

# Task(s) of the Integration / Magnet WG

---

- **Become a central place where the detector concepts “materialize”**
- **Work in close contact with the other subgroups, DWGs in particular**
- It is *desirable* that the other WG conveners (or their representatives) attend the meetings of this group on a regular basis (and the Complementarity group - where the conceptual part of the detector models is discussed - too)
- **Participate in working out the input formats & interfaces ...**
- **... as well as in maintaining the detector model “database”**
  - ▶ Modular components (support a potential diversity of concepts) ...
  - ▶ ... but well-defined *releases* (facilitate the convergence at the end)
- **Provide the group’s “native” deliverables: the straw man solenoid magnet design & engineering models of the selected setups (now the work will proceed under the umbrella of the OPC effort)**

# Topical discussions / reviews?

---

- **Steered by Integration and Complementarity subgroup conveners**
- **Topics & priorities suggested by the community (examples follow)**
- **The outline**
  - ▶ Spend a fraction of time at every 12pm Wednesday meeting
  - ▶ Plan this part of the agenda well in advance
  - ▶ Invite experts and responsible people as the main contributors
  - ▶ Assume concise talks + a discussion + a summary (+ a few page memo?)
- **Options**
  - ▶ Routine sub-component progress “reviews”
  - ▶ “Inter-disciplinary” topics (with no single WG responsibility)
  - ▶ Generic topics, which may require a consensus community “decision”
  - ▶ Generic “issues”, which the community better be aware of (Q&A included)

A “3D view” of a particular problem, as seen from several perspectives

# Example: sub-component review

---

- **Silicon trackers:**
  - ▶ Current status of the geometry description
  - ▶ Status of tracking performance modeling
  - ▶ Complementarity options and how they fit a particular IR design
  - ▶ Technology status overview if appropriate
  - ▶ Associated physics studies
  - ▶ Interplay with the other subsystems and/or WGs (ToF, MPGDs, ...)
  - ▶ Timelines till the YR submission
  - ▶ Concrete requests to other WGs (e.g. software)
- **Solenoid modeling progress reports**

# Example: topics outside of a single WG scope

---

- **Electron ID**

- ▶ What is the interplay between tracker and EmCal performance?
- ▶ Detector compositions vs  $\eta$  required to meet the suppression factors
- ▶ Is microscopic modeling needed or fast smearing suffices?
- ▶ Do we have enough technology options for two complementary detectors?

- **Space allocations**

- ▶ How much space do we actually have for a forward RICH?..
- ▶ ... and / or for a forward HCal?
- ▶ How do we balance the space / performance of the two endcaps?
- ▶ What are the possible compromises?..
- ▶ ... also from the Complementarity point of view?

# Example: “have you thought about this?” topics

---

- **Crossing angle:**
  - ▶ Kinematics
  - ▶ High  $|\eta|$  acceptance asymmetry of the solenoid field
  - ▶ Fiducial volume cut close to the beam pipe
- **Time of Flight:**
  - ▶  $t_0$  counter needed?
  - ▶ *Combined* ToF detectors preferred (LGAD tracker, LAPPD mRICH, ...)?
  - ▶ Finite bunch length effects: are they different at  $\eta \sim 0$  and for the RPs?
- **Detector projectivity of a  $4\pi$  collider experiment**
  - ▶ Detector performance (mRICH, ...)
  - ▶ Construction limitations (calorimetry, ...)
  - ▶ Space limitations if “flat” and projective equipment is mixed in the endcaps

The actual topics and *priorities* should better be defined by the community!