# Probing gluon spin by polarized pp collisions

Zhongling Ji

Stony Brook University

May 3, 2020

## Motivation

▶ Proton spin puzzle.



▶ Direct photon: the "golden" channel.





## PHENIX detector



## Direct photon signal extraction

Source of direct photon:

- ▶ Compton scattering:  $g + q \rightarrow \gamma + q$ .
- Annihilation:  $q + \bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma + g$ .
- Parton fragmentation to photon.
- Quark bremsstrahlung.

Source of direct photon background:

• Decay photons from hadrons  $(\pi^0, \eta, \omega, \eta')$ .

Yield of direct photon:

• 
$$N_{dir} = N_{incl} - (1+A)(1+R)N_{\pi^0}$$
.

- R:  $\pi^0$  one photon missing ratio.
- A: Other hadrons' to  $\pi^0$ 's photon ratio.



## Isolation cut



- To make apple with apple comparison, measured cross section should transform to what is calculated in theory.
- JETPHOX gives isolated direct photon cross section at NLO. But the isolation cone does not include the detector acceptance.
- Use Pythia to match the measured and calculated cross section.

# List of systematic uncertainties for direct photon cross section

- Global energy scale, geometry and energy non-linearity.
- ▶ Pi0 yield extraction.
- Shower merging.
- ► Geometrical acceptance.
- Photon conversion.
- ERT trigger efficiency and normalization.
- MB trigger bias.
- ► Pileup effect.

# Global energy scale, geometry and energy non-linearity

Basic idea:

- ► These effects influence cross section through acceptance.
- Acceptance is calculated by FastMC.
- Acceptance is reconstructed yield over truth yield.
- These effects influence the reconstructed yield, by tuning reconstruction parameters in FastMC.

## Tuning of reconstruction parameters

#### Global energy scale:

Gamma\_En(double px, double py, double pz, double& eout, int& itw, double& ximp, double& yimp, double& zimp) eout \*= 0.993;

### Geometric misalignment:

GetImpactSectorTower(double px, double py, double pz, int& sec, int& iz, int& iy, double& zz, double& yy, double& phi0, double& ximp, double& yimp, double& zimp ) // Systematics in position measurements ysec \*= 1.01; zsec \*= 1.01;

### Energy non-linearity:

 $\label{eq:Gamma_En} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Gamma_En}(\mbox{double px},\mbox{ double py},\mbox{ double pz},\mbox{ double& eout, int& itw, } \\ \mbox{double& ximp, double& yimp, double& zimp)} \\ \mbox{if}(\mbox{sec}{>}5)\mbox{ et1 } *=\mbox{pow}(\mbox{et1}/2,+2./120.+2./300.);\ //\ \mbox{Add'I attenuation for PbGI} \\ \mbox{else et1 } *=\mbox{pow}(\mbox{et1},-2./800.+2./300.);\ //\ \mbox{Add'I attenuation for PbSc} \end{array}$ 

# $Systematic \ uncertainties \ from \ FastMC$



Single photon simulation.

Single pi0 simulation.

## All systematic uncertainties for cross section



## Isolated over inclusive ratio

Isolated/Inclusive ratio



From this analysis. The NLO calculations are for direct photons.