

Central Detector Integration / Magnet subgroup summary

Conveners: William Brooks and Alexander Kiselev

EICUG Yellow Report Temple virtual Meeting, March 2020

session agenda & talks <https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/sessions/3687/#20200320>

The scope

- **All the questions associated with the solenoid magnet:**
 - Options, overall design, geometry, GEANT model, field map(s)
 - Central field strength: photo-sensors, tracking resolution, acceptance for low Pt tracks, fringe field & gaseous RICH performance, etc
- **Detector components “co-existence” verification**
 - Geometry conflicts, fiducialization, realistic space for sub-detectors, etc
 - Combined sub-detector performance (?)
- **Dead material accounting**
- **Integration in the IR**
- **Backgrounds (?)**
- **Infrastructure, support, services**

Central detector solenoid options

- **Re-use Babar and/or CLEO magnets**
- **Come up with a new solenoid straw man design**
 - (A) A similar size but 3T field
 - (B) A large bore greenfield solenoid

-> a small task force is being setup right now; experts welcome!

 - ▶ Homogeneous central field
 - ▶ Specially designed fringe field in the gaseous RICH volume
 - ▶ Fit in the IR, stray fields, other “usual” engineering constraints
- **Other configurations?**

Central detector solenoid options

- **Re-use Babar and/or CLEO magnets**
- **Come up with a new solenoid straw man design**
 - (A) A similar size but 3T field
 - (B) A large bore greenfield solenoid

-> a small task force is being setup right now; experts welcome!

 - ▶ ~~Homogeneous central field~~
 - ▶ Specially designed fringe field in the gaseous RICH volume
 - ▶ Fit in the IR, stray fields, other “usual” engineering constraints
 - ▶ *Other requirements (allocate space for DIRC expansion volume, etc)?*
- **Other configurations?**

Solenoid field strength

- Photo-sensors in the magnetic field

- ▶ Junqi Xie (Argonne)

<https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36024/attachments/27216/41540/YR-Temple-Magnet.pdf>

We are good for 1.5 T field; there are options even for 5T but then cost is an issue

- Tracking resolution

- ▶ Nicholas Lukow (Temple)

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36028/attachments/27226/41509/YellowReport_MagneticFieldStrengthTrackingResolution.pdf

A compromise between the two objectives needs to be found

-> a set of combined eic-smear parameterizations will be provided

- Acceptance for low Pt tracks

- ▶ Yulia Furletova (JLab)

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36027/attachments/27229/41512/TrackingField_Feb2020.pdf

- Fringe field & gaseous RICH performance

- ▶ Jin Huang (BNL)

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36025/attachments/27209/41610/sPHENIX_Magnet.pdf

- ▶ AK (BNL) / also BeAST field map calculation summary /

<https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36026/attachments/27242/41531/ayk-2020-03-20-beast-magnetic-field.pdf>

If one has a freedom to optimize the fringe field on the design stage, high momentum RICH should work fine

Infrastructure

- Adding services to the EIC Monte-Carlo simulations

A very practical approach;
should be used by all groups

- ▶ Leo Greiner (Berkeley)

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36038/attachments/27241/41530/2020_03_20_EIC_Si_services_parametrization_for_sim.pdf

-> requests to the detector and the software WGs will follow

- EIC detector infrastructure

You may have missed this: quite a lot
was considered already -> see the slides

- ▶ Mark Breitfeller (BNL)

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36039/attachments/27201/41474/EIC_Detector_Infrastructure_-_Breitfeller.pdf

- IR vacuum chamber design

We do have a CAD model for 25mrad
crossing angle (central area), but
more work needed for the far forward region

- ▶ Charles Hetzel (BNL)

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36037/attachments/27245/41538/Yellow_book_workshop_3-20-20.pdf

-> a request to the software WG will follow

Backgrounds

- Synchrotron radiation studies with the current IR design

- ▶ Charles Hetzel (BNL) / the same talk /

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36037/attachments/27245/41538/Yellow_book_workshop_3-20-20.pdf

This is a problem,
but we can seemingly
manage it

- Background sources and studies at the EIC

- ▶ Latifa Elouardhiri (JLab)

<https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36034/attachments/27260/41566/BGS-03202020-LE.pdf>

A set of comprehensive studies
for JLEIC configuration

- Beam-gas induced background, neutron flux, radiation dose at the EIC

- ▶ Jin Huang (BNL)

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7449/contributions/36036/attachments/27210/41611/EIC_BeamGas_background.pdf

Several studies for EIC-sPHENIX and BeAST configuration

The amount and the quality of all the studies
performed so far *in principle suffices for the YR*; they need
to be adopted to the current EIC IR geometry though

-> a joint meeting is being setup to unify the efforts