







STAR Plans for the BES-II and Beyond

RHIC-BES Theory and Experiment Online Seminar Series Helen Caines - Yale

BES first proposed to PAC 2006 STAR BES campaign started in 2010 Extra point at 15 GeV requested in 2012 BES-II officially requested in 2014 BES-II starts 2019(18)

20 Years of RHIC and STAR



Over 20 years STAR has installed major upgrades to improve DAQ, PID, vertexing, ...

Pioneered using new technologies: MRPC, MAPS, GEM and siPM.

Estimate 35M(initial +75M(upgrades)\$. **KHIC** energies, species combinations and luminosities (Run-1 to 19) p↑+p1 Species combination p↑+Al p↑+Au BES-II d+Au 100 h+Au Cu+Cu Cu+Au A cruze store 0.1 Zr+Zr Ru+Ru Au+Au U+U 0.01 9 12 15 20 23 27 39 54 56 62 130 193 200 410 500 510 8

Center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ [GeV] (scale not linear)

DOE+(in-kind) Detector primary functions year TPC+Trigger $|\eta| < 1$ Tracking 1999-Barrel EMC |n| < 1 jets/ $\gamma/\pi^0/e$ 2004-FTPC forward tracking (Germany) 2002-2012 L3 Online Display (Germany) 2000-2012 SVT/SSD V0/charm (France) 2004-2007 PMD forward photons (India) 2003-2011 1 < n < 2 jets/ π^{0}/e EEMC (NSF) 2005-Detector primary functions DOE+(in-kind) vear TPC+Trigger 1999- $|\eta| < 1$ Tracking Barrel EMC $|\eta| < 1$ jets/ $\gamma/\pi^0/e$ 2004-FTPC forward tracking 2002-(Germany) L3 Online Display (Germany) 2000-SVT/SSD V0/charm 2004-(France) PMD forward photons (India) 2003-EEMC $1 < \eta < 2$ jets/ π^0/e (NSF) 2005-Roman Pots diffractive 2009-TOF PID 2009-(China) FMS/Preshower $2.5 < \eta < 4.2$ (Russia) 2008-2008-DAQ1000 x10 DAQ rate **Online Tracking** HLT 2012-(China/Germany) FGT 2012- $1 < \eta < 2 W^{\pm}$ TPC calibration 2012 CMT 4-Most recent: iTPC, eTOF and EPD 4-Still evolving today: 8-7 Extending forward with tracking 9-9and calorimetry 21-FIS $2.5 < \eta < 4$ Tracking (NCKU/SDU) 2021-

The Case for the BES-II



STAR



The BES-II Upgrades





All 3 detectors fully installed prior to start of Run-19

iTPC: Enhanced Acceptance



Successfully integrated into data-taking since day 1 of Run-19

Projected detector performance criteria met

Demonstrated improvement:

Increased pseudorapidity coverage



Helen Caines - BES Seminar Series - September 29 2020

Improved dE/dx resolution

Cosmic Commissioning of iTPC





EPD: Enhanced Event Plane Resolution

18000

17000

All tiles operational for Run-18 and Run-19 : $2.1 < |\eta| < 5.1$

BES-II: Main trigger detector Greater acceptance than VPD or ZDC Better timing resolution than BBC



eToF - Joint STAR-CBM Initiative





BES-II: Critical Fluctuations



Current data: Suggestive of non-trivial √s dependence of net proton cumulant ratios

iTPC:

Increase Δy_p acceptance $\Delta y_p > \Delta y$ correlation

EPD:

Improved centrality selection Use all TPC for measurement



BES-II: Quark Elliptic Flow



11



Precision measurement of the ϕ (and other) flow

STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 62301

BES-II: Coalescence of "produced"

particles

Assumptions:

- v₁ is developed in prehadronic stage
- Hadrons are formed via coalescence: $(v_n)_{hadron} = \Sigma(v_n)_{constituent quarks}$
- $(v_1)_{\bar{u}} = (v_1)_{\bar{d}}$ and $(v_1)_{s} = (v_1)_{\bar{s}}$





BES-II: Directed Flow Improvements



Current data: Double sign change of v1

Precision measurement of dv₁/dy as function of centrality



the **OW** hydrate enhancements of the dilepton yields at low p_T escribed with model calculations that involve only the vacuum ρ spectral function.



Low mass excess constant for Dynamic models [66] show that the broadenin**[grofe hange tof beam** /erærdies tandbut teractions with the subrounding inclear mediu**centralities** he coupling of ρ to the bar and their resonances. These interactions affect the properties of the ρ even in the iclear interaction affect the properties of the ρ even in the iclear interaction are expected to cause the width to further broaden to the extent th ecomes inclining inclear and interaction continuum star: PLB 750 (2015) 64, arXiv:1810.10159 [nucl-ex]] at the phase transition temperature. Measuring imperature dependence of the dilepton yields at low mass would thus be a key observe

BES-II: Change Total Baryon Number



Low Mass Region:

iTPC: Significant reduction in sys. and stat. uncertainties

Disentangle total baryon density effects

 ρ -meson broadening:

different predictions for di-electron continuum (Rapp vs PHSD) iTPC: Significant reduction in sys. and stat. uncertainties

Enables to distinguish between models for $\sqrt{s} = 7.7-19.6$ GeV

BES-II: Significant Λ Polarization



AR

Fixed Target Program - FXT

10²

 V_{x} (cm)

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

).5





 V_{y} (cm)

٥Ē

Gold target placed in beam linear entrance to STAR

Access to collision energies below that $V_y vs. V_x Distribution$ $210 cm < V_z < 212 cm$ 10^3 $4 \int C = 0$ $4 \int C = 0$ $4 \int C = 0$ 10^3

> 2 GeV 7 GeV









BES-II Progress Report



Beam Energy	$\sqrt{s_{ m NN}}$	$\mu_{ m B}$	Run Time	Number Events	Date
(GeV/nucleon)	(GeV)	(MeV)		Requested (Recorded)	Collected
13.5	27	156	24 days	(560 M)	Run-18
9.8	19.6	206	36 days	400 M (582 M)	Run-19
7.3	14.6	262	60 days	300 M (324 M)	Run-19
5.75	11.5	316	54 days	230 M (235 M)	Run-20
4.59	9.2	373	102 days	$160 \text{ M} (162 \text{ M})^1$	Run-20+20b
31.2	7.7 (FXT)	420	$0.5{+}1.1 \text{ days}$	$100 { m ~M} (50 { m ~M}{+}112 { m ~M})$	Run-19+20
19.5	6.2 (FXT)	487	$1.4 \mathrm{~days}$	$100 {\rm M} (118 {\rm M})$	Run-20
13.5	5.2 (FXT)	541	1.0 day	100 M (103 M)	Run-20
9.8	4.5 (FXT)	589	$0.9 \mathrm{~days}$	$100 {\rm M} (108 {\rm M})$	Run-20
7.3	3.9 (FXT)	633	$1.1 \mathrm{~days}$	$100 {\rm ~M} (117 {\rm ~M})$	Run-20
5.75	3.5 (FXT)	666	$0.9 \mathrm{~days}$	100 M (116 M)	Run-20
4.59	3.2 (FXT)	699	$2.0 \mathrm{~days}$	100 M (200 M)	Run-19
3.85	3.0 (FXT)	721	4.6 days	$100 {\rm M} (259 {\rm M})$	Run-18
3.85	7.7	420	11-20 weeks	100 M	$\operatorname{Run}-21^2$

We have collected all originally proposed BES-II and FXT data except for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ in collider mode - approved for Run-21



n: 21029018; Event: 34357; OFL.Trg.IDs: 770000,770002,770003,770004,770007,770005,770006,34; B: -0.5015; EvtTim Wed Jan 29 2020 06:48:54 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time)

Trig.ID: 770000 = epde-or-bbce-or-vpde-tof1; Trig.ID: 770002 = epde-tof1; Trig.ID: 770003 = bbce-tof1; Trig.ID: 770004 = vpde-tof1; Trig.ID: 770007 = epde-or-bbce-or-vpde-tof1-etof; Trig.ID: 770005 = hlt_fixedTargetGood; Trig.ID: 770006 = hlt_fixedTargetMonitor; Trig.ID: 34 = mbtakenctr;





STAR Collaboration (c) 2013-2019, comments: Dmitry Arkhipkin arkhipkin@bnl.gov

Precision Mapping of Phase Diagram



Reduce chemical fit uncertainty

- smaller extrapolation, higher efficiency

Test Flow models at low p_T (<0.5GeV/c) with heavy particles (p, Ξ , Ω)

BES-II: Preliminary Analyses





EPD being used for reaction plane related studies

Identify and reject "pile up": Centrality and Glauber fit completed for 3.0 GeV

Preliminary studies made for other BES-II Run-19 datasets



Helen Caines - BES Seminar Series - September 29 2020

BES-II: Online QA/analyses







Heavy fragments up to ⁷Be

BES-II: Online Hypernuclei





Run-18-20:

At FXT energies

- yields of fragmentation nuclei rising

Significant increase of observed hypernuclei

After corrections can merge dataset to get precision lifetime measurements.

Fold back to use lifetime to extract yields vs $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$

Unique studies possible

Helen Caines - BES Seminar Series - September 29 2020



Case for $Au+Au \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 17.1 \text{ GeV}$





Table 10: Event statistics (in millions) needed in a Au+Au run at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 17.1$ GeV for fourth order net-proton fluctuations ($\kappa\sigma^2$) and neutron density fluctuation (Δn) measurements.

Triggers	Minimum Bias	Net-proton $\kappa \sigma^2$ (0-5% Cent.)	$\Delta n \ (0-10\% \ \text{Cent.})$
Number of events	$250 \mathrm{M}$	6% error level	3.6% error level



Ratio of light nuclei yields sensitive to neutron relative density fluctuations Neutron relative density fluctuations increase near CP and/or 1st order PT

$$\Delta(n) = \langle (\delta n)^2 \rangle / \langle n \rangle^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{g} \frac{N_t \times N_p}{N_d^2} - 1$$

Sudden drop below 19.6 GeV - Consistent with NA49 Second peak?

> Propose to collect 250 M minbias events - 2.5 weeks of running

Case for $Au+Au \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 \text{ GeV} (FXT)$





Helen Caines - BES Seminar Series - September 29 2020

29

Case for $Au+Au \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 \text{ GeV} (FXT)$





Run-21: iTPC and eTOF ->

Light hypernuclei lifetime, BE, yields and flow

Propose to collect at least 300 M minbias events - 3 days of running



If time permits propose to extend to 2B events - 3 weeks Access to:

C₅, C₆

Centrality dependence of ϕ studies

Double- Λ hypernuclei

Case for Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2, 11.5, 13.7$

GeV (FXT)



In combination with collider data near full rapidity coverage

High rapidity tails of dN/dy critical for constraining shear viscosity dependence on T and μ_B

Stall in rapidity shift of stopped protons - reveals softening of equation of state

> Propose to collect 50 M minbias events at each energy - 3 days of total running

Correlations in Small Systems





Models fail to describe all the current STAR data

Initial State Correlations or Final State Interactions in small systems?

If Final state: is collectivity fluid-like or off-equilibrium few scatterings?

Case for O+O $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV





Why O+O:

- Prediction of different √s_{NN} dependence for symmetric and asymmetric systems
- Cu+Au, ³He+Au results consistent with dominance of FSM, need system with small N_{part}~60
- Small symmetric system with similar N_{part} to p/d+Au but different nucleon/subnucleon fluctuations



arXiv: 2005.14682, Schenke, Shen, Tribedy

Helen Caines - BES Seminar Series - September 29 2020

Case for O+O $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV





Next Steps: Forward Upgrades





All detectors on track for data taking in FY-22 costs so far as projected

Run-22: Transverse p+p 510 GeV



Inaugural run with Forward Upgrades Minimum of 16 weeks to collect at least 400 pb⁻¹ for rare/non-rescaled triggers

Not to mention first p+p run with BES-II upgrade detectors

By going to 510 GeV and wide η range (up to $\eta \sim 4.2$) probe down to x ~2x10⁻³ (gluons) and up to x~0.5 (valence quarks) regions possible

Transversely polarized beams:

Quark transversity (net transverse polarization of quarks in a transversely polarized proton) in the large x valence region

Current results statistics limited

Looking Forward to 2023-2025



Unique program addressing fundamental questions in QCD - strongly endorsed by the PAC

Exploits BES-II mid-rapidity upgrades and new forward detectors

pp

3D characterization of proton in momentum and spatial coordinates

p+A

Nature of initial state and hadronization in nuclear collisions Onset and A-dependence of saturation

A+A

direct photor 2.8 < n < 3.8 Longitudinal medium characterization Precision flow measurements Ψ_{B} range correlationsInfin ite Momentum Frame: Rapidity dependence of Λ global polarization QGP response to B field via low-pT dielectrons functio r BK (non-linear): recombination of g Essential input to RHIC's cold and hot QCD programs and realizing the scientific promise of the EIC Figure 2-34[•] (left) A cartoon in

2² [GeV²]

pA@RHIC: unique kinematics

FR. CDHSW. CHORUS, NuTeV

feasurements with A > 56 (7^0 nPb $\sqrt{s} = 5$ TeV

STAR-pA DY Vs :

Physics opportunities in 2023-2025



To address important questions about the inner workings of the QGP

- What is the precise temperature dependence of shear and bulk viscosity?
- What is the nature of the 3-dimensional initial state at RHIC energies?
- How is global vorticity transferred to the spin angular momentum of particles on such short time scales? How can the global polarization of hyperons be reconciled with the spin alignment of vector mesons?
- What is the precise nature of the transition near μ_B=0?
- What is the electrical conductivity, and what are the chiral properties of the medium?
- What can be learned about confinement and thermalization in a QGP from charmonium measurement?
- What are the underlying mechanisms of jet quenching at RHIC energies? What do jet probes tell us about the microscopic structure of the QGP as a function of resolution scale?

Solution T dependence of η/s





Flow measurements at forward rapidity sensitive to η /s as a function of T.

Much more precise than previous PHOBOS measurements.

Forward tracking critical



$$r_n(\eta_a,\eta_b)\,=\,V_{n\Delta}(-\eta_a,\eta_b)/V_{n\Delta}(\eta_a,\eta_b)$$

 $V_{n\Delta}$ the Fourier coefficient calculated with pairs of particles in different rapidity regions

- **r**_n sensitive to different initial state inputs:
- 3D glasma model: weaker decorrelation, describes CMS r₂ but not r₃
- Wounded nucleon model: stronger decorrelation than data

Precise measurement of r_n over a wide rapidity window will provide a stringent constraint

Summary



- Excellent performance from RHIC and STAR
- BES-II upgrades performing at or above expectations
- New Cold QCD program enabled by forward upgrades

Collected virtually all BES-II data

- Many analyses already ongoing, those in the original BES-II proposal and many new ideas
- Run-21:

Assuming 7.7 GeV running goes well opportunistically take: Au+Au at 3, 9.2, 11.5, 13.7 (FXT) - higher moments and baryon stopping Au+Au at 17.1 GeV - location of CP O+O at 200 GeV - initial conditions of small systems

Last chance to answer these critical HI questions at RHIC

• Run-22-25:

Exciting physics program enabled by BES-II and Forward Upgrades collection of RHIC legacy data prior to EIC



BACK UP

Looking Forward



	Year	√s (GeV)	Delivered Luminosity	Scientific Goals	Observable	Required Upgrade		
		p [†] p @ 200	300 pb ⁻¹ 8 weeks	Subprocess driving the large AN at high xF and η	A _N for charged hadrons and flavor enhanced jets	Forward instrum. ECal+HCal+Tracking		
		p [†] Au @ 200	1.8 pb ⁻¹ 8 weeks	What is the nature of the initial state and hadronization in nuclear collisions Clear signatures for Saturation	R_{pAu} direct photons and DY Dihadrons, γ -jet, h-jet, diffraction	Forward instrum. ECal+Hcal+Tracking		
Schedu		p [†] A1 @ 200	12.6 pb ⁻¹ 8 weeks	A-dependence of nPDF, A-dependence for Saturation	R_{pAI} : direct photons and DY Dihadrons, γ -jet, h-jet, diffraction	Forward instrum. ECal+HCal+Tracking		
led RHIC running	2023 to			Longitudinal de-correlation	$C_n(\Delta \eta)$ and $r_n(\eta_a,\eta_b)$	Forward instrum. ECal+HCal or Tracking		
	2025	AuAu @	1 Billion	$\eta/s(T)$ and $\zeta/s(T)$	$V_{nd}(\eta)$	Forward instrum. Tracking		
		200	200	200	200	Minbias Events	Mixed flow Harmonics	$C_{m,n,m+n}$
				Rapidity dependence of Hyperon Polarization	$P_{H}(\eta)$	Forward instrum. Tracking		
				Ridge	$dN/d(\Delta\eta)d(\Delta\phi)$ & $V_{n\Delta}$	Forward instrum. ECal+HCal or Tracking		
Poter futu runn	2021	p [†] p @ 510	1.1 fb ⁻¹ 10 weeks	TMDs at low and high x	Aur for Collins observables, i.e. hadron in jet modulations at $\eta > 1$	Forward instrum. ECal+HCal+Tracking		
tial re ing	2021	$\overrightarrow{p} \cdot \overrightarrow{p} @ 510 \qquad 1.1 \text{ fb}^{-1} \qquad \qquad \Delta g(x) \text{ at small } x \\ 10 \text{ weeks} \qquad \qquad$		All for jets, di-jets, h/γ -jets at $\eta > 1$	Forward instrum. ECal+HCal			

Running just endorsed by 2020 BNL RHIC PAC

Progress with the Forward Upgrades



First Forward detector (ECal) successfully installed in Oct 2019

- reusing PHENIX Pb-scintillator
- tests ongoing during BES-II running

HCal:

- first hadronic cal. in STAR
- FNAL test beam data show performance sufficient for requirements
- All orders planned / ready to go

Prototypes exist for each of the subsystems



All detectors on track for data taking in FY-22 costs so far as projected

Event statistics requirements: Collider

Table 7: Event statistics (in millions) needed in the collider part of the BES-II program for various observables. This table updates estimates originally documented in STAR Note 598.

Collision Energy (GeV)	7.7	9.1	11.5	14.5	19.6
$\mu_{\rm B}$ (MeV) in 0-5% central collisions	420	370	315	260	205
Observables					
R_{CP} up to $p_{\rm T} = 5~{\rm GeV}/c$	_	-	160	125	92
Elliptic Flow (ϕ mesons)	80	120	160	160	320
Chiral Magnetic Effect		50	50	50	50
Directed Flow (protons)		30	35	45	50
Azimuthal Femtoscopy (protons)		40	50	65	80
Net-Proton Kurtosis		85	100	170	340
Dileptons	100	160	230	300	400
$>5\sigma$ Magnetic Field Significance	50	80	110	150	200
Required Number of Events	100	160	230	300	400

Typically factor 20 more than for BES-I

Event statistics requirements: FXT



Table 8: Event statistics (in millions) needed in the fixed-target part of the BES-II program forvarious observables.

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)		3.2	3.5	3.9	4.5	5.2	6.2	7.7
Single Beam Energy (GeV)		4.55	5.75	7.3	9.8	13.5	19.5	31.2
$\mu_{\rm B} ({\rm MeV})$		699	666	633	589	541	487	420
Rapidity y_{CM}		1.13	1.25	1.37	1.52	1.68	1.87	2.10
Observables								
Elliptic Flow (kaons)		150	80	40	20	40	60	80
Chiral Magnetic Effect		60	50	50	50	70	80	100
Directed Flow (protons)		30	35	45	50	60	70	90
Femtoscopy (tilt angle)		50	40	50	65	70	80	100
Net-Proton Kurtosis		50	75	125	200	400	950	NA
Multi-strange baryons		100	60	40	25	30	50	100
Hypertritons		100	80	50	50	60	70	100
Requested Number of Events		100	100	100	100	100	100	100

BES-II: Onset of deconfinement





NA49 - claim onset of deconfinement at $\sqrt{s} = 7.7 \text{ GeV}$

Fixed target program Collider can't run below 7.7GeV Target in beam pipe at z=210cm

Dedicated short runs More efficient Successful tests completed

TOF+iTPC:

Forward acceptance in fixed target midrapidity range

Reach 7.7 GeV for fixed target too

Precision investigation with new techniques and same detector