau}a$. (T_c (µ=0) does not depend on aniso. parameters.) N. Bilic et. al. (1992, 1995)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\nu,x}^{\pm} &= (e^{\pm\mu a_{\tau}}, (-1)^{x_{1}\cdots x_{\nu-1}}/\gamma) \\ \mathcal{P}_{i} &= \sum_{P_{i}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2N_{c}} \operatorname{Tr}(U_{P_{i}} + U_{P_{i}}^{\dagger}) \right] \\ U_{P_{i}} : \text{plaquette term } (i=\tau, s) \end{aligned}$$

Sec.2 Formalism

• $1/g^2$ expansion, leading order of 1/d (large dimensional) expansion



• $1/g^2$ expansion, leading order of 1/d (large dimensional) expansion



Sec.2

Formalism

• $1/g^2$ expansion, leading order of 1/d (large dimensional) expansion

Sec.2

Formalism

- Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich (EHS) transformation
 - spatial terms ; $\underline{MMMM} \rightarrow \underline{MM} \rightarrow M$ (sequential bosonization)
 - temporal terms ; $VV \rightarrow V$ Origin of sign problem
 - $\exp\left[\alpha AB\right] = \int \mathcal{D}\left[\phi,\varphi\right] \exp\left[-\alpha\left[\phi^2+\varphi^2+(A+B)\varphi-i(A-B)\phi\right]\right]$

Lattice 2014@NewYork Monte-Carlo integration (AFMC)

Sec.2 Formalism

• Effective action after bosonization(Φ are auxiliary fields (AFs), SCL=strong coupling limit, sp.=spatial, t.=temporal, NLO=next leading order)

modified mass

$$m_0 \to m_x(\Phi_{\rm SCL}, \Phi_{\rm sp.NLO})$$

• modified chemical potential $\mu
ightarrow ilde{\mu}$

$$\mu \to \tilde{\mu}_x(\Phi_{\rm t.NLO})$$

- wave function renormalization $1 \rightarrow Z_x(\Phi_{ ext{t.NLO}})$
- Grassmann & U_0 (temporal link) integration
- NLO effective action in terms of hadronic d.o.f.
 → Detail expressions are given in the back-up slides
- Auxiliary filed Monte-Carlo (AFMC) method We integrate out auxiliary fields by Monte-Carlo technique



Sec.3 Results

- Reservation
 - Unrooted staggered fermion ($n_f=4$ in the continuum limit)
 - Anisotropic lattice
 - $\mu=0$, chiral limit, 4⁴ lattice
 - all results are shown in the lattice unit
 - We show results of
 t.NLO (SCL + temp. plaq. NLO terms)
 sp.NLO (SCL + sp. palq. NLO terms)

strong coupling limit (SCL) next-to-leading order (NLO)

- Average phase factor (β =0,1,3)
 - Large enough $\langle e^{i\theta} \rangle \ge 0.9$
 - sign problem is not serious in small lattice
 - t.NLO auxiliary fields do not drastically affect average phase factor at μ=0



Sec.3

Lattice 2014@NewYork

Chiral condensate (Chiral radius) $^{2.5}$ $^{2.5}$ $^{2.5}$

- Fluctuation reduces chiral condensate compared with mean field (MF) results.
- t.NLO auxiliary fields reduce chiral condensate compared with SCL results.
 - t.NLO AFs generate wave functional renormalization, which rescale effective mass.

Miura, Nakano, Ohnishi, Kawamoto (2009) Nakano, Miura, Ohnishi (2011)



Sec.3

Results

Results - temporal NLO (t.NLO) effects - (2)

Lattice 2014 @NewYork

almost the same as $\beta=0$ up to current β

٠

•

Chiral condensate

similar to aniso. MF • analysis



• Average phase factor (β =0.1)

Results - spatial NLO (sp.NLO) effects



Sec.3 **Results**





- We give an effective action including both finite coupling and fluctuation effects.
- From numerical results at $\mu=0$,
 - chiral condensate
 - is reduced by temporal NLO fields
 - is not altered much by spatial NLO fields
 - average phase factor
 - is large enough with temporal NLO fields
 - becomes small with spatial NLO fields
- We are developing a new way to weaken the sign problem to investigate larger μ , β and lattice in AFMC.

Results - t. NLO effects (t.NLO AFs & Z)

• AFs for t. NLO fields in MF

•
$$\varphi_t: \varphi_t = -\left\langle V^+ - V^- \right\rangle/2$$

•
$$\omega_t$$
: $\omega_t = -\langle V^+ + V^- \rangle/2 = \rho_q$
= 0, ($\mu = 0$)

- Wave function renormalization Z
 - Z at $\mu=0$ in MF

$$Z = (1 + \beta_t \varphi_t) \qquad \beta_t = d/N_c^2 g^2$$

Rescaling modified mass

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EHS}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} \Phi_{x}^{2} + \sum_{x} m_{x}(\Phi) M_{x}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} Z_{x}(\Phi) \left[V_{x}^{+}(\tilde{\mu}(\Phi)) - V_{x}^{-}(\tilde{\mu}(\Phi)) - V_{x}^{-}(\tilde{\mu}(\Phi)) - V_{x}^{-}(\tilde{\mu}(\Phi)) \right]$$

Lattice 2014@NewYork



App.

Results

Results - t. NLO effects (chiral condensate)

App. <u>Results</u>

- Compared with MF results, chiral condensate
 - is reduced by approximately 7% in SCL
 - is also reduced by approximately 7% in t.NLO
- Surprisingly, chiral condensate is altered cumulatively by finite coupling and fluctuation effects.
 chiral cond., AFMC and aniso. MF (t.NLO), β=0



NLO effective action (1)

- Auxiliary Fields (AFs)
 - SCL : σ and π are AFs for *M* terms
 - spatial NLO : Σ and Π are AFs for *MM* terms
 - temporal NLO : ω and Ω are AFs for V terms



NLO effective action (2)

App. Formalism



NLO effective action (3)

App. Formalism

$$\begin{split} & \text{Effective action} \\ S_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{NLO})} &= \frac{L^3 C_s}{8N_c} \sum_{\tau, \boldsymbol{u}, \kappa_u^j > 0, j} \kappa_u^{(j)} \left[|\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{(j)}|^2 + |\Pi_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{(j)}|^2 \right] + L^3 C_\tau \sum_{\tau, \boldsymbol{k}, f(\boldsymbol{k}) > 0} f(\boldsymbol{k}) \left[|\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}, \tau}|^2 + |\Omega_{\boldsymbol{k}, \tau}|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{L^3}{4N_c} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}, \tau, f(\boldsymbol{k}) > 0} f(\boldsymbol{k}) \left[|\sigma_{\boldsymbol{k}, \tau}|^2 + |\pi_{\boldsymbol{k}, \tau}|^2 \right] + \frac{C_s}{4N_c} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \left[\phi_{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 + \varphi_{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \log \left[X_{N_\tau}(\boldsymbol{x})^3 - 2\hat{Z}(\boldsymbol{x})^2 X_{N_\tau} + \hat{Z}(\boldsymbol{x})^3 2 \cosh\left(3\hat{\tilde{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \right] \,. \end{split}$$

$$C_{\tau} = 1/(2N_{c}^{2}g^{2}\gamma) \qquad f(k) = \sum_{j:z} f(k$$

$$f(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{j>0} \cos k_j$$
$$\kappa_u^{(j)} = \sum_{k(\neq j)} \cos u_k$$
$$e^{\tilde{\mu}_x} = e^{\mu} \sqrt{\alpha_x^- / \alpha_x^+}$$
$$\hat{Z}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i Z_{\mathbf{x},i}$$

known function

NLO effective action (4)

Calculation of fermion determinant

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \int \mathcal{D} \left[\chi, \bar{\chi}, U_0 \right] e^{-\sum_{x,y} \bar{\chi}_x G_{x,y}^{-1} \chi_y}$$

$$= \prod_x \int \mathcal{D} U_{0,x} \begin{vmatrix} I_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} & \alpha_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} & 0 & \cdots & \beta_{N_\tau} U_{0,x}^+ \\ -\beta_1 \cdots \mathbf{1}_{N_c} & I_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} & \alpha_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & \alpha_{N_\tau - 1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} \\ -\alpha_{N_\tau} U_{0,x} & 0 & -\beta_{N_\tau - 1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} & I_{N_\tau} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_x \int \mathcal{D} U_{0,x} \det_{N_c} \left[X_{N_\tau} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N_c} + \hat{\beta} U_{0,x}^+ + (-1)^{N_\tau} \hat{\alpha} U_{0,x} \right] ,$$

$$G_{x,y}^{-1} = \frac{\delta_{x,y}}{2} \left[Z_x \left(e^{\tilde{\mu}(x)} U_{x,0} \delta_{x+\hat{0},y} - e^{-\tilde{\mu}(y)} U_{x,0}^+ \delta_{x-\hat{0},y} \right) + I_x \right] \qquad I = 2m_x / \gamma$$

$$\alpha_i = Z_{x,i} e^{\tilde{\mu}_i} , \quad \beta_i = Z_{x,i} e^{-\tilde{\mu}_i} , \quad \gamma_i = \alpha_i \beta_i = Z_{x,i}^2$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{N_\tau} = \hat{Z} e^{\hat{\mu}(x)} \qquad \hat{\beta} = \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_{N_\tau} = \hat{Z} e^{-\hat{\mu}(x)} \quad \hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta} = \hat{Z}(x)^2$$
attice 2014@NewYork

NLO effective action (5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Calculation of fermion determinant} \\ & \mathcal{R} = \prod_{x} \left[X_{N_{\tau}}(x)^{3} - 2\hat{Z}(x)^{2}X_{N_{\tau}} + \hat{Z}(x)^{3}2\cosh\left(3\hat{\mu}(x)\right) \right] \\ & \cdot \\ & X_{N} : X_{N_{\tau}}(I_{1}, \cdots, I_{N_{\tau}}; \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{N_{\tau}}) = B_{N_{\tau}}(I_{1}, \cdots, I_{N_{\tau}}; \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{N_{\tau}-1}) \\ & + \gamma_{N_{\tau}}B_{N_{\tau}-2}(I_{2}, \cdots, I_{N_{\tau}-1}; \gamma_{2}, \cdots, \gamma_{N_{\tau}-2}) \\ & B_{N_{\tau}}(I_{1}, \cdots, I_{N_{\tau}}; \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{N_{\tau}-1}) = I_{N_{\tau}}B_{N_{\tau}-1}(I_{1}, \cdots, I_{N_{\tau}-1}; \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{N_{\tau}-2}) \\ & + \gamma_{N_{\tau}-1}B_{N_{\tau}-2}(I_{1}, \cdots, I_{N_{\tau}-2}; \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{N_{\tau}-3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \ddots & \alpha_{N-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\beta_{N-1} & I_N \end{vmatrix}$$