Update on Lambda Simulation

Abhay Deshpande^{1,2}, Anselm Vossen³, Qinghua Xu⁴, Jinlong Zhang^{1,2}

¹Stony Brook University, ²Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science ³Duke University ⁴Shandong University

EIC YR SIDIS @ May 4, 2020

Lambda Simulation

PythiaeRHIC (pythia6) + eicsmear at eic nodes @RCF



Lambda/Anti-Lambda selection

- Truth information based
- decay to proton and pion only (~63%)
- Eta of proton/pion: (-3.5, 3.5)
- p_T of proton/pion: >0.3 GeV
- Feynman-x: > 0 *xF = 2 * pz / W in the boson-hadron CM frame
- z_h : > 0.1 * $z = (P.p_h)/(P.q)$

	# of generated	Luminosity of generated N_evt/x-section (fb-1)	Scale factor to 10 fb-1	# of lambda/Anti-Lambda generated (after all cuts)	# of lambda/Anti- Lambda scaled
5x41	1M	1.55E-03	6.45E+03	594/733	3.83M/4.73M
5x100	1M	2.19E-03	4.57E+03	912/763	4.18M/3.49M
10x100	1M	2.58E-03	3.88E+03	968/1088	3.76M/4.22M
18x275	1M	3.28E-03	3.05E+03	1382/1424	4.22M/4.34M

Lambda Kinematics



Feynman x





Acceptance requirement

- Upper limit -> proton/pion identification
- Lower limit -> magnet filed
- Mass -> resolution







Final p_T limits



- p_T of pion and proton > 0.3 GeV
- Red is independent 0.3 GeV cut
- Blue filled is combined eta and pT cut 6







Lambda origin 18x275

This sample is from 1M min-bias DIS events, see earlier slides.

Lambda	1						Anti-Lam	bda					
		all lambda		after	after cuts				all lamb	da-bar	after cuts		
particle name	pdg id	# of lambda	fraction	# of lambda	fration			pdg id	# of lambda	fraction	# of lambda	fration	
diquark (ud)0	2101	43615	26.34%	27	1.95%		anti-simga0	-3212	16966	22.56%	284	19.94%	
sigma0	3212	25887	15.63%	325	23.52%		u	2	7893	10.50%	178	12.50%	
sigma*+	3224	19118	11.55%	61	4.41%		diquark (ud)0	2101	6517	8.67%	35	2.46%	
sigma*0	3214	15017	9.07%	45	3.26%		anti-cascade0	-3322	5587	7.43%	91	6.39%	
proton	2212	12678	7.66%	0	0.00%		cascade-	-3312	5431	7.22%	94	6.60%	
U	2	10325	6.24%	197	14.25%		sbar	-3	4321	5.75%	241	16.92%	
lambda_c+	4122	6308	3.81%	77	5.57%		ubar	-2	4062	5.40%	107	7.51%	
cascade0	3322	5431	3.28%	95	6.87%		anti-sigma*0	-3214	3621	4.82%	68	4.78%	
cascade+	3312	5210	3.15%	78	5.64%		sigma*-	-3224	3607	4.80%	58	4.07%	
S	3	4556	2.75%	207	14.98%		sigma*+	-3114	3191	4.24%	43	3.02%	
d	1	3872	2.34%	57	4.12%		d	1	2985	3.97%	44	3.09%	
ubar	-2	3066	1.85%	72	5.21%		diquark (uu)1	2203	2920	3.88%	18	1.26%	
sigma*-	3114	3029	1.83%	42	3.04%		diquark (ud)1	2103	2210	2.94%	8	0.56%	
diquark (uu)1	2203	1714	1.04%	2	0.14%		cbar	-4	1419	1.89%	14	0.98%	
С	4	1361	0.82%	13	0.94%		dbar	-1	1375	1.83%	38	2.67%	
diquark (ud)1	2103	1235	0.75%	13	0.94%		С	4	1167	1.55%	12	0.84%	
cbar	-4	1160	0.70%	24	1.74%		lambda_c-	-4122	1101	1.46%	63	4.42%	
dbar	-1	1078	0.65%	26	1.88%		S	3	682	0.91%	23	1.62%	
sbar	-3	749	0.45%	17	1.23%		omega+	-3334	61	0.08%	1	0.07%	
cascade_c0	4132	72	0.04%	3	0.22%		anti-cascade_c0	-4132	50	0.07%	4	0.28%	
omega-	3334	54	0.03%	1	0.07%		bbar	-5	14	0.02%	0	0.00%	
cascade_c+	4232	17	0.01%	0	0.00%		cascade_c-	-4232	12	0.02%	0	0.00%	
b	5	11	0.01%	0	0.00%		b	5	4	0.01%	0	0.00%	
bbar	-5	11	0.01%	0	0.00%		j/psi(1s)	443	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	
B0	511	4	0.00%	0	0.00%		lambda_b0	-5122	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	
lmabda_b0	5122	2	0.00%	0	0.00%		B+	521	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	
B+	521	1	0.00%	0	0.00%				75200	00/	1424		
lambda_b0	-5122	1	0.00%	0	0.00%		Cuts:			~2%			
j/psi(1s)	443	1	0.00%	0	0.00%			a la la c					
B	-521	1	0.00%	0	0.00%		p+pi decay o	JUIA					
		165584		1382			p/pi eta (-3.5	5, 3.5) 8	&& p/pi pt	t >0.3 G	ieV		
			~1%			7	&& xF > 0 &	& z > 0	.1				

Lambda origin 5x41

This sample is from 1M min-bias DIS events, see earlier slides.

		all lambda		after cut				all lambdabar		after cuts	
particle name	pdg id	# of lambda	fraction	# of lambda	fration	particle name	pdg id	# of anti- lambda	fractio n	# of anti- lambda	fration
diquark (ud)0	2101	46991	66.72%	65	8.63%	sigma*-	-3224	1379	4.20%	8	1.35%
simga0	3212	15305	21.73%	191	25.37%	anti-simga0	-3212	7501	22.83%	135	22.73%
sigma*+	3224	13933	19.78%	34	4.52%	diquark (ud)0	2101	4441	13.52%	66	11.11%
sigma*0	3214	12149	17.25%	40	5.31%	u	2	3551	10.81%	97	16.33%
proton	2212	8924	12.67%	0	0.00%	sbar	-3	2271	6.91%	103	17.34%
u	2	4911	6.97%	142	18.86%	anti-cascade0	-3322	2222	6.76%	25	4.21%
S	3	2934	4.17%	119	15.80%	cascade-	-3312	2114	6.43%	31	5.22%
lambda_c+	4122	2452	3.48%	17	2.26%	ubar	-2	1532	4.66%	38	6.40%
cascade0	3322	2002	2.84%	29	3.85%	diquark (uu)1	2203	1409	4.29%	13	2.19%
cascade+	3312	1794	2.55%	16	2.12%	diquark (ud)1	2103	1382	4.21%	15	2.53%
d	1	1626	2.31%	28	3.72%	sigma*-	-3214	1318	4.01%	9	1.52%
ubar	-2	1105	1.57%	30	3.98%	sigma*+	-3114	1209	3.68%	12	2.02%
sigma*-	3114	1008	1.43%	10	1.33%	d	1	1147	3.49%	18	3.03%
diquark (uu)1	2203	669	0.95%	9	1.20%	dbar	-1	564	1.72%	12	2.02%
diquark (ud)1	2103	654	0.93%	12	1.59%	lambda_c-	-4122	267	0.81%	4	0.67%
dbar	-1	433	0.61%	6	0.80%	S	3	178	0.54%	4	0.67%
sbar	-3	192	0.27%	3	0.40%	cbar	-4	170	0.52%	1	0.17%
С	4	163	0.23%	1	0.13%	C	4	159	0.48%	2	0.34%
cbar	-4	146	0.21%	0	0.00%	omega+	-3334	22	0.07%	0	0.00%
omega-	3334	18	0.03%	1	0.13%	anti-cascade_c0	-4132	18	0.05%	1	0.17%
cascade_c0	4132	9	0.01%	0	0.00%	cascade_c-	-4232	2	0.01%	0	0.00%
cascade_c+	4232	4	0.01%	0	0.00%	Total #		32856	00/	594	
lmabda_b0	5122	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	Cuts:			~2%		
Total #		70432	~1%	753		p+pi dec	cay only	У			

x_B and z_h vs eta and p_T





z vs. Λ p_(lab)

10

10⁻¹

4

 $\Lambda p_{\tau}(lab)$



Transverse momentum in HCM and Lab frames



27<u>5 GeV</u> 18 GeV

Pion from Lambda

Proton from Lambda

Gamma from Sigma0



Angle for theta, radius for momentum/energy



10<u>0 GeV</u> 10 GeV







Angle for theta, radius for momentum



100 GeV 5 GeV



Angle for theta, radius for momentum



41 GeV <u>5 Ge</u>V



Angle for theta, radius for momentum

41 GeV 5 GeV





Smearing

-3.53.0 -3.02.5			σ _p /p ~ 0.1%×p+2.0%
-2.52.0 -2.01.5 -1.51.0		Backwards Detectors	σ _p /p ~ 0.05%×p+1.0%
-1.00.5 -0.5 - 0.0 0.0 - 0.5 0.5 - 1.0	Central Detector	Barrel	σ _p /p ~ 0.05%×p+0.5%
1.0 - 1.5 1.5 - 2.0 2.0 - 2.5		Forward Detectors	$\sigma_p/p \sim 0.05\% \times p+1.0\%$
2.5 - 3.0 3.0 - 3.5			σ _p /p ~ 0.1%×p+2.0%

EIC_HANDBOOK_v1.2.pdf

PID smearing matrix: temporarily using HERMES version

// Note: Smear::kCharged checks pdg charge, so includes muons (good)

// eta = -3.5 -- -2.5

// sigma_p/p ~ 0.1% p+2.0%
Smear::Acceptance::Zone TrackBack1Zone(ThetaFromEta (-2.5),ThetaFromEta (-3.5));
Smear::Device TrackBack1P(Smear::kP, "sqrt(pow (0.001*P*P, 2) + pow (0.02*P, 2))");
TrackBack1P.Accept.AddZone(TrackBack1Zone);
TrackBack1P.Accept.SetCharge(Smear::kCharged);
// TrackBack1P.Accept.SetGenre(Smear::kHadronic);
det.AddDevice(TrackBack1P);

// eta = -2.5 -- -1

// sigma_p/p ~ 0.05% p+1.0%

Smear::Acceptance::Zone TrackBack2Zone(ThetaFromEta (-1),ThetaFromEta (-2.5)); Smear::Device TrackBack2P(Smear::kP, "sqrt(pow (0.0005*P*P, 2) + pow (0.01*P, 2))"); TrackBack2P.Accept.AddZone(TrackBack2Zone); TrackBack2P.Accept.SetCharge(Smear::kCharged); // TrackBack2P.Accept.SetGenre(Smear::kHadronic); det.AddDevice(TrackBack2P);

// eta = -1 -- +1

// sigma_p/p ~ 0.05% p+0.5%

Smear::Acceptance::Zone TrackBarrelZone(ThetaFromEta (1),ThetaFromEta (-1)); Smear::Device TrackBarrelP(Smear::kP, "sqrt(pow (0.0005*P*P, 2) + pow (0.005*P, 2))"); TrackBarrelP.Accept.AddZone(TrackBarrelZone); TrackBarrelP.Accept.SetCharge(Smear::kCharged); // TrackBarrelP.Accept.SetGenre(Smear::kHadronic); det.AddDevice(TrackBarrelP);

// eta = 1 -- 2.5

```
// sigma_p/p ~ 0.05% p+1.0%
Smear::Acceptance::Zone TrackFwd2Zone(ThetaFromEta ( 2.5 ),ThetaFromEta ( 1 ));
Smear::Device TrackFwd2P(Smear::kP, "sqrt( pow ( 0.0005*P*P, 2) + pow ( 0.01*P, 2) )");
TrackFwd2P.Accept.AddZone(TrackFwd2Zone);
TrackFwd2P.Accept.SetCharge(Smear::kCharged);
// TrackFwd2P.Accept.SetGenre(Smear::kHadronic);
```

det.AddDevice(TrackFwd2P);

// eta = 2.5 -- 3.5

```
// sigma_p/p ~ 0.1% p+2.0%
```

Smear::Acceptance::Zone TrackFwdlZone(ThetaFromEta (3.5),ThetaFromEta (2.5)); Smear::Device TrackFwdlP(Smear::kP, "sqrt(pow (0.001*P*P, 2) + pow (0.02*P, 2))"); TrackFwdlP.Accept.AddZone(TrackFwdlZone); TrackFwdlP.Accept.SetCharge(Smear::kCharged); // TrackFwdlP.Accept.SetGenre(Smear::kHadronic); det.AddDevice(TrackFwdlP);

Smearing









Lambda mass vs eta



Smearing photon E for Sigma0

- In addition to the tracking smearing (handbook)
- Handbook setup push mass to larger side
- Lambda and sigma peak start merging at 3%/√E







Angle for theta, radius for open angle between lambda and photon

What we learned so far

- x-range sensitive to eta acceptance
- After eta and x_F cuts, beam remnant contribution is small
- Pion p_T lower limits determine the statistics
- Non-negligible feed-down contribution from sigma0, requiring both tracking and low energy (~1 GeV) photon energy resolutions.

Next Step

- Improve mass resolution studies
- Projection on FF and lambda spin transfer; model input needed

Backup

Lambda origin

			12 - 5			
===	====					
	1	21	11	0	3	4
	2	21	2212	0	5	0
	3	21	11	1	ø	0
	4	21	22	1	Ø	0
	5	21	2212	2	ø	0
	6	21	22	4	Ø	0
	7	21	2	5 🛎	0	0
	8	21	22	6	0	0
	9	21	2	7	0	0
	10	21	2	9	0	0
	11	1	11	3	0	0
	12	12	2	10	15	16
	13	11	2101	5	15	16
	14	11	92	12	15	16
	15	11	323	12	17	18
	16	11	3122	13	19	20
'	17	1	321	15	0	0
	18	11	111	15	21	22
	19	1	2112	16	0	0
	20	11	111	16	23	24
	21	1	22	18	0	0
	22	1	22	18	0	0
	23	1	22	20	0	0
	24	1	22	20	0	0
===	====		Event fin:	ished =====	=======	==

pda ID

index status

Parent index Children index



String and two ends share children particles

children particles have single parent



