Jets and Heavy Flavor physics working subgroup

Pavia YR Meeting Leticia Cunqueiro, Brian Page, Frank Petriello, Ernst Sichtermann, Ivan Vitev

### Our main physics-objectives continue to be:

	Physics measurement	Channel
	Longitudinal spin structure	Inclusive jet and dijet measurements
	Sivers asymmetry, special focus on gluons	Jet, lepton-jet and di-jet measurements
	Electroweak structure functions, charged currents	Jets, flavor separated jets, Longitudinally polarized reactions ep, parity violating asymmetries
	TMDs, nuclear broadening, energy loss	D-jets and photon/lepton tagged jets, ep, eA
	Longitudinal and transverse (TMD) fragmentation, shapes and splitting functions	Inclusive jet measurements -> hadrons in jets, energy flow, angularities
	Energy loss and hadronization	Heavy mesons cross sections in comparison to light mesons in ep, eA
	Charm and beauty content of nucleons and nuclei	Heavy flavor-tagged jets, ep, eA
	Flavor and mass dependence of parton showers	Heavy flavor-tagged jet substructure, ep, eA, quarkonia in jets
Studies prior to	Extraction of fundamental parameters, hadronization constants, $\alpha_s$ and since the Temple workshop are leadin	Global event shapes, thrust, angularities, N-jettiness g to better insights in detector needs; work in progress/

continuos



• Jet production extends quite far forward (proton going direction), especially at higher energies – forward tracking and calorimetry will be as important as mid-rapidity

arXiv:1911.00657

# Jet n Vs Energy

teminoers from the Femole Workshop 6.000 8.0000 8.000 8.0000 8.000 8.000 8.000

arxiv:1912.05931



Figure 4. Jet energy vs jet pseudorapidity (in the lab frame).  $\eta^{jet}$  is defined as positive in the proton (ion)-going direction. The jets are defined with radius R = 1.0 and the anti- $k_{\rm T}$ algorithm. The beam energies of the simulation are 20 GeV for the electron and 100 GeV for the proton.



#### New studies since Temple, for example those by Miguel Arratia et al. (Pavia WS), are further informing needs:

Table 1: Channels listed are increasingly demanding. For every row consider all requirements above as well. The  $(x, Q^2)$  dependence of the observables is omitted for brevity. Date: May 20, 2020, Miguel Arratia

Channel	Observable	Goal	Physics-driven requirement	Category	numbers
e-jet (NC)	$d\sigma, A_{UT}(\Delta\phi)$	$k_T$ -dependence	$\Delta \phi$ res. << intrinsic width	Jet res.	jet $dE/E < 20\%/\sqrt{E}$
			$\sigma(\Delta\phi) < 0.02 \text{ rad}$		$\rightarrow$ ECAL&HCAL $dE/E < 60\%/\sqrt{E}$
$100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$		of quark Sivers	$R = 1.0 \rightarrow \text{had. corr. } O(1)\%$	Acceptance	$2\pi$ , $ \eta  < 3.5$ HCAL and ECAL
			particle-flow reco	Granularity	endcap $\Delta \phi \times \Delta \eta \leq 0.025 \times 0.025$
h-in-jet (NC)	$d\sigma, A_{UT}(z_h, j_T)$	q-transversity	dp/p at high $z < jet  dE/E$	Tracker	$dp/p < 3\%$ at 50 GeV, up to $\eta = 3.0$
$100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$				PID	up to $\eta < 3.5$ and 50 GeV
$\nu$ -jet (CC)	$d\sigma, A_{UT}$	u Sivers	$\Delta \phi \ll 0.3$ rad	$E_T^{miss}$ res.	$dE_T^{miss}/E_T^{miss} < 15\%$
$100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$			Bkg. rej. to phot and NC	Acceptance	$2\pi$ , $ \eta  < 3.5$ HCAL and ECAL
					E>100 MeV thres. ECAL
					E>400 MeV thres. HCAL
					$p_T > 100 \text{ MeV tracker}$
			>70% survival prob.	$\operatorname{Jet}/E_T^{miss}$ res.	dx/x < 20%,
			for 5 bins per-decade in $x, Q^2$		$dE_T^{miss}/E_T^{miss} < 15\%$
h-in-jet (CC)	$d\sigma, A_{UT}(z_h, j_T)$	<i>u</i> -transversity	—		—
$100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$					
c-jet (CC)	$d\sigma, A_{LL}$	s PDF& helicity	charm-tagging	Tracker	<i>c</i> -jet tag at $> 10\%$ (<0.05%)
$100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$					$\sigma(DCA) = 20 \ \mu m$ , up to $ \eta  = 3$
					$\approx 100\%$ eff.
				PID	TBD
h-in- $c$ -jet (CC)	$d\sigma, A_{UT}(z_h, j_T)$	s-transversity	—		—
$100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$					
$c$ -jet ( $e^+$ CC)	$d\sigma, A_{LL}$	$s/\bar{s}$ asymmetry	positrons	—	—
$100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$					

Not the final word, work continues/remains. However, e.g. HCAL continues to be about tails not resolution, tracking requirements e.g. for Collins measurement appear less stringent than those put forward by PID DWG.

# Heavy Quark Kinematics $log(Q^2)$ vs. log(x)





• Energies for electron + proton collision program

Matt Kelsey et al (Pavia WS), and complementary work.

# Heavy Quark Kinematics Heavy-Flavor Decay Distributions



- Charm and bottom decay products within  $|\eta| < 3$
- Similar distributions for other charm hadron decays and  $B \rightarrow D^+/Lepton$  decays

Matt Kelsey et al (Pavia WS), and complementary work.

.....

BERKELEY

## Heavy Quarks - Momentum Resolution Reconstructed D mesons in PYTHIA8 simulation



- In 10 GeV electron and 100 GeV proton collisions with integrated luminosity: 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>.
- Reconstructed D meson mass distributions.
  - Tracking  $\eta$  cut: 1 to 3 and track efficiency set at 95%.
  - The performances are based on 100% K/ $\pi/p$  separation.
  - Charged track clusters that contain K<sup>±</sup> with a decay length (DCA) cut.



Xuan Li et al (Pavia WS), and complementary work.

# Heavy Quarks - Vertex Resolution

Interested parties from Birmingham, LANL, LBNL, ... are taking active part in the Tracking DWG are contributing actively to GEANT-based / full simulations,

Fast HQ simulations have started to consider vertexing beyond fixed-number smearing/assumptions to take into account event-topology by fitting vertices.

D<sup>0</sup> S/B and Efficiency

Matt Kelsey et al (Pavia WS).



- Reiterating nice improvement of  $D^{0}$  S/B with vertexing
  - Factor of 10(2) for high(low)  $p_T$
- Modest signal efficiency with "by-eye" cuts

## Ouarkonia and exotics





and/or muon ID