BNL WORKSHOP ON MULTI-HADRON AND NONLOCAL MATRIX ELEMENTS IN LATTICE QCD FEBRUARY 5-6, 2015

## SINGLE-HADRON STATES IN A FINITE VOLUME IN THE PRESENCE OF QED INTERACTIONS

#### ZOHREH DAVOUDI MIT

IN COLLABORATION WITH MARTIN J. SAVAGE PHYS. REV. D 90, 054503 (2014)

PHOTO COURTESY OF SHUTTERSTOCK/ISCATEL

## QED IS SPECIAL IN A FINITE VOLUME GAUSS'S LAW + PERIODICITY ?

Hilf and Polley, Phys. Lett. B 131, 412 (1983) Duncan, Eichten and Thacker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3894 (1996) Hayakawa and Uno, Prog. Theor. Phys. 120, 413 (2008)



PHOTO COURTESY OF YVES PELLETIER (<u>YPELLETIER@NCF.CA</u>)

## QED IS SPECIAL IN A FINITE VOLUME GAUSS'S LAW + PERIODICITY ?

Hilf and Polley, Phys. Lett. B 131, 412 (1983) Duncan, Eichten and Thacker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3894 (1996) Hayakawa and Uno, Prog. Theor. Phys. 120, 413 (2008)



PHOTO COURTESY OF YVES PELLETIER (YPELLETIER@NCF.CA)

QED IS SPECIAL IN A FINITE VOLUME

$$\begin{split} \delta S &= \int d^4 x \; \left[ \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu}(x) - eQ \; \overline{\psi}(x) \gamma^\nu \psi(x) \right] \delta \left( A_\nu(x) \right) \\ &= \int dt \; \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \; \delta \left( \tilde{A}_\nu(t,\mathbf{q}) \right) \int_{\mathbf{L}^3} \; d^3 \mathbf{x} \; e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \; \left[ \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu}(t,\mathbf{x}) - eQ \; \overline{\psi}(t,\mathbf{x}) \gamma^\nu \psi(t,\mathbf{x}) \right] \end{split}$$



PHOTO COURTESY OF YVES PELLETIER (<u>YPELLETIER@NCF.CA</u>)



VES PELLETIER

SOLUTION: ADD A UNIFORM BACKGROUND CHARGE/CURRENT DENSITY

$$\mathcal{L}^{QED} \to \mathcal{L}^{QED} - b^{\nu} A_{\nu} \qquad (j^{\nu} \to j^{\nu} + b^{\nu})$$
  
REQUIRING  $\int dV \ b^0 = -eQ$  restores gauss's law.



HOW DO WE IMPLEMENT THIS?



COULOMB POTENTIAL OF A POINT CHARGE WITHOUT THE UNIFORM CHARGE DENSITY



COULOMB POTENTIAL OF A POINT CHARGE WITH THE UNIFORM CHARGE DENSITY



x-y plane

## PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS OF QED FINITE-VOLUME EFFECTS ON MASSES

- VECTOR-DOMINANCE MODELS Duncan, at al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3894 (1996)
   Blum, et al., Phys. Rev. D 76, 114508 (2007)
- CHIRAL PERTURBATION THEORY Hayakawa and Uno, Prog. Theor. Phys. 120, 413 (2008) Blum, et al., Phys. Rev. D 82, 094508 (2010) Thomas, Lang and Young (2014), nucl-th:1406.4579
- SCALAR AND SPINOR QED Borsanyi, et al., arXiv:1406088.

DR QED

CURRENT WORK ZD, Martin J. Savage, Phys. Rev. D 90, 054503 (2014)

- SYSTEMATICALLY INCLUDING COMPOSITENESS CONTRIBUTIONS
- THE FIRST COMPLETE  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L^4}\right)$  calculation
- COMPLETELY GENERAL: APPLICABLE TO HADRONS AND NUCLEI







ZERO MODE REMOVED



POISSON RE-SUMMATION (NOT EXACTLY: INCLUDE THE ZERO MODE BUT INTRODUCE AN IR REGULATOR. SUBTRACT OFF THE IR DIVERGENCE AT THE END.)



EXPANSION IN R/L

$$U(R,L) = \frac{3}{5} \frac{(Qe)^2}{4\pi R} + \frac{(Qe)^2}{8\pi L} c_1 + \frac{(Qe)^2}{10L} \left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^2 + \cdots$$
$$c_1 = -2.83729$$



EXPANSION IN R/L

$$U(R,L) = \frac{3}{5} \frac{(Qe)^2}{4\pi R} + \underbrace{\frac{(Qe)^2}{8\pi L} c_1}_{\text{NDEPENDENT OF R}} + \underbrace{\frac{(Qe)^2}{10L} \left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^2}_{\text{GL}^3} + \cdots$$

## A NON-RELATIVISTIC EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY APPROACH HEAVY-FIELD FORMALISM

Isgur and Wise, Phys. Lett. B 232, 113 (1989)
Isgur and Wise, Phys. Lett. B 237, 527 (1990)
Jenkins and Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 255, 558 (1991)
Thacker and Lepage, Phys.Rev. D 43, 196 (1991)
Labelle (1992), hep-ph/9209266.
Manohar, Phys. Rev. D 56, 230 (1997)
Luke and Savage, Phys. Rev. D 57, 413 (1998)
Hill and Paz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 160402 (2011)
Chen, Rupak and Savage, Nucl. Phys. A 653, 386 (1999)

## COMPOSITE SPIN-0 PARTICLES NR-QED LAGRANGIAN

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = \phi^{\dagger} \left[ iD_0 + \frac{|\mathbf{D}|^2}{2m_{\phi}} + \frac{|\mathbf{D}|^4}{8m_{\phi}^3} + \frac{e\langle r^2 \rangle_{\phi}}{6} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} + 2\pi \tilde{\alpha}_E^{(\phi)} |\mathbf{E}|^2 + 2\pi \tilde{\beta}_M^{(\phi)} |\mathbf{B}|^2 + iec_M \frac{\{D^i, (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})^i\}}{8m_{\phi}^3} + \cdots \right] \phi$$

MATCHING

ONE-PHOTON MATCHING

 $D_0 = \partial_0 + ieQA_0$  $\mathbf{D} = \vec{\nabla} - ieQ\mathbf{A}$ 



## COMPOSITE SPIN-0 PARTICLES



# COMPOSITE SPIN-0 PARTICLES what is $\delta m_\phi = m_\phi^V - m_\phi^\infty?$

#### LEADING ORDER



#### NEXT-TO-LEADING ORDER



Also by: Hayakawa and Uno, Prog. Theor. Phys. 120, 413 (2008) Borsanyi, et al., arXiv:1406088.

$$\delta m_{\phi}^{(\text{NLO})} = \frac{\alpha_e Q^2}{m_{\phi} L^2} c_1$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}}^{\hat{}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}|^2} = \pi c_1, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}}^{\hat{}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}|} = c_1 = -2.83729, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}}^{\hat{}} 1 = -1, \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}}^{\hat{}} |\mathbf{n}| = c_{-1} = -0.266596.$$

# COMPOSITE SPIN-0 PARTICLES what is $\delta m_{\phi} = m_{\phi}^V - m_{\phi}^{\infty}$ ?

#### NEXT-TO-NEXT-TO-LEADING ORDER



## VOLUME-DEPENDENCE OF KAON AND PION MASSES



### COMPOSITE SPIN-1/2 PARTICLES NR-QED LAGRANGIAN

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} [iD_{0} + \frac{|\mathbf{D}|^{2}}{2M_{\psi}} + \frac{|\mathbf{D}|^{4}}{8M_{\psi}^{3}} + c_{F} \frac{e}{2M_{\psi}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + c_{D} \frac{e}{8M_{\psi}^{2}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} + ic_{S} \frac{e}{8M_{\psi}^{2}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{D}) + 2\pi \tilde{\alpha}_{E}^{(\psi)} |\mathbf{E}|^{2} + 2\pi \tilde{\beta}_{M}^{(\psi)} |\mathbf{B}|^{2} + iec_{M} \frac{\{D^{i}, (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})^{i}\}}{8M_{\psi}^{3}} + \cdots]\psi$$

#### MATCHING

$$c_F = Q + \kappa_{\psi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_e)$$

$$c_D = Q + \frac{4}{3}M_{\psi}^2 \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_e)$$

$$c_S = 2c_F - Q$$

$$c_M = (c_D - c_F)/2$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_E^{(\psi)} = \alpha_E^{(\psi)} - \frac{\alpha_e}{4M_{\psi}^3} \left(Q^2 + \kappa_{\psi}^2\right) - \frac{\alpha_e Q}{3M_{\psi}} \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_M^{(\psi)} = \beta_M^{(\psi)} + \frac{\alpha_e Q^2}{4M_{\psi}^3}$$



# COMPOSITE SPIN-1/2 PARTICLES what is $\delta M_\psi = M_\psi^V - M_\psi^\infty$ ?

#### NEW CONTRIBUTIONS: NNLO NNN







#### RESULT

$$\delta M_{\psi} = \frac{\alpha_e Q^2}{2L} c_1 + \frac{\alpha_e Q^2}{M_{\psi} L^2} c_1 + \frac{2\pi \alpha_e Q}{3L^3} \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} + \frac{\pi \alpha_e}{M_{\psi}^2 L^3} \left[ \frac{1}{2} Q^2 + (Q + \kappa_{\psi})^2 \right]$$
$$- \frac{4\pi^2}{L^4} \left( \tilde{\alpha}_E^{(\psi)} + \tilde{\beta}_M^{(\psi)} \right) c_{-1} + \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_e Q}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \left( \frac{4}{3} M_{\psi}^2 \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} - \kappa_{\psi} \right) c_{-1} - \frac{\alpha_e \pi^2}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \kappa_{\psi} (Q + \kappa_{\psi}) c_{-1} + \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_e Q}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \left( \frac{4}{3} M_{\psi}^2 \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} - \kappa_{\psi} \right) c_{-1} - \frac{\alpha_e \pi^2}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \kappa_{\psi} (Q + \kappa_{\psi}) c_{-1} + \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_e Q}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \left( \frac{4}{3} M_{\psi}^2 \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} - \kappa_{\psi} \right) c_{-1} - \frac{\alpha_e \pi^2}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \kappa_{\psi} (Q + \kappa_{\psi}) c_{-1} + \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_e Q}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \left( \frac{4}{3} M_{\psi}^2 \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} - \kappa_{\psi} \right) c_{-1} - \frac{\alpha_e \pi^2}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \kappa_{\psi} (Q + \kappa_{\psi}) c_{-1} + \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_e Q}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \left( \frac{4}{3} M_{\psi}^2 \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} - \kappa_{\psi} \right) c_{-1} + \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_e Q}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \left( \frac{4}{3} M_{\psi}^2 \langle r^2 \rangle_{\psi} - \kappa_{\psi} \right) c_{-1} - \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_e Q}{M_{\psi}^3 L^4} \kappa_{\psi} (Q + \kappa_{\psi}) c_{-1}$$

# COMPOSITE SPIN-1/2 PARTICLES what is $\delta M_\psi = M_\psi^V - M_\psi^\infty$ ?

#### NEW CONTRIBUTIONS: NNLO NNNLO







## VOLUME-DEPENDENCE OF PROTON AND NEUTRON MASSES

LO NLO

NNLO

9

10

8

NNNLO



## VOLUME-DEPENDENCE OF LIGHT NUCLEI: DEUTERON AND HELIUM-4







$$\begin{aligned} \text{RESULT} \\ \kappa_{\mu} \equiv \frac{g_{\mu} - 2}{2} = \frac{\alpha_{e}}{2\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{\pi c_{1}}{M_{\mu} \mathrm{L}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{M_{\mu}^{2} \mathrm{L}^{2}}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

1ppm precision requires  $\sim (60 \text{ nm})^3 \text{ volumes!!}$ 

### WHY SHOULD WE CONTROL FINITE-VOLUME EFFECTS IN SINGLE-HADRON SECTOR?

• MASS SPLITTING IN HADRONIC MULTIPLETS e.g. Blum, et al., Phys. Rev. D 82, 094508 (2010) Divitiis, et al., Phys. Rev. D 87, 114505 (2013)



• HADRONIC CONTRIBUTIONS TO MUON g-2 e.g. Blum, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 012001 (2014)

• SINGLE-HADRON MATRIX ELEMENTS e.g. Corrasco, et al., arXiv:1502.0025 (2015)



• MULTI-HADRON PROCESSES WITH QED Beane and Savage,





## CONCLUSION

• ELIMINATION OF ZERO MODE GIVES RISE TO A SENSIBLE QED IN A FINITE VOLUME.



• CHARGED PARTICLES ARE LARGELY AFFECTED BY THE FINITE BOUNDARY OF THE VOLUME.



• NREFT IS A SIMPLE AND GENERAL FORMALISM TO STUDY FINITE-VOLUME QED EFFECTS.



• THE DIRECT EVALUATION OF MUON MAGNETIC MOMENT TO REQUIRED PRECISION REQUIRES UNFEASIBLY LARGE VOLUMES.



NLO

NNLO NNNLO



• NEUTRAL PARTICLES RECEIVE CORRECTIONS TO THEIR MASSES DUE TO THEIR MAGNETIC MOMENT (IF ANY) AND THEIR POLARIZABILITIES.

## THANK YOU