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SuperKEKB and Belle II

- From KEKB… (1998-2010)
  - 3 km circumference asymmetric 7 GeV electron (HER) – 4 GeV positron (LER) collider
  - World record in instantaneous luminosity of $2.11 \times 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, integrated 1 ab$^{-1}$ in 2010

- to SuperKEKB (first collisions 2019-04-26)
  - Instantaneous luminosity of $8 \times 10^{35}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, integrated to 50 ab$^{-1}$ by mid-2020s
  - Beam current increased by factor 2
  - Nano-beams: squeezing the beam at the IR to nanometer sizes, at high crossing angle
  - Both beams in SuperKEKB are currently unpolarized

- From Belle…
  - CP-violation in B- and anti-B-meson sector

- to Belle II
  - DAQ to optical fibers, upgraded trigger system
  - New pixel detector, silicon vertex tracker, central tracker, TPC, RICH
SuperKEKB and Belle II

- $e^+ 4 \text{ GeV 3.6 A}$
- $e^- 7 \text{ GeV 2.6 A}$
- New beam pipe & bellows
- Low emittance positrons to inject
- Damping ring
- Low emittance gun
- Low emittance electrons to inject
- Add / modify RF systems for higher beam current
- Positron source
- New positron target / capture section

~7 m
~7.5 m
### Machine Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>LER</th>
<th>HER</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2017/September/1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>7.007</td>
<td>GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bunches</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch Current</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference</td>
<td>3,016,315</td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y)</td>
<td>3.2(1.9)/8.64(2.8)</td>
<td>4.6(4.4)/12.9(1.5)</td>
<td>nm/pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_x^<em>/\beta_y^</em>)</td>
<td>32/0.27</td>
<td>25/0.30</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing angle</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_p)</td>
<td>3.20\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.55\times10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma_\phi)</td>
<td>7.92(7.53)\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>6.37(6.30)\times10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_c)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>MV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma_z)</td>
<td>6(4.7)</td>
<td>5(4.9)</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_s)</td>
<td>-0.0245</td>
<td>-0.0280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_x/\nu_y)</td>
<td>44.53/46.57</td>
<td>45.53/43.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U_0)</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_{x,y}/\tau_s)</td>
<td>45.7/22.8</td>
<td>58.0/29.0</td>
<td>msec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\xi_{x,y})</td>
<td>0.0028/0.0881</td>
<td>0.0012/0.0807</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Luminosity</strong></td>
<td>8\times10^{35}</td>
<td></td>
<td>cm^{-2}s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SuperKEKB and Belle II

Goal for start of polarized SuperKEKB data taking

Peak Luminosity $[cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$

- $L$ (orange line)
- Int. $L$ (green line)

2020
Summer shutdown
8 months (PXD)

2023
Summer shutdown
6 months (RF upgrade)

Morita's plan

Int. $L$ [nb$^{-1}$]
Electroweak Program with Polarized SuperKEKB

- Access to weak vector couplings in polarized $e^+e^- \rightarrow ff$ through left-right asymmetry:

$$A_{LR}^f = \frac{\sigma_L - \sigma_R}{\sigma_L + \sigma_R} = \frac{sG_F}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha Q_f}} g_A^e g_V^f \langle Pol \rangle$$

$$\langle Pol \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{N_{eR} - N_{eL}}{N_{eR} + N_{eL}} \right)_R - \left( \frac{N_{eR} - N_{eL}}{N_{eR} + N_{eL}} \right)_L \right]$$

- Of particular interest: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
  - Enhancement of $A_{LR}(\mu)$ expected in explanations for proton radius in muonic hydrogen, or for $(g-2)_\mu$ effects

Ref: Electroweak Physics with Polarized Electron Beams in a SuperKEKB Upgrade, M. Roney, [https://doi.org/10.22323/1.367.0109](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.367.0109), arxiv:1907.03503.
## Electroweak Program with Polarized SuperKEKB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final State Fermion</th>
<th>$A_{SM}^{LR}$</th>
<th>Relative $A_{LR}$ Error (%)</th>
<th>$g_V^f$</th>
<th>W.A. [2]</th>
<th>$\sigma(g_V^f)$ for 20 ab$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\sigma(g_V^f)$ for 40 ab$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\sigma(\sin^2\theta_{W}^{eff})$ for 40 ab$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b-quark (eff. = 0.3)</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.3220</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>improves x4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±0.0077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-quark (eff. = 0.3)</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+0.1873</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>improves x7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±0.0070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tau (eff. = 0.25)</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-0.0366</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±0.0010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muon (eff. = 0.5)</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.03667</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>improves x5</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±0.0023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electron (1 nb acceptance)</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.3816</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±0.00047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: eff. = final state selection efficiency; Relative $A_{LR}$ Error for 20 ab$^{-1}$; W.A. = World Average
Electroweak Program with Polarized SuperKEKB

**c-quark:**
Chiral Belle $\sim$ 7 times more precise

with 20 $ab^{-1}$

**b-quark:**
Chiral Belle $\sim$ 4 times more precise
Electroweak Program with Polarized SuperKEKB
Electroweak Program with Polarized SuperKEKB

- Unique sensitivity to light neutral dark Z bosons
- In particular when between 10 and 35 GeV
- Or if strongly coupled to 3rd generation
Dynamical Mass Generation in Polarized $e^+e^-$

- Polarized $e^+e^-$ annihilation into polarized $\Lambda$ or hadron pair to probe dynamical quark mass generation in QCD

Ref: A. Signore, QCD Evolution 2019
Polarized SuperKEKB Requirements

Overall strategy:

- **Low-emittance longitudinally polarized GaAs electron source**
  - Spin rotated transverse before entering storage ring

- **High energy storage ring spin transport upgrades**
  - Transverse polarization in the bending sections of the storage ring
  - Spin rotators around the IR: Transverse $\rightarrow$ longitudinal at IP $\rightarrow$ transverse

- **Polarimetry**
  - Longitudinal Compton polarimeter near IR
  - $\tau \rightarrow \pi\nu$ analysis using collision events at IR

Projected systematic uncertainties should be 0.5% or better on $A_{LR}(b)$

- Polarization extrapolation from Compton polarimeter to IR currently largest
Polarized SuperKEKB Requirements: Similar to EIC

- High luminosity prevents use of Sokolov-Ternov self-polarization
- Polarization must be generated at polarized DC gun and injected continuously (as is already done for unpolarized beams at SuperKEKB)
  - Wien filter to rotate spin
- Flexible bunch polarization patterns must be supported
- Bunch-to-bunch polarization measurement
- Within existing accelerator infrastructure

Already existing connections between EIC and this project (e.g. M. Palmer, polarized electron source at BNL)
Storage Ring Modifications: Spin Rotators

- Preserve HER/LER ring structure (asymmetric around IR)
- Preserve vertical emittance → only solenoid-dipole spin rotators considered, with the dipole as part of existing ring
- Will have to be symmetric in horizontal bending around IP
  - Prevents strong spin matching, but depolarization time turns out to be long enough to maintain good polarization

Solution:

- Multifunctional spin rotator magnets that can replace existing dipoles and result in longitudinal polarization at IR
Storage Ring Modifications: Spin Rotators
Storage Ring Modifications: Spin Rotators

- 3 multifunctional solenoid, dipole, quadrupole magnets on each side of IP
- Could take advantage of BNL direct winding technology
- No vertical shifts needed
- Can back out by only energizing dipole and quadrupoles
Storage Ring Modifications: Spin Rotators

Ref: Uli Wienands, ANL
Beam Polarization Measurements from $A_{FB}(\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu)$

s-channel production: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

Decay channels:
- $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \nu\tau$
- $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \nu\tau$

$A_{FB} \propto \cos \theta_{\pi}$

Backgrounds:
- $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm \nu\mu\nu\tau$
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

Pure channel: $\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^\pm \nu\tau + \rho^\pm (\pi^\pm \pi^0) \nu\tau$

(Ref: C. Miller, M. Roney, U. Victoria, CAP Congress 2019)
Beam Polarization Measurements from $A_{FB}(\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu)$

- $\cos \theta$ distributions of $\pi^-$ depends on polarization
  - No polarization: no preference for forward/backward
  - Positive polarization: $\pi^-$ preference for forward direction ($\cos \theta > 0$)
  - Negative polarization: $\pi^-$ preference for backward direction ($\cos \theta < 0$)

- Events generated with KK2f + tauola for $\tau$ decays
  - full spin correlation density matrix taken into account
Beam Polarization Measurements from $A_{FB}(τ→πν)$

Discriminating power in other kinematic observables (asymmetric boost)

- $X_{π} = P_{π} / E_{b}$ (pion energy fraction, 0 … 1)

$\cos θ_{π} < -0.5$  
-0.5 < $\cos θ_{π}$ < 0.5  
0.5 < $\cos θ_{π}$
Beam Polarization Measurements from $A_{FB}(τ \rightarrow πν)$

- Analysis developed on BaBar Run 3 data by C. Miller (U. Victoria)
- Barlow template fitting method with 2 signal (L and R) and 4 background template distributions in $θ_π$ and $X_π$
- Unblinding BaBar analysis in July; systematic studies underway; promises better than 0.5% systematic uncertainty
- Statistical uncertainty allows 0.5% per few hundred fb$^{-1}$
Compton Polarimetry at Polarized SuperKEKB

Incident beams:
- Electron (e):
  - $E = \text{initial energy}$
  - $\vec{p} = \text{initial momentum}$
- Photon ($\gamma$):
  - $k = \text{initial energy}$
  - $k = \text{initial momentum}$

Scattered beams:
- Electron ($e'$):
  - $E' = \text{scattered energy}$
  - $\vec{p}' = \text{scattered momentum}$
- Photon ($\gamma'$):
  - $k' = \text{scattered energy}$
  - $k' = \text{scattered momentum}$

Ref: Omar Hassan, U. Manitoba
Compton Polarimetry at Polarized SuperKEKB

- HER 7 GeV with various laser wavelength assumptions:
  - 1064 nm, 532 nm, 266 nm
- Longitudinal asymmetry
Compton Polarimetry at Polarized SuperKEKB

- HER 7 GeV with various laser wavelength assumptions:
  - 1064 nm, 532 nm, 266 nm

- Transverse asymmetry
Compton Polarimetry at Polarized SuperKEKB

FOM = time to 1% precision \( \propto \frac{1}{\langle A^2 \rangle} \), integrated from lower threshold \( k'_{\text{min}} \)

- Differential measurement: \( \langle A^2 \rangle \)
- Integrating measurement: \( \langle A \rangle^2 \)
- Energy-weighted integrating measurement: \( \langle EA \rangle^2 / \langle E^2 \rangle \)
Compton Polarimetry at Polarized SuperKEKB

For thresholdless data acquisition, **differential measurements** have largest analyzing power but sensitive to backgrounds.

**Integral measurement** using pulsed laser (frequency comb) matched to beam structure less sensitive to backgrounds.

Plot for $k = 2.33$ eV (532 nm)
Compton Polarimetry at Polarized SuperKEKB

Luminosity assumptions:
- Continuous laser: 10W cavity
- Pulsed laser: 20W at 250MHz
  - Currently looking at 512 ns, e.g. Menlo Orange high power series
  - 2.2 photons per bunch crossing
- Time to 1% precision:
  (preliminary until we know where in lattice)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$ [eV]</th>
<th>$&lt;A^2&gt;$</th>
<th>time [s]</th>
<th>$&lt;A&gt;^2$</th>
<th>time [s]</th>
<th>$&lt;EA/E^2&gt;$</th>
<th>time [s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.0330</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SuperKEKB Lattice: Location of Polarimetry

Ref: A. Martens, Y. Peinaud, F. Zomer, Orsay, IN2P3
Alignment with EIC Electron Polarimetry Efforts

- Similar requirements: high precision, per bunch, faster than cycling time
- Both longitudinal and transverse Compton polarimetry under consideration
- Similar time frame for construction and operation, but with operational e-beam
- SuperKEKB is at lower energies than EIC: 7 GeV

Groups involved in SuperKEKB polarimetry effort:

- Driven by Canadian group in Belle II: U. Victoria
- Synergies with other Canadian groups: U. Manitoba
  - Canadian concentration of EIC efforts is of interest to Canadian SAP groups
- Cavity LPOL group at HERA: Orsay
- Strong support from Belle II and SuperKEKB leadership
- Studies by accelerator groups at SuperKEKB; also BNL, ANL experts
Timeline

- Discussions
- Fall 2019: NSERC Pre-R&D Proposal v1
- Winter 2020-present: Polarized Belle II Working Group meetings
- Winter 2020-present: Periodic updates at Belle II General Meeting
- Summer 2020: Canadian SAP Long Range Planning briefs
- Summer 2020: Polarized Belle II White Paper
- Fall 2020: NSERC Pre-R&D Proposal v2
- ...
- Completion of 40 $\text{ab}^{-1}$ physics data-taking with polarized SuperKEKB by end of decade
Summary

SuperKEKB is similar in energy range and luminosity as the EIC electron ring, leading to similar operational environment for polarimetry.

Very similar Compton polarimetry efforts are under consideration: high power pulsed laser systems with simultaneous calorimetric photon detection and strip detector (HVMAPS) electron detection.
Backup
Comparison of SuperKEKB and EIC Precision