Jet charge: a flavor prism for spin asymmetries at the EIC

# Zhongbo Kang UCLA

EIC Yellow Report : Jet and Heavy Flavor Physics WG meeting August 10, 2020

Based on Kang, Liu, Mantry, Shao, arXiv:2008.00655

# Jet Physics at the EIC

#### Jet physics at the EIC: a fast emerging field of research

#### The EIC science program with jets

Jets as tools to realize the EIC science goals — Recent publications

• The spin of the proton, PDFs

Hinderer, Schlegel, Vogelsang `15, `17, Abelof, Boughezal, Liu, Petriello `16, Boughezal, Petriello, Xing `18, Aschenauer, Chu, Page `19, Borsa, Florian, Pedron `20, Arratia, Furletova, Hobbs, Olness, Sekula `20

• 3D nucleon/nucleus tomography

Zheng, Aschenauer, Lee, Xiao, Yin`18, Liu, FR, Vogelsang, Yuan`19, Gutierrez-Reyes, Scimemi, Waalewijn, Zoppi`19, Hatta, Mueller, Ueda, Yuan`19, Arratia, Kang, Prokudin, FR`20

• Saturation, a new form of gluon matter

Hatta, Xiao, Yuan `17, Salazar, Schenke `19, Roy, Venugopalan `19, Kang, Liu `19

• Hadronization and quarks and gluons in the nucleus

Klasen, Kovarik `18, Aschenauer, Lee, Page, FR `19, Qin, Wang, Zhang `19, Arratia, Song, FR, Jacak `19, Li et al. `20



#### Courtesy of F. Ringer at BNL jet workshop in July

# Jets for 3D imaging

- Using jets for 3D imaging of the nucleon
  - seems to become quite feasible
  - seems to attract a lot of interest



Organizing Committee Miguel Arratia (University of California, Riverside) Renee Fatemi (University of Kentucky) Zhongbo Kang (University of California, Los Angeles) Alexei Prokudin (Penn State Berks & JLab) Felix Ringer (University of California, Berkeley)

### Some recent examples

Jet fragmentation functions



- If one measures only the z<sub>h</sub> distribution (integrated over jT), one probes collinear FFs
  - unpolarized, longitudinally, transversely polarized
- If one measures both zh and jT distribution (3D), one probes TMD FFs
  - With all possible polarizations

# Azimuthal angular dependence

All the azimuthal angular dependence for single inclusive jet



#### Examples

Collins effect at the EIC

Arratia, Kang, Prokudin, Ringer, arXiv:2007.07281



Lambda polarization at the EIC

Kang, Kyle, Zhao, arXiv:2005.02398



# Back-to-back region for TMD PDFs

- One can also study TMD PDFs via back-to-back jet production
  - e+p→e+jet+X
  - $p+p \rightarrow (Z, \gamma, ...)+jet+X$



Kang, Lee, Terry, Xing, arXiv:1906.07187 Chien, Shao, Wu, arXiv:1905.01335, ...



Arratia, Kang, Prokudin, Ringer, arXiv:2007.07281 Liu, Ringer, Vogelsang, Yuan, 18, 20, ...

### Examples

- Sivers asymmetry at the EIC
  - electron-jet back-to-back production



Arratia, Kang, Prokudin, Ringer, arXiv:2007.07281

# All seem to be nice

- Perception: all seem to be nice, complimentary to SIDIS measurement
  - It seems that something is missing, or has not been discussed much
  - That is flavor separation, which is important in mapping out the flavor and spin structure of the nucleon – an essential mission of EIC
- Flavor separation in DIS or SIDIS
  - In DIS: using different target proton, deuteron, He3 (neutron)
    - gives us u and d flavor separation

R. Milner, arXiv:1809.05626

- In SIDIS: measure different hadrons in the final state (pi+, pi-, K+, K-, etc)
  - Because FFs are different (pi+ will select u quark, pi- more d quark, Kaons for s quark)
  - Of course it is highly important to know very well FFs for these hadrons, in order to make firm conclusion on the flavor structure of the nucleon

Sato, Andres, Ethier, Melnitchouk, arXiv:1905.03788 (strange quark)

How do we perform flavor separation for jet observables?

# All seem to be nice

- Perception: all seem to be nice, complimentary to SIDIS measurement
  - It seems that something is missing, or has not been discussion



How we perform flavor separation for jet observables?

# Jet charge

Jet charge: a flavor prism





Courtesy of Xiaohui Liu

- Jet charge definition
  - One might use subset of hadrons (pions, Kaons) in jet to construct jet charge

# Charge distribution of u and d quark jets

#### Jet charge distribution



Courtesy of S. Mantry

- If one sums over all particles, u and d jet charges sum over, we have a distribution around  $Q_J \sim 0$ 
  - If one can select the positive jet charge bin, then our result is more sensitive to u quark jet
  - Negative jet charge bin: sensitive to d quark jet

# Pick an example

- Pick an example, demonstrate if it works, how it works
  - Sivers asymmetry in electron-jet back-to-back production
- In the back-to-back region
  - Nice thing:  $e+q \rightarrow e+q$  dominates at leading power
  - So jet flavor is the same as the incoming quark flavor

- Factorization formalism
  - Unpolarized production: for a particular quark scattering (qT: imbalance)
  - Jet function: describe transition from a parton *i* into a jet with pT and R

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UU}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}y_{e}\mathrm{d}^{2}p_{T}^{e}\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}} \propto e_{i}^{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}b_{T}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{iq_{T}\cdot b_{T}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x,b_{T},\mu) S_{J}(b_{T},R,\mu) H(Q,\mu) \mathcal{J}_{i}(p_{T}R,\mu)$$

# Jet charge function

- Now besides characteristics (pT, R) of the jet, we also want to measure the jet charge  $Q_{\kappa}$ 
  - Need a new jet function called it "jet charge function"

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UU}^{i}(Q_{\kappa})}{\mathrm{d}y_{e}\mathrm{d}^{2}p_{T}^{e}\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}} \propto e_{i}^{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}b_{T}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{iq_{T}\cdot b_{T}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x,b_{T},\mu) S_{J}(b_{T},R,\mu) H(Q,\mu) \mathcal{G}_{i}(Q_{\kappa},p_{T}R,\mu)$$

In comparison with the standard jet production

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UU}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}y_{e}\mathrm{d}^{2}p_{T}^{e}\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}} \propto e_{i}^{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}b_{T}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{iq_{T}\cdot b_{T}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x,b_{T},\mu) S_{J}(b_{T},R,\mu) H(Q,\mu) \mathcal{J}_{i}(p_{T}R,\mu)$$

• Obviously if one integrates over the jet charge  $Q_{\kappa}$ , one would get back to the usual jet function

$$dQ_{\kappa} \mathcal{G}_i(Q_{\kappa}, p_T R, \mu) = \mathcal{J}_i(p_T R, \mu)$$

Another NICE thing: due to RG consistency, these two jet functions have the same QCD evolution

See also Waalewijn, arXiv:1209.3019

# The weighting factor

The N-th moment of the jet charge in a particular jet charge bin

$$\langle (Q_{\kappa}^{i})^{N} \rangle_{\text{bin}} = \int_{Q_{\kappa}\text{-bin}} \mathrm{d}Q_{J} Q_{J}^{N} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{i}(Q_{J}, p_{T}R, \mu)}{\mathcal{J}_{i}(p_{T}R, \mu)}$$
$$\sum_{\text{bins}} \langle (Q_{\kappa}^{i})^{0} \rangle_{\text{bin}} = 1, \qquad \sum_{\text{bins}} \langle Q_{\kappa}^{i} \rangle_{\text{bin}} = \langle Q_{\kappa}^{i} \rangle$$

- This ratio is RG-invariant, has a small pT\*R dependence from NLO as an argument in the coupling constant
- This weighting factor is *non-perturbative but universal*, thus one can determine from other jet production process in p+p, e+e- collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrix elements)
- Then build the cross section in a specific jet charge bin, easily generalize to polarized case (Sivers effect): same weighting factor

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UU}(Q^N_{\kappa,\mathrm{bin}})}{\mathrm{d}y_e\mathrm{d}^2p^e_T\mathrm{d}^2q_T} = \sum_{i=u,d\cdots} \langle (Q^i_\kappa)^N \rangle_{\mathrm{bin}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^i_{UU}}{\mathrm{d}y_e\mathrm{d}^2p^e_T\mathrm{d}^2q_T}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UT}(S_{\perp}, Q_{\kappa, \mathrm{bin}}^N)}{\mathrm{d}y_e \mathrm{d}^2 p_T^e \mathrm{d}^2 q_T} = \sum_{i=u, d, \cdots} \langle (Q_{\kappa}^i)^N \rangle_{\mathrm{bin}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UT}^i(S_{\perp})}{\mathrm{d}y_e \mathrm{d}^2 p_T^e \mathrm{d}^2 q_T}$$

# The weighting factor

The N-th moment of the jet charge in a particular jet charge bin

$$\langle (Q_{\kappa}^{i})^{N} \rangle_{\text{bin}} = \int_{Q_{\kappa}\text{-bin}} dQ_{J} Q_{J}^{N} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{i}(Q_{J}, p_{T}R, \mu)}{\mathcal{J}_{i}(p_{T}R, \mu)} \\ \sum_{\text{bins}} \langle (Q_{\kappa}^{i})^{0} \rangle_{\text{bin}} = 1, \qquad Q_{\kappa}^{i} \rangle_{\text{bin}} = \langle Q_{\kappa}^{i} \rangle$$

$$\text{This ratio is RG-invariant, has a small pT*R deperent of the coupling constant}$$

$$\text{This weighting factor is non-perturbative of extensive of extensive of the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is just a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet), it is a number (like NRQCD matrice as the collisions (recall STAR dijet) is a specific jet charge bin, easily generalize to polaring factor (Sivers effect): same weighting factor$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{T}}{\mathrm{d}y_{e}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{L}}^{\mathsf{pt}} \mathcal{L}_{q_{T}}^{\mathsf{charce}} = \sum_{i=u,d\cdots} \langle (Q_{\kappa}^{i})^{N} \rangle_{\mathrm{bin}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UU}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}y_{e}} \mathrm{d}^{2} p_{T}^{e} \mathrm{d}^{2} q_{T}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UT}(S_{\perp}, Q_{\kappa, \mathrm{bin}}^N)}{\mathrm{d}y_e \mathrm{d}^2 p_T^e \mathrm{d}^2 q_T} = \sum_{i=u, d, \cdots} \langle (Q_{\kappa}^i)^N \rangle_{\mathrm{bin}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UT}^i(S_{\perp})}{\mathrm{d}y_e \mathrm{d}^2 p_T^e \mathrm{d}^2 q_T}$$

# Unpolarized: weighting factors

- Using Pythia for now to determine these non-perturbative weighting factors
  - Pythia works well for this observable: u-quark jet



	u	$ar{u}$	d	$ar{d}$	s	$ar{s}$
$r_i^+$	0.52	0.17	0.15	0.53	0.30	0.34
$r_i^-$	0.15	0.49	0.52	0.15	0.36	0.32
$r_i^0$	0.33	0.35	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.34

 Kappa ~ 0.3 – 0.5, the energy dependence of these ratios are extremely small (~5%)

# Unpolarized: sensitivity

- In general, u quark is more dominant (charge enhancement  $e_u^2$  vs  $e_d^2$ )
  - By selecting negative jet charge bin, one can enhance the d quark sensitivity a lot



# Sivers asymmetry

- What will experimentalists measure?
  - Sivers asymmetry in different jet charge bins

$$A_{UT}(Q_{\kappa,\text{bin}}^N) = \frac{d\sigma(S^{\uparrow}) - d\sigma(S^{\downarrow})}{d\sigma(S^{\uparrow}) + d\sigma(S^{\downarrow})} = \frac{d\sigma_{UT}(Q_{\kappa,\text{bin}}^N)}{d\sigma_{UU}(Q_{\kappa,\text{bin}}^N)}$$



### Looks familiar?

SIDIS Sivers asymmetry of pi+, pi0, pi-

HERMES collaboration, arXiv:0906.3918



- Fragmentation functions in SIDIS are replaced by jet charge weighting factors in jet production
  - Functions → numbers
  - Both universal: can be determined via global analysis

### Polarized: sensitivity

 With jet charge bin selection, the sensitivity to d-quark Sivers is significantly enhanced



# Summary

- For 3D imaging, jet observables have become quite promising and complementary to the standard SIDIS process
- We demonstrate jet charge can be used for flavor separation in spin asymmetries
  - We demonstrate the effectiveness using Sivers asymmetry, but apparently it should work also for collinear functions, e.g., helicity distributions



Thank you!