"Target fragmentation physics with EIC" On-line Meeting Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science (CFNS) Stony Brook, September 28-30, 2020

Probing parton correlations with current and target fragmentation

Peter Schweitzer

University of Connecticut

Outline

hadron structure

 $q_{\rm val} \rightarrow$ hadronic scale R $q_{\rm sea} \rightarrow$ nonpert. short-distance scale ρ spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry parton correlations at scale $\rho \rightarrow$ consequences

• current fragmentation

PDFs dimensionless \rightarrow "less" insightful TMDs and $\langle p_T \rangle$ dimensionful \rightarrow "more" insightful nonperturbative calculations in chiral quark soliton model quantitative predictions (Strikman, Weiss, PS, JHEP 2013)

- target fragmentation qualitative predictions correlations across current and target fragmentation how to describe quantitatively in effective chiral theory?
- experimental tests at EIC conclusions and outlook



• illustration of concepts using models:



- non-rel. model: Efremov, PS, Teryaev, Zavada, PRD80, 014021 (2009)
- bag model: Avakian, Efremov, PS, Yuan, PRD81, 074035 (2010)
- chiral quark soliton: PS, Strikman, Weiss, JHEP 01, 163 (2013)

non-relatitivistic model

- ullet TMDs $f_1^q(x,p_T)=N^q\,\delta(x-rac{1}{3})\,\delta^{(2)}(ec{p_T})$
- p_T not strictly zero, but p_T/M_N negligible
- justified only for a weakly interacting system

QED

- imagine "structure of positronium in DIS" experiments
- size of system $R_{\text{Bohr}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\hbar}{mc} \sim 10^{-10} \text{m}$ (where $m = m_e/2 = \text{reduced mass}$)

•
$$E_{\text{bind}} = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 mc^2$$
 with $M_{positronium} = 2m_e c^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$

•
$$\alpha \approx \frac{1}{137} \rightarrow$$
 non-relativistic Schrödinger equation, etc.

QCD

• much different:
$$lpha_s(M_N) \sim 1$$
, $R_{
m proton} \sim 10^{-15} {
m m}$

- we need to model:
 - small-size system
 - relativistic system

quark models e.g. bag (more realistic)

- relativistic & confined quarks within $R_{
 m bag} pprox 1\,{
 m fm}$
- since 50 years in service, lucid, can be still be insightful
- interesting: TMDs exhibit nearly Gaussian- p_T (at low scale) $\rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{colored thick lines: exact bag results} \\ \text{dotted black lines: Gaussian approximation} \end{cases}$









- valence quarks $\langle p_T \rangle_{val} \approx 250 \text{ MeV} \sim 1/R$ scale set by 1/(hadron size)
- other quark models similar: spectator, LFCQM, NJL, ...

• Gaussian- p_T is seen in data DY, COMPASS, JLab, $p_T \ll Q$





quark models

- initial scale $\mu_0 \sim 0.5 \text{ GeV} + \text{evolution}$ describe TMD effects at valence-x
- e.g. LFCQM for nucleon and pion "accuracy" ~(20-40)%
- **SIDIS** at JLab, HERMES, COMPASS for $x \gtrsim 0.1$
- Drell-Yan at NA10, E615, COMPASS $x \sim \langle Q \rangle / \sqrt{s} \sim 0.25$
- useful estimates of q_{val} effects Boffi, Efremov, Pasquini, PS, PRD 79 (2009) Pasquini, PS, PRD 83, 114044 (2011) Bastami et al, arXiv:2005.14322



q_T (GeV)

3

 $\propto h_{\mathrm{1}}^{\perp q/p} h_{\mathrm{1}}^{\perp \overline{q}/\pi^{-}}$

0.2

0



(b)

х

- limitations: valence-x no chiral symmetry
- small x (EIC) beyond quark models sea quark degrees of freedom
- quantum fluctuations, QCD vacuum more realistic model ↔ chiral symmetry



chiral symmetry

1 GeV

- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}(\bar{\psi}_q, \psi_q, A_\mu)$ with $m_{u,d,s} \ll M_{\text{hadronic}} \sim \mathcal{O}(M_\rho, M_N) \sim (770-940) \text{ MeV}$
- chirality $\psi_{R,L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5) \psi \rightarrow$ vector symmetry V = L + R, axial symmetry A = L R
- ral sym.. $\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \mathcal{L}_{QCD}(\bar{\psi}_q, \psi_q, A_\mu) \quad \text{with}$ chirality $\psi_{R,L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5) \psi \rightarrow \text{vector symmetry}$. $U(3)_L \otimes U(3)_R = \underbrace{U(1)_V}_{b_{\partial r_{yON}}} \otimes \underbrace{U(1)_A}_{\partial \chi_{i\partial l}} \otimes \underbrace{SU(3)_V}_{sU(3)_R} \otimes \underbrace{SU(3)_A}_{s_{ON}} \otimes \underbrace{SU(3)_A} \otimes \underbrace{SU(3)_A}_{s_{ON}} \otimes$ spontanuous breaking $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^{\pm} N(940)$ vs N(1535) $SU(N_f)$ octets and decuplets $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^{\pm} N(940)$ vs N(1535)small $m_q \neq 0 \rightarrow$ mass splittingschiral partner of N much heavier ╢ realized in hadron spectrum spontaneously broken
 - $N_f^2 1$ Goldstone bosons $\dot{\pi}, K, \eta$ with masses $\ll 1 \, \text{GeV}$
 - chiral symmetry dynamically broken in QCD at scale $\rho \sim 0.3 \, \text{fm}$ (Shuryak, Diakonov, Petrov 1980s)

chiral symmetry \rightarrow dynamically broken at short-distance non-pert. scale $ho \sim 0.3$ fm



physical picture

- perturb. interactions preserve quark chirality non-perturbative gluon fields can flip it (topological gauge fields, instantons)
- QCD vacuum structure (quark condensate) order parameter of symmetry breaking $\langle 0|\bar{\psi}\psi|0\rangle = \langle 0|\bar{\psi}_L\psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R\psi_L|0\rangle$
- Goldstone bosons: pions π^a collective excitation
- dynamical mass generation: effective q, \bar{q} constituent mass $M \rightarrow$ hadron structure
- short-range interactions $\rho \sim 0.3$ fm new dynamical scale $\rho \ll R \sim 1$ fm Shuryak; Diakonov & Petrov 1980s
- practical implementation \rightarrow chiral quark soliton model

dynamical model of χSB

Diakonov, Eides 1983; Diakonov, Petrov 1986

 $\mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff}} = \overline{\Psi}ig(i \, \partial \!\!\!\!/ + M \, U^{\gamma_5} ig) \Psi$

- effective q, \overline{q} degrees of freedom with **dynamical mass** $M \sim 350 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
- coupled to chiral field $U^{\gamma_5} = \exp(i\gamma_5\tau^a\pi^a/F_\pi)$ coupling constant $f_{\pi q\bar{q}} = M/F_\pi \sim 4$ is large!
- valid for energies $\lesssim
 ho^{-1} \simeq 600 \, {
 m MeV}$ (cutoff)
- solved non-perturbatively in $1/N_c$ expansion nucleon = chiral soliton (large N_c picture, Witten 1979)
- chiral quark-soliton model (no free parameters!)
 Diakonov, Petrov, Pobylitsa 1986; Kahana, Ripka 1984
- full Dirac sea, no Fock space truncation: (completeness of states, locality, analyticity)
 consistent effective field theory!
- success! in systematic 1/N_c expansion: mass spectrum, form factors, PDFs, (10-30)% accuracy Goeke et al, Christov et al, Diakonov et al 1996, ...



$$H\psi_n = E_n\psi_n$$

$$M_N = \min_U E_{\text{sol}}[U]$$
$$\langle N' | \overline{\Psi} \dots \Psi | N \rangle = A \sum_n \overline{\psi}_n \dots \psi_n$$

PDFs in chiral quark soliton model

- $f_1^q(x, p_T) \stackrel{!}{=} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z^-}{4\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \langle N(P) | \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^+ \psi(z) | N(P) \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0, \vec{z}_\perp=0}$ in any (including rest) frame $\stackrel{!!}{=} \lim_{P \to \infty} \langle N(P) | a^{\dagger}(xP, p_T) a(xP, p_T) | N(P) \rangle = \text{parton density in infinite mom. frame}_{\text{Diakonov et al, 1996, 1997; Ji, PRL 2013} \to \text{quasi PDFs on lattice}_{\text{Son, Tandogan, Polyakov PLB (2020)}}$
- $f_1^a(x,\mu) = \int_0^r d^2 p_T f_1^a(x,p_T)$ UV-divergent \Rightarrow cutoff \rightarrow scale $\mu \sim \rho^{-1} \sim 0.6 \text{ GeV}$ ($\rho \sim 0.3 \text{ fm}$)
- consistent field theory: positivity & sum rules (PDFs), polynomiality & stability (GPDs)
- matching: effective $q, \bar{q} = QCD q, \bar{q}$ at $\mu \sim \rho^{-1}$ (fits at low scales see 30% gluons (GRV), accuracy of matching can be improved in principle by higher orders in instanton vacuum)
- tested! E.g. explains flavor asymmetry in unpolarized sea $f_1^{\bar{d}} > f_1^{\bar{u}} \sqrt{(\text{Pobylitsa et al 1998})}$

model works ±30%



prediction: \exists asymmetry in helicity sea $g_1^{\bar{u}} > g_1^d$, even larger than in unpolarized case !!! Diakonov et al, NPB, **480** (1996) 341

• in QCD for
$$N_c \to \infty$$
 $\underbrace{|(g_1^{\overline{u}} - g_1^{\overline{d}})(x)|}_{\mathcal{O}(N_c^2)} \gg \underbrace{|(f_1^{\overline{u}} - f_1^{\overline{d}})(x)|}_{\mathcal{O}(N_c)}$

- in nature $N_c = 3$ seems modest, but: supported by dynamical calculations Diakonov et al, NPB 480 (1996) 341 Pobylitsa et al, PRD 59 (1999) 034024
- can be seen in SIDIS Dressler et al, EPJC14 (2000) 147
- most clear in Drell-Yan Dressler et al, EPJC18 (2001) 719
- seen in DSSV parameterization (since 2009)
- RHIC data $A_L(W^{\pm})$ PRL 113 (2014) 072301
- important test: χ SB effects in proton structure (Polyakov, PS, Strikman, Vogelsang, Weiss, in progress)





TMDs in chiral quark soliton model

what we can (presently)

- intrinsic p_T of q, \bar{q} in nucleon 3D picture in momentum space at scale $1/\rho$
- LO N_c : $\underbrace{(f_1^u + f_1^d)}_{\gg (f_1^u f_1^d)}$ and $\underbrace{(g_1^u g_1^d)}_{\gg (g_1^u + g_1^d)}$

other flavor comb. and other TMDs ↓ ongoing work

• gluons "frozen out" at $\mu_0 \sim \rho^{-1}$, break χ SB, cause **short-distance correlations**, implicit: included in structure of effective q, \bar{q} explicit: suppressed $f_1^g \ll f_1^q$ at μ_0 , non-small x

what we cannot (ultimate goal, future work)

• fully non-perturbative treatment of Wilson lines ISI/FSI, T-odd TMDs (possible in instanton vacuum)

("perturbative" treatment, "one-gluon-exchange" Brodsky, Hwang, Schmidt; Collins 2002, ...)

studies so far

• $f_1^a(x), g_1^a(x)$ PS, Strikman, Weiss JHEP (2013) $f_1^a(x)$ Wakamatsu, PRD (2009)



Results

 $f_1^a(x,p_T)$ [GeV⁻²] 10 x = 0.1 $\mu \sim \rho^{-1}$ 1 0.1 Valence 0.01 $p_T^2 [GeV^2]$ 0.5 0

• valence quarks $\equiv q - ar{q}$

 $\langle p_T^2
angle_{
m val} \sim 0.15 {
m GeV}^2 \sim 1/R_{
m hadron}^2$ (''bound state'')

no Gauss, but also no extreme disagreement

Results

 $f_1^a(x,p_T)$ [GeV⁻²] 10 x = 0.1 $\mu \sim \rho^{-1}$ 1 sea 0.1 Valence 0.01 $p_T^2 [GeV^2]$ 0.5 0

- valence quarks $\equiv q \bar{q}$ $\langle p_T^2 \rangle_{\rm val} \sim 0.15 {\rm GeV}^2 \sim 1/R_{\rm hadron}^2$ ("bound state") no Gauss, but also no extreme disagreement
- sea quarks $\equiv \bar{q} \equiv (\bar{u} + \bar{d})$

 $p_T \sim 1/
ho$ power-like behavior quasi model-independent:

$$egin{aligned} f_1^{ar q}(x,p_T) &pprox f_1^{ar q}(x) \; rac{m{C_1}\,M^2}{M^2+p_T^2} \ m{C_1} &= rac{2N_c}{(2\pi)^3 F_\pi^2} &\leftarrow ext{chiral dynamics!} \end{aligned}$$

- valence vs sea: qualitatively different numerically $\langle p_T^2
 angle_{
 m sea} \sim 3 \, \langle p_T^2
 angle_{
 m val}$!!!
- $g_1^{\text{val}}(x, p_T)$ vs. $g_1^{\text{sea}}(x, p_T)$ similar behavior here: $\text{val} \equiv (u - d) - (\bar{u} - \bar{d})$, $\text{sea} \equiv (\bar{u} - \bar{d})$

remarkable: $g_1^{ar q}(x,p_T) \approx g_1^{ar q}(x) \; rac{C_1 \, M^2}{M^2 + p_T^2}$ same coefficient $C_1 \leftarrow$ chiral dynamics!!

Why is that ...?

valence quark picture

realized in quark models: spectator (Jakob et al), LFCQM (Pasquini et al), bag (Avakian et al), NJL-jet (Matevosyan et al), here also seen in the **bound-state** of χ QSM

 $\langle p_T^2 \rangle_{\rm val} \sim M^2$

but what binds these valence quarks? it's the soliton field due to χ SB, strong coupling $f_{\pi q \bar{q}} = M/F_{\pi} \sim 4$ chiral field generates $\bar{q}q$ pairs correlated at χ SB scale ρ !



... because of chiral symmetry breaking! short-range correlations of q, \bar{q} pairs !!!

QCD vacuum structure (**"Dirac sea"** in model) PS, Strikman, Weiss 2013

$${\langle p_T^2 \rangle}_{\rm val} \sim M^2$$

$$\langle p_T^2 \rangle_{\rm sea} \sim \rho^{-2}$$



$$\langle p_T^2 \rangle_{
m val} / \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{
m sea} \sim M^2 \rho^2 \sim M^2 / ({
m cutoff})^2 \ll 1$$

('diluteness' of instanton medium) Diakonov, Petrov, Weiss 1996

quantum numbers of correlated $\bar{q}q$ -pairs

 $f_1^q(x,p_T) \propto \sigma^* \sigma + \pi^* \pi \leftrightarrow \bigvee$ ector current

nucleon's light-cone wave function approximation valid at $p_T^2 \gg M^2$

nucleon acts as classical source which produces a color–singlet $\bar{q}q$

outgoing state $|R\rangle$ can have quantum numbers of $|N\rangle$, $|\Delta\rangle$

 $\bar{q}q$ pair can have quantum numbers: scalar–isoscalar (σ) or pseudoscalar–isovector (π) σ, π yz, p_{T} $y\overline{z}, k_{T} - p_{T}$ H_{int} $\overline{y}, -k_{T}$ R

 $g_1^q(x, p_T) \propto \sigma^* \pi + \pi^* \sigma \leftrightarrow Axial vector current$ Dressler et al, EPJC14, 147 (2000); PS, Strikman, Weiss JHEP (2013) starting point for modeling: Fries, Schäfer, Weiss EPJA 17 (2003) 509

other TMDs \rightarrow work in progress h_1, \ldots Kemal Tezgin, Weiss, PS

applications:

- may inform TMD fits $\tilde{f}_1^{val}(x, b_T)$ vs $\tilde{f}_1^{sea}(x, b_T)$ for $\rho \leq b_T \leq R$ current fits: same non-pert. parameters for val. vs sea (Prokudin, Rogers, ...)
- how to implement in Montecarlo, correlations, spin-orbit effect?
 both: current and target fragmentation (→ talk Avakian)

current fragmentation (TMDs)

in process: q = val + sea, $\overline{q} = sea$ (and not $val = q - \overline{q}$)

- experimental tests in SIDIS HERMES, COMPASS, JLab12, EIC difficulty: fragmentation; need kinematic leverage potentially promising: $K^+ = u\bar{s}$ vs $K^- = \bar{u}s$
- multi parton correlations (Tevatron, LHC) (→ L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, C. Weiss) short-range correlations play a role

• Drell-Yan process

pp vs $\bar{p}p$ (no fragmentation) Fermilab? FAIR!?



if K^{\pm} yield at JLab12 (EIC) like π^{\pm} at JLab6 \rightarrow measure!

target fragmentation

 correlations in current ↔ target fragmentation when correlated qq̄ pair "split up"! Q² ~ few GeV² not too large (to avoid loss of correlation due to gluon radiation)



Conclusions

- valence quark ightarrow quark models, valuable insights useful quantitative description for $x\gtrsim 0.1$, $\langle p_T
 angle_{
 m val}\sim 1/R_{
 m hadron}$
- sea quarks \rightarrow need realistic description of χ SB, QCD vacuum, correlations associated scale $ho \sim 0.3 \, {
 m fm} \ll R_{
 m hadron}$, $\langle p_T
 angle_{
 m sea} \sim 1/
 ho$
- prediction for $f_1^a(x, p_T), g_1^a(x, p_T)$: $\langle p_T \rangle_{sea} \gg \langle p_T \rangle_{val}$
- interplay of 2 scales: hadronic scale $1/R \rightarrow \langle p_T \rangle_{val}$ short-range correlations $1/\rho \rightarrow \langle p_T \rangle_{sea} \rightarrow \chi SB$
- correlations between **current** and **target fragmentation** qualitatively expected. How to describe quantitatively?

Conclusions

- valence quark ightarrow quark models, valuable insights useful quantitative description for $x\gtrsim 0.1$, $\langle p_T
 angle_{
 m val}\sim 1/R_{
 m hadron}$
- sea quarks \rightarrow need realistic description of χ SB, QCD vacuum, correlations associated scale $ho \sim 0.3 \, {
 m fm} \ll R_{
 m hadron}$, $\langle p_T
 angle_{
 m sea} \sim 1/
 ho$
- prediction for $f_1^a(x, p_T), g_1^a(x, p_T)$: $\langle p_T \rangle_{sea} \gg \langle p_T \rangle_{val}$
- interplay of 2 scales: hadronic scale $1/R \rightarrow \langle p_T \rangle_{val}$ short-range correlations $1/\rho \rightarrow \langle p_T \rangle_{sea} \rightarrow \chi SB$
- correlations between **current** and **target fragmentation** qualitatively expected. How to describe quantitatively?