



Pion parton distribution functions

2020-06-03 @ Workshop on Pion and Kaon Structure Functions at the EIC, CFNS - Stony Brook University, online

- Minghui Ding
- ECT* Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Italy
 - In collaboration with
- Khépani Raya, Daniele Binosi, Lei Chang, Jose Rodríguez-Quintero, Craig D. Roberts, and Sebastian M. Schmidt



The pion in QCD

Consequence of Standard Model:

- Lightest meson
- Nambu-Goldstone boson of spontaneously broken chiral symmetry
- Mediate the interaction between nucleons, Yukawa interaction

Parton model:

- sea quarks valence quarks : 1 up quark + 1 down antiquark gluons
- Infinite many body dynamic system of quarks and gluons



APS/Alan Stonebraker







Pion parton distribution function



Halzen and Martin (1984)

PDFs depend on the detailed dynamics of the pion, not *a priori* known and obtained from experiment.





Experiments: Valence distribution: $\bar{q}q \rightarrow \gamma^*$

Leading Neutron DIS π -induced Drell-Yan $\pi^- N \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$ $ep \rightarrow e'nX$



CERN: NA3 (1983), NA10 (1985), Omega (1980) ✓ FNAL: E615 (1989)

J.S.Conway et al., Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 92-122



MERA: ZEUS (2002), H1 (2010)

Sullivan process, EIC

H1 Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 68 (2010) 381-399



Experiments: Gluon and Sea distribution

Gluon distribution: *q̄q* → γg, gq → γq
Prompt photon production, π⁺p → γX



CERN NA24 (1987), WA70 (1988)

P.J. Sutton et al., Phys.Rev.D 45 (1992) 2349-2359

Sea distribution: momentum sum rule (MSR)

$\rightarrow \gamma q \qquad \bar{q}q, gg \rightarrow c\bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi$ $X \qquad \blacksquare J/\psi \text{ production, } \pi^+ p \rightarrow J/\psi X$



CERN: NA3 (1983)

J.F. Owens, Phys.Rev.D 30 (1984) 943





Experiments:

Group	Year	Set	Q_0^2	Fac.	Model	Data	N_f	$\Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}^{N_f=4}$
			(GeV^2)	Sch.				(MeV)
ABFKW	1989	NLO	2.00	$\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$	$v^{\pi} = \gamma X, \mathrm{DY}$ $s^{\pi} = \mathrm{DY}$	WA70,NA24 NA3	4	229
					$g^{\pi} = \gamma X, \text{MSR}$	WA70,NA24		
SMRS	1992	10%	4.00	$\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$	$v^{\pi} = \mathrm{DY}_{\pi}$	NA10, E615	4	190
		15% 20%			$s^{\pi} = DY$ $g^{\pi} = \gamma X, MSR$	NA3 WA70		
GRV	1992	LO NLO	$0.25 \\ 0.30$	$\frac{\mathrm{LO}}{\mathrm{MS}}$	$v^{\pi} = ABFKW$ $s^{\pi} = 0$	WA70,NA24	6	200
GRSc	1999	LO	0.26	LO	$g^{\pi} = MSR$ $v^{\pi} = DY, MSR$	NA10, E615	3	204
		NLO	0.40	$\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$	$s^{\pi} = (v^{\pi}/v^p) s^p$ $g^{\pi} = (v^{\pi}/v^p) g^p$	H1, ZEUS H1, ZEUS		299

Michael Klasen, J.Phys.G 28 (2002) 1091-1102

Valence distribution is mainly determined by DY Gluon distribution is constrained by γX and MSR

Leading Neutron DIS can also make contribution





Leading Neutron (LN) DIS



Global QCD Analysis: JAM Collaboration

DY + LN yields significantly reduced uncertainties on pion sea and gluon distributions at low x.

Barry, Sato, Melnitchouk, Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) no.15, 152001



✓ LN: HERA: ZEUS (2002), H1 (2010)

Sullivan process, EIC

A.C. Aguilar et a., Eur. Phys. J. A55 (2019) no.10, 190

S.-X. Qin, C. Chen, C. Mezrag and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. C 97, 015203 (2018)





Experiment: π -induced Drell-Yan process



J.S.Conway et al.. Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 92-122

Perturbative QCD: hadronic Q^2 , $x \rightarrow 1$.

 $\beta = 2$

E. L. Berger and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 940 (1979)

$$F_{\pi}^{\nu}(x) = A^{\nu}[x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta} + \gamma \frac{2x^2}{9m_{\mu\mu}^2}]$$

CERN NA3 (1983): $\alpha = 0.45 \pm 0.03, \beta = 1.17 \pm 0.02$

CERN NA10 (1985): $\alpha = 0.40 \pm 0.02, \beta = 1.17 \pm 0.03$

FNAL E615 (1989): $\alpha = 0.60 \pm 0.03, \beta = 1.26 \pm 0.04$

$$\gamma \frac{2x^2}{9m_{\mu\mu}^2}$$
: higher twist

Finite scale calculation or measurement

$$\beta = 2 + \delta, (\delta \gtrsim 0)$$



Soft-Gluon Resummation Reanalysis of E615 Data



Soft-Gluon Resummation and the Valence Parton Distribution Function of the Pion

Matthias Aicher, Andreas Schafer and Werner Vogelsang, PRL 105, 252003 (2010)

E615 (2010): $\alpha = 0.7 \pm 0.07$ $\beta = 2.03 \pm 0.06$ Evolve to Q = 4 GeV $\beta = 2.34$

Hecht et al.. Phys. Rev. C 63, 025213 (2001) DSE in 2001



Theoretical perspective of pion

Dyson-Schwinger Equations: a symmetry-preserving approach *

- Lightest pseudoscalar meson: γ_5 group
- Goldberger Treiman relation: $f_{\pi}E_{\pi}(k; P)$ massless pion $E_{\pi}(k; P = 0) \neq 0$
- Gell-Mann Oakes Renner relation: $f_{\pi}^2 M$

Axial-vector Ward-Green-Takahashi Identity

 \checkmark Pion pole contribution in $\Gamma_{5\mu}(k; P)$ and Γ_5

P. Maris, C. D. Roberts, and P. C. Tandy, Phys. Lett. B 420 (1998) 267-273

$$= 0) = B(k^2)$$

 \checkmark Nambu - Goldstone boson: chiral limit $m_{\mu} = 0$, dynamical chiral symmetry breaking $B(k^2) \neq 0$,

$$I_{\pi}^{2} = 2m_{u} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^{0}$$

$$P_{\mu}\Gamma_{5\mu}(k;P) = S^{-1}(k_{+})i\gamma_{5} + i\gamma_{5}S^{-1}(k_{-}) - 2im_{u}\Gamma_{5}(k;P)$$

$$_{5}(k;P)$$



Dyson-Schwinger equations: PDF Definition

Twist-2 valence quark PDF

 Forward virtual quarktarget scattering amplitude

$$q(x) = \int dk \delta(n \cdot k - xn \cdot P) Tr[i\gamma \cdot nG(k,$$

M.B. Hecht et al.. Phys. Rev. C 63 (2001) 025213
R. J. Holt and C. D. Roberts, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 2991-3044
T. Nguyen et al.. Phys. Rev. C 83 (2011) 062201 et al..

 $q(x) = \left| d\lambda e^{-ixP \cdot n\lambda} \langle \pi(P) \, | \, \bar{\psi}(\lambda n) \gamma \cdot n\psi(0) \, | \, \pi(P) \rangle \right.$



,*P*)]

"Handbag diagram"





Dyson-Schwinger equations: PDF Definition



Rainbow Ladder approximation

Failure of impulse approximation

$$q_{BC}^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) =$$

L. Chang, C. Mezrag, H. Moutarde, C. D. Roberts, J. Rodríguez-Quintero and P. Tandy, Phys. Lett. B 737 (2014) 23-29





Dyson-Schwinger equations: A & BC term



Pion is purely a bound-state of a dressed-quark and dressed-antiquark at the hadronic scale ζ_H , so $\langle x_{\pi}^1 \rangle_{\zeta_H} = 1/2$.

• $q_{BC}^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H)$ is necessary to keep $q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H) = q^{\pi}(1-x;\zeta_H)$ and then $\langle x_{\pi}^1 \rangle_{\zeta_H} = 1/2$.

Valence quark PDF Mellin moments

) +
$$q_{\mathrm{BC}}^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H)$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \, q_{BC}^{\pi}(x; \zeta_{H}) = 0 \quad \bullet \quad q_{BC}^{\pi}(x; \zeta_{H}) \text{ does not contribute to}$$

•
$$q_{\rm BC}^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H)$$
 does contribute to \langle

$$\langle x_{\pi}^{0} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}} = 1, \quad \langle x_{\pi}^{1} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}} = 1/2$$







Dyson-Schwinger equations: Ward Identity

- Vector Ward-Takahashi Identity il
 - Differential Ward identity P = 0

"pierced" pion Bethe-Salpeter amplitud

• **PDF A term**
$$q_A^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H) = N_c \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_n^x(k_\eta) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_\eta, -P) S(k_\eta) i \Gamma^n(k;x;\zeta_H) S(k_\eta) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_{\bar{\eta}}) = N_c \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_n^x(k_\eta) \left[\Gamma_{\pi}(k_\eta, -P) \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_{k_\eta} S(k_\eta) \right] \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, -P) S(k_\eta) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_\eta) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_{\bar{\eta}}) = N_c \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_n^x(k_\eta) \left[\Gamma_{\pi}(k_\eta, -P) \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_{k_\eta} S(k_\eta) \right] \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, -P) S(k_\eta) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_{\bar{\eta}}) = N_c \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_n^x(k_\eta) \left[\Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -P) \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_{k_\eta} S(k_{\eta}) \right] \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, -P) S(k_{\eta}) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_{\eta}) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_{\bar{\eta}}) = N_c \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_n^x(k_{\eta}) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -P) S(k_{\eta}) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -P) S(k_{\eta}, -P) S(k_{\eta}) \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -P) S(k_{\eta}) \Gamma_{\pi}(k$$

• PDF BC term $q_{BC}^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H) = N_c \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_n^x(k_\eta) \Gamma_{\pi}^n(k_\eta, -P;\zeta_H) S(k_\eta) I$

• Pion PDF $q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H) = q^{\pi}_A(x;\zeta_H) + q^{\pi}_{BC}(x;\zeta_H) =$

$$i P_{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu}(k; P) = S^{-1}(k + P/2) - S^{-1}(k - P/2)$$
$$i \Gamma^{n}(k; x; \zeta_{H}) = n \cdot \partial_{k_{\eta}} S^{-1}(k_{\eta})$$

de
$$\Gamma_{\pi}^{n}(k_{\eta}, -P; \zeta_{H}) = n \cdot \partial_{k_{\eta}}\Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -P; \zeta_{H})$$

$$\Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_{\bar{\eta}}) = N_c \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_n^x(k_{\eta}) \left[n \cdot \partial_{k_{\eta}} \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -P; \zeta_H) S(k_{\eta}) \right] \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) S(k_{\bar{\eta}})$$

$$N_{c} \operatorname{tr} \int_{dk} \delta_{n}^{x}(k_{\eta}) \, \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \partial_{k_{\eta}} \left[\Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -\boldsymbol{P}; \zeta_{H}) S(k_{\eta}) \right] \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, \boldsymbol{P}) \, S(k_{\bar{\eta}})$$

S(k) quark propagator $\Gamma(k, P)$ Bethe-Salpeter amplitude





Computing inputs: interaction kernel

• $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_H)$ is completely determined once an interaction kernel is specified

$$K_{\alpha_1 \alpha'_1, \alpha_2 \alpha'_2} = G_{\mu\nu}(k) [i\gamma_{\mu}]_{\alpha_1 \alpha'_1} [i\gamma_{\nu}]_{\alpha_2 \alpha'_2}, \quad G_{\mu\nu}(k) = \tilde{G}(k^2) T_{\mu\nu}(k)$$

$$\frac{1}{Z_2^2}\tilde{G}(s) = \frac{8\pi^2 D}{\omega^4} e^{-s/\omega^2} + \frac{8\pi^2 \gamma_m \mathcal{F}(s)}{\ln\left[\tau + (1 + s/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2)^2\right]}, \quad k^2 T_{\mu\nu}(k) = k^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu$$

Mass-independent renormalisation scheme 0

Renormalisation scale ζ

Meson's Poincare covariant wave function must evolve with ζ

 $I = Z_2 \& Z_4$ defined in chiral limit and invariant for any current quark mass

- A scale where dressed quasiparticles are the correct degrees-of-freedom





Computing inputs: Hadronic scale ζ_H

Process-independent effective charge $\alpha_{\rm PI}(k^2)$ 0

Saturates in the infrared: $\alpha_{\rm PI}(0)/\pi \approx 1$

Emergence of a nonzero gluon mass-scale

$$\alpha_{PI}(k) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln[(m_{\alpha}^2 + k^2)/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2]}$$

• $m_{\alpha} = 0.30 \, GeV \gtrsim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ is an nonperturbative scale ensures modes with $k^2 \lesssim m_{\alpha}^2$ are screened from interactions.

- Renormalisation scale $\zeta_H = m_{\alpha}$ \bigcirc
- m_{α} therefore serves to define the natural boundary between soft and hard physics



Daniele Binosi et al., Phys. Rev. D 96, 054026 (2017)



Solid Green = original

Dashed **Blue** = simplified expression



Mellin moments

• $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_H)$ is reconstructed from Mellin moments

$$\langle x^{m} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} = \int_{0}^{1} dx \, x^{m} q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_{H}) = \frac{N_{c}}{n \cdot P} tr \int_{dk} \left[\frac{n \cdot k_{\eta}}{n \cdot P} \right]^{m} \Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\bar{\eta}}, P) \, S(k_{\bar{\eta}}) \, n \cdot \partial_{k_{\eta}} \left[\Gamma_{\pi}(k_{\eta}, -P) S(k_{\eta}) \right]$$
d moments are not independent
$$\langle x \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \langle x^{0} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} ,$$
hlessinger point method (SPM)
$$\langle x^{3} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} = -\frac{1}{4} \langle x^{0} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} + \frac{3}{2} \langle x^{2} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} ,$$
ht can compute m=0~5
$$\text{SPM Extrapolate m=6~10} \quad \langle x^{5} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \langle x^{0} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} - \frac{5}{2} \langle x^{2} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} + \frac{5}{2} \langle x^{4} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} ,$$
hsinger and C. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 1173 (1966)
$$x^{3} \langle x^{7} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} = -\frac{17}{8} \langle x^{0} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} + \frac{7}{2} \langle x^{6} \rangle_{\zeta_{H}}^{\pi} .$$

Od

Sch

Direc

L. Schles

L. Schless



Valence quark PDF at ζ_H

* $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_H)$ is reconstructed from Mellin moments

 $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_H) = 213.32 x^2 (1-x)^2 [1-2.9]$





$$9342\sqrt{x(1-x)} + 2.2911x(1-x)$$
]

Solid Black = $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_H)$

Dashed Blue = scale free distribution

$$q_{\rm sf}(x) \approx 30 \, x^2 (1-x)^2$$

- **Broad function**
- Dynamical chiral symmetry breaking
- PDA, form factors





Evolution of pion PDFs

Existing Lattice QCD calculations of low-order moments and phenomenological fits to pion parton distributions are typically quoted at $\zeta_2 = 2$ GeV.

* Experiment take the scale $\zeta_5 = 5.2$ GeV

- - should serve as a good approximation.
- Results report with $\zeta \rightarrow (1 \pm 0.1)\zeta$





$$\zeta_H = m_\alpha \longrightarrow \zeta_5 = 5.2 \text{ GeV}$$

Process-independent running coupling $\alpha_{\rm PI}(\zeta_H)/(2\pi) = 0.20$, $[\alpha_{\rm PI}(\zeta_H)/(2\pi)]^2 = 0.04$

Leading order DGLAP (Dokshitzer–Gribov–Lipatov–Altarelli–Parisi) equation

see talks by Khépani and Pepe on Thursday





Pion PDFs at $\zeta_2 = 2$ GeV



			is the area of		
	$n_{q^{\pi}}$	lpha	eta	ρ	γ
	9.83	-0.080	2.29	-1.27	0.511
ζ_2	8.31	-0.127	2.37	-1.19	0.469
	7.01	-0.162	2.47	-1.12	0.453

 $\beta(\zeta_2) = 2.38(9)$

Solid (blue) curve embedded in shaded band $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_2)$

$$y^{\pi}(x) = n_{q^{\pi}} x^{\alpha} (1-x)^{\beta} [1+\rho x^{\alpha/4} (1-x)^{\beta/4} + \gamma x^{\alpha/2} (1-x)^{\beta/4}]$$

- Long-dashed (black), ζ_2 result from DSE in 2001 Hecht et al., Phys. Rev. C 63, 025213 (2001)
- Dashed (green), gluon; Dot-dashed (red), sea-quark.

$$xp^{\pi}(x;\zeta) = A x^{\alpha} (1-x)^{\beta}$$

- First Moment $\langle x \rangle_g^{\pi} = 0.41(2), \ \langle x \rangle_{sea}^{\pi} = 0.11(2).$
- Agree with P.C. Barry et al. (JAM Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 152001 (2018)









Valence quark PDF at $\zeta_2 = 2$ GeV: first moment $\langle x^1 \rangle_{\mu}^{\pi}$

- Low-order moments in comparison with Lattice QCD simulations *
 - Both continuum and IQCD results agree

$\langle 2x \rangle_a^{\pi} = 0.48(3)$

- Roughly one-half of the light front momentum fraction is carried by the valence quarks

$$\langle 2x \rangle_q^{\pi} = 0.48(1), \zeta = 2.24 \text{ GeV}$$

ζ_2	$\langle x \rangle_u^{\pi}$	$\langle x^2 \rangle_u^\pi$	$\langle x^3 \rangle_u^{\pi}$
Ref. [33]	0.24(2)	0.09(3)	0.053(15)
Ref. [34]	0.27(1)	0.13(1)	0.074(10)
Ref. [35]	0.21(1)	0.16(3)	
average	0.24(2)	0.13(4)	0.064(18)
Herein	0.24(2)	0.098(10)	0.049(07)

W. Detmold et al., Phys. Rev. D 68, 034025 (2003) M. Oehm et al., Phys. Rev. D 99, 014508 (2019)

D. Brömmel et al. (QCDSF-UKQCD Collaboration), PoS LAT2007, 140 (2007)

Global QCD Analysis: Phenomenological analysis π -nucleus Drell-Yan and leading neutron DIS data.

P.C. Barry et al., JAM Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 152001 (2018)







Pion PDFs at $\zeta_5 = 5.2$ GeV



 $\beta(\zeta_5) = 2.66(12)$

Agree with Lattice QCD

 $\beta_{\rm lQCD}(\zeta_5) = 2.45(58)$

Solid (blue), $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_5)$)			
$q^{\pi}(x) = n_{q^{\pi}} x^{\alpha} (1 - x)$	$(1+\rho x^{o})^{\beta}$	$x^{4}(1-x)^{4}$	$\beta^{\beta/4} + \gamma x^{\alpha/2}$	$(1-x)^{\beta/2}$
ong-dashed (black), DSE i	n 2001 🕞	lecht et al	Phys. Rev. C 6	63, 025213 (2
ot-dot-dashed (grey), La	ttice QCD	Raza Sab 99, 07450	bir Sufian et al 7 (2019)	Phys. Rev
Data (purple) from J.S	.Conway et a	I Phys. Re	v. D 39 (1989) 92-122
Rescaled analysis Mat	tthias Aicher et	al PRL 105	5, 252003 (201	0)
Low-order moments in comparison with Lattice QCD	ζ_5 Ref. [31] Herein	$\langle x angle_{u}^{\pi} \ 0.17(1) \ 0.21(2)$	$\langle x^2 \rangle_u^{\pi}$ 0.060(9) 0.076(9)	$\langle x^3 \rangle_u^{\pi}$ 0.028(7) 0.036(5)

Dashed (green), gluon; Dot-dashed (red), sea-quark.

First Moment $\langle x \rangle_g^{\pi} = 0.45(1), \langle x \rangle_{\text{sea}}^{\pi} = 0.14(2).$





Future Facilities & experiments on pion PDFs

COMPASS++/AMBER:

- The Compass++/Amber (proto-) collaboration proposes to establish a "New QCD facility at the M2 beam line of the CERN SPS" and perform in phase-1, i.e. starting in the year 2022, three experiments that will use either muons or hadrons delivered by the existing M2 beam line:
 - * (1) Proton charge radius measurement using muon-proton elastic scattering
 - * (2) Drell-Yan and J/Psi production
 experiments using the conventional M2 hadron beam
 - * (3) Measurement of proton-induced antiproton production cross sections for dark matter searches.

Letter of Intent: A New QCD facility at the M2 beam line of the CERN SPS (COMPASS++/AMBER), arXiv:1808.00848 [hep-ex]

Program	Physics Goals	Beam Energy [GeV]	Beam Intensity [s ⁻¹]	Trigger Rate [kHz]	Beam Type	Target	Earliest start time, duration	Hardware additions
muon-proton elastic scattering	Precision proton-radius measurement	100	$4 \cdot 10^6$	100	μ^{\pm}	high- pressure H2	2022 1 year	active TPC, SciFi trigger, silicon veto,
Hard exclusive reactions	GPD E	160	$2 \cdot 10^7$	10	μ^{\pm}	NH_3^\uparrow	2022 2 years	recoil silicon, modified polarised target magnet
Input for Dark Matter Search	\overline{p} production cross section	20-280	$5 \cdot 10^5$	25	р	LH2, LHe	2022 1 month	liquid helium target
\overline{p} -induced	Heavy quark exotics	12, 20	$5 \cdot 10^7$	25	\overline{p}	LH2	2022 2 years	target spectrometer: tracking, calorimetry
Drell-Yan	Pion PDFs	190	$7 \cdot 10^7$	25	π^{\pm}	C/W	2022 1-2 years	
Drell-Yan			1.08	25.50			2026	"
(RF)	Kaon PDFs & Nucleon TMDs	~100	105	25-50	<i>K</i> ⁻ , <i>p</i>	NH ₃ , C/W	2026 2-3 years	vertex detector
(RF) Primakoff (RF)	Kaon PDFs & Nucleon TMDs Kaon polarisa- bility & pion life time	~100	10° $5 \cdot 10^{\circ}$	> 10	К ⁻ , р К ⁻	NH ¹ ₃ , C/W Ni	2026 2-3 years non-exclusive 2026 1 year	vertex detector
(RF) Primakoff (RF) Prompt Photons (RF)	Kaon PDFs & Nucleon TMDs Kaon polarisa- bility & pion life time Meson gluon PDFs	~ 100 ~ 100 ≥ 100	10° $5 \cdot 10^{6}$ $5 \cdot 10^{6}$	> 10 10-100	K^-, p K^- K^{\pm} π^{\pm}	NH ¹ ₃ , C/W Ni LH2, Ni	2026 2-3 years non-exclusive 2026 1 year non-exclusive 2026 1-2 years	hodoscope
(RF) Primakoff (RF) Prompt Photons (RF) <i>K</i> -induced Spectroscopy (RF)	Kaon PDFs & Nucleon TMDs Kaon polarisa- bility & pion life time Meson gluon PDFs High-precision strange-meson spectrum	~ 100 ~ 100 ≥ 100 50-100	10^{6} $5 \cdot 10^{6}$ $5 \cdot 10^{6}$ $5 \cdot 10^{6}$	> 10 10-100 25	K^-, p K^- K^\pm π^\pm K^-	NH ¹ ₃ , C/W Ni LH2, Ni LH2	2026 2-3 years non-exclusive 2026 1 year non-exclusive 2026 1-2 years 2026 1 year	hodoscope recoil TOF, forward PID

Table 2: Requirements for future programmes at the M2 beam line after 2021. Muon beams are in blue, conventional hadron beams in green, and RF-separated hadron beams in red.





Future Facilities & experiments on pion PDFs

The Electron Ion Collider (EIC):

A machine for delving deeper than ever before into the building blocks of matter



- Scientific goals:
 - Precision 3D imaging of protons and nuclei
 - ***** Search for saturation: color glass condensate Solve the proton spin
 - puzzle

Electron Ion Collider: The Next QCD Frontier: Understanding the glue that binds us all, EIC white paper, Eur.Phys.J.A 52 (2016) 9, 268



Sullivan process

A.C. Aguilar et a., Eur. Phys. J. A55 (2019) no.10, 190

Pion and Kaon Structure Functions







24

Summary and outlook

Summary

- Using a continuum approach, presented a symmetry-preserving calculation of the pion's PDF.

 - running coupling with QCD's process-independent effective charge.

 - $\beta(\zeta_5) = 2.66(12)$, and first moment $\langle 2x \rangle_a^{\pi} = 0.42(4)$.

Outlook Kaon PDFs.

• A novel term $q_{BC}^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H)$ is necessary to keep $q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_H) = q^{\pi}(1-x;\zeta_H)$ and then $\langle x_{\pi}^1 \rangle = 1/2$;

• $\zeta_H = 0.30 \,\text{GeV}$ is the hadronic scale, and is determined by connecting the one-loop

• $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_H)$ is a broad function and is a consequence of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.

• Valence quark $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_2)$ large x behaviour $\beta(\zeta_2) = 2.38(9)$, and first moment $\langle 2x \rangle_q^{\pi} = 0.48(3)$. Valence quark $q^{\pi}(x; \zeta_5)$ agrees with rescaled E615 data and IQCD prediction, large x behaviour

• Gluon and sea quark PDFs ζ_2 , $\langle x \rangle_g^{\pi} = 0.41(2)$, $\langle x \rangle_{\text{sea}}^{\pi} = 0.11(2)$, ζ_5 , $\langle x \rangle_g^{\pi} = 0.45(1)$, $\langle x \rangle_{\text{sea}}^{\pi} = 0.14(2)$.

Nucleon PDFs.

Thank you



