

BeAST solenoid magnetic field calculation and accompanying studies

Alexander Kiselev

Remote "Temple" EICUG YR Meeting Mar, 20 2020

BeAST detector layout

-3.5 < η < 3.5: Tracking & e/m Calorimetry (hermetic coverage)



Outline of the talk

- Original EicRoot solenoid field (R.Darienzo / B.Parker)
- Exercise with the Detector-Integrated Dipole
- BeAST magnetic field: motivation and calculation technique
- Gaseous RICH performance in the BeAST fringe field
- Path forward?

Original EicRoot 3T field

- Calculated by Rick Darienzo (Elke's intern at BNL) back in 2013 with the help of Brett Parker
 - OPERA 2D software suite
 - simple "Belle" coil configuration
 - no yoke

Bifurcated EncLosed LinEar solenoid: BELLE

Our most current working design is the 2.477 m long Bifurcated EncLosed LinEar solenoid (BELLE). This design was preceded by the Multiple Ring Solenoid version B1 (MRS-B1). A poster which describes the comparison between the BELLE and the MRS-B1 in detail may be seen here **B**. The magnet modeling and some of the particle trajectory simulations are completed in COBHAM's OPERA-3d software suite.

BELLE Conductor Specifications							
	Length [mm]	Inner Radius [mm]	Outer Radius [mm]	Current Density [A/mm ²]			
Inner Solenoid	1200.0	1220.0	1320.0	25.0			
Outer Solenoid (each side)	638.5	1200.0	1340.0	33.0			
Sample Magnetic Field Values							
(x,y,z) [mm]	B _{modulus} [T]		B _{radial} [T]				
(0, 0, 0)		2.9	0.0				
(0, 0, ±1300)		2.0		0.0			

Original EicRoot 3T field



Longitudinal field component (3T max)



Transverse field component (large!)

- Quite a step forward compared to the constant 3T box field, but ...
- ... more like a toy model ...
- In transverse field in the TPC volume would be too large (?)

Detector-integrated dipole (DID) excursion

• The primary objective: mitigate the risk of eRHIC machine by replacing crab cavities with a separation dipole combined with the main solenoid



This did not really work well (see the next two slides)

DID: transverse field in the TPC volume

Transverse Field Map in TPC volume



Transverse Field Map in TPC volume

1.4

1.2

8.0

0.6

0.4

0.2

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

90

100

100

Highly non-uniform and ϕ -dependent transverse field component

DID: momentum resolution at forward η

• Four curves on every plot: $\phi = 0^0$, 30^0 , 60^0 , 90^0



No azimuthal symmetry; very poor resolution at forward rapidities and unfortunate ϕ where solenoid and dipole fields cancel each other

BeAST magnetic field

<u>Goal:</u>

- Implement in the same compact design:
 - homogeneous ~3T field in the TPC
 - hadron-track-aligned field in the RICH
- Keep it simple (no dual solenoid configuration; no reversed current coils; no flux return through HCal; no warm coils between RICH and EmCal)







Method overview

Use Open Source tools instead of Opera 2D/3D and such:

- Custom ROOT scripts for geometry creation
- Netgen library for meshing
- *Elmer* for magnetostatic calculations
- *Gmsh* and *Paraview* for visualization

-> since everything is done in (almost) the same Linux environment, it can be very flexible and is natively interfaced to the rest of the simulation codes

-> allowed for very short turn-around times per coil+yoke geometry configuration (literally hundreds of options were tried out) where expert cross-check was required only at the end

Details of the model

- Use 2D formalism (yes, axially symmetric field configuration)
 - Indeed helps a lot to save CPU time!
- Typically ~5x12m² area in {R,Z} with natural boundary conditions
- Essential map region is meshed in triangles <1cm in size</p>



-> execution requires up to 4GB of RAM; a typical configuration takes ~2-3 minutes of processing time on Intel Xeon W3520 2.67GHz (single thread)

Z: +/-6.0m around the IP

"Belle" configuration X-check: 2D field

<u>OPERA</u>

<u>Elmer</u>



Look similar and the scale (max.field ~4T at the coils) is correct

"Belle": field abs.value

OPERA

Elmer







Also pretty much the same ...

"Belle": radial component @ R=55cm

<u>OPERA</u>

Elmer



 Even the small radial component comes out ~identical in both packages (yes, Maxwell equations are sort of universal :-)

-> this means further plots more or less make sense as well

BeAST: optimize for the TPC first

- Use pretty much the same procedure as for the "Belle" calculations
- Field homogeneity on the level of few % in |Z|<1m and R~[0.2 .. 0.8]m region</p>
- Fiducial volume limitations for coil placement:
 - >1400mm or so in radius (do not disturb barrel detectors)
 - $|\eta| < 1.0$ or so in polar angle (do not disturb endcap detectors)

: 0.0 5.0 m	Superconducting coils	
ч М	+/-2.5/	n field map area

Z: +/-6.0m around the IP

Coil configuration (optimized for TPC)



Z: +/-2.5m around the IP

R _{min} , [mm]	R _{max} , [mm]	Length, [mm]	Z-offset, [mm]	Current, [A/mm ²]
1610	1700	500	1600	12
1510	1600	600	1400	36
1400	1500	3000	0	24
1510	1600	600	-1400	36
1610	1700	500	-1600	12

Field in the TPC volume

Magnetic field lines

Field homogeneity in R [0.2 .. 0.8] m



Approximate CF₄ RICH location (1.5 .. 2.5m from the IP) indicated in red
-> and RICH is not happy! – see next slide

Coil configuration (optimized for RICH)



Z: +/-2.5m around the IP

- RICH side (hadrongoing direction) gets tuned
- The other side stays almost the same as before

R _{min} , [mm]	R _{max} , [mm]	Length, [mm]	Z-offset, [mm]	Current, [A/mm ²]
1610	1700	500	1600	-20
1510	1600	500	700	12
1510	1600	600	1300	40
1400	1500	3000	0	24
1510	1600	600	-1400	34
1610	1700	500	-1600	12

Will gas radiator RICH work in this field?





- 1m focal length; ~33mm ring radius at β ~ 1
- GEM readout; effective 2.5mm hexagonal pads
- Assume on average 12 photons per ring at β ~ 1
- Additional 300 μrad instrumental resolution





NB: this spread is in principle noticeable compared to the intrinsic single-photon angular resolution of ~1 mrad



"Back-of-the-envelope" Monte-Carlo study:

- Realistic solenoid magnetic field
- Realistic tracker momentum resolution
- Cerenkov angle smearing in the field
- Csl quantum efficiency $\epsilon(\lambda)$ dependence
- Refractive index n(λ) variation
- Finite readout board "pixel" size
- ROOT TMVA-based output evaluation

Relative pion/kaon/proton yields

20x250 GeV configuration; yields versus momentum in the 4 < η < 4 range:





Entries

 10^{2}

- π /K/p distributions at the same η look similar
- π/K ratio is about 3:1 -> depending on the desired efficiency and contamination this defines the required suppression factors

Gas radiator RICH in the magnetic field

Require 95% kaon positive identification efficiency



So yes, RICH with a long enough gas radiator should work just fine in this solenoid stray field

Will aerogel RICH work in such a field?

NB: at 3T full track bending in aerogel volume is >5 mrad at 5 GeV/c!



"Back-of-the-envelope" Monte-Carlo study:

- Constant B_z ~ 3T
- Asymmetric (φ-dependent) attenuation
- φ-dependent Cerenkov angle smearing in the field
- SiPM quantum efficiency $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ dependence
- Refractive index n(λ) variation
- Emission point uncertainty (thick radiator)
- Finite readout board "pixel" size
- TMVA-based output evaluation

Consider end-cap case in proximity-focusing configuration:

- 3cm thick aerogel; 20cm expansion volume
- <n₀> = 1.05
- ~5cm attenuation length
- SiPM array readout; 5mm² "pixel" size
- Assume on average 15 photons per ring at $\beta \sim 1$

Aerogel RICH R&D for Belle II upgrade



Questions & path forward

- Can we come up with a better (non-Babar) model for the YR?
- Which max field?
- Homogeneous field in the "TPC" volume really needed?
- Flux return through HCal?
- Feasible fringe field configuration in the RICH volume?
- Active compensation coils between EmCal & HCal?
- Field clamps, stray field in the hall
- Compensation for beam optics (new task force led by Vasiliy)