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## Introduction

- One of the most important test of the Standard Model: CP violating observables $\epsilon_{K}$, with the experimental value.

$$
\left|\epsilon_{K}\right|=2.228(11) \times 10^{-3}
$$

- All previous attempt to calculate $\epsilon_{K}$ involve only the short distance part, (evaluating the kaon bag parameter $B_{K}$ ). The estimate of long distance contribution is a few percent, but not preceisely calculated.
- Previous method for the calculation of $\Delta M_{K}$, with the technique of evaluating the space time integrated four point correlator, can also be used to calculate the long distance part of $\epsilon_{K}$.


## Introduction

- Our major challenge includes:

1. different from the $\Delta M_{K}$ calculation, we focus on the $C P$ violating part of kaon mixing. More operators and more diagrams to evaluate on lattice.
2. In the $\Delta M_{K}$ calculation, all the diagrams are convergent. However, in our $\epsilon_{K}$ calculation, most of our four point diagrams have an $\log (a)$ ultraviolet divergence and therefore treatment of the divergence is required.

## Theoretical background: $K^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}$ Mixing

- Let $i, j$ stand for $K^{0}$ and $\overline{K^{0}}$, from the kaon mixing theory we obtain:
- dispersive part:

$$
M_{i j}=\mathcal{P} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\langle i| H_{w}|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| H_{w}|j\rangle}{m_{K}-E_{\alpha}}
$$

- absorptive part:

$$
\Gamma_{i j}=\sum_{\alpha} 2 \pi\langle i| H_{W}|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| H_{W}|j\rangle \delta\left(m_{K}-E_{\alpha}\right)
$$

- We have the $\epsilon_{K}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{K}=\frac{\exp (i \pi / 4)}{\sqrt{2} \Delta M_{K}}\left(\operatorname{Im} M_{0 \bar{o}}+2 \xi \operatorname{Re} M_{0 \overline{0}}\right) \\
\xi=\frac{\operatorname{Im} A_{0}}{\operatorname{Re} A_{0}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Evaluation of $\operatorname{Im} M_{0 \overline{0}}$

- Two types of diagram contribute to the $\Delta S=2, K^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}$ mixing process:


Figure : two types of $\Delta S=2$ diagram

- Write the sum over three types of quark ( $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{t}$ ) into two terms ( $\lambda_{i}=V_{i d} V_{i s}^{*}$, and $\lambda_{u}+\lambda_{c}+\lambda_{t}=0$ has been used).
The usual way is to eliminate up:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=u, c, t} \frac{\lambda_{i} p}{p^{2}+m_{i}^{2}}=\lambda_{c}\left\{\frac{\not p}{p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}}-\frac{\not p}{p^{2}+m_{u}^{2}}\right\}+\lambda_{t}\left\{\frac{\not p}{p^{2}+m_{t}^{2}}-\frac{\not p}{p^{2}-m_{u}^{2}}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Evaluation of $\operatorname{Im} M_{0} \bar{o}$

- We choose to eliminate the charm.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=u, c, t} \frac{\lambda_{i} \not p}{p^{2}+m_{i}^{2}}=\lambda_{u}\left\{\frac{p p}{p^{2}+m_{u}^{2}}-\frac{\not p}{p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}}\right\}+\lambda_{t}\left\{\frac{p p}{p^{2}+m_{t}^{2}}-\frac{\not p}{p^{2}-m_{c}^{2}}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This choice has the following advantages:

1. Take the product of the two internal quark lines:
$\lambda_{u} \lambda_{u}$ term: has no imaginary parts ( $\lambda_{u}$ is real).
$\lambda_{t} \lambda_{t}$ term: pure perturbative.
Therefore, only focus on the $\lambda_{u} \lambda_{t}$ term.
2. Connected diagrams for the current-current operators don't have a pion intermediate state (all internal quark involve charm).

## Evaluation of $\operatorname{Im} M_{00}: \Delta S=1$ weak Hamiltonian

- We calculate $\left\langle\overline{K^{0}}\right| T H_{W}^{\Delta S=1}(x) H_{W}^{\Delta S=1}(y)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle$ in four flavor theory. The $H_{W}^{\Delta S=1}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{e f f}^{\Delta s=1} & =\frac{G_{F}}{2}\left(\sum_{q, q^{\prime}=u, c} V_{q^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{q d} \sum_{i=1,2} C_{i} Q_{i}^{q^{\prime} q}-\lambda_{t} \sum_{i=3}^{6} C_{i} Q_{i}\right)  \tag{3}\\
Q_{1}^{q^{\prime}, q} & =\sum_{q, q^{\prime}=u, c} V_{q^{\prime}, s}^{*} V_{q, d}\left(\bar{s}_{i} q_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{V-A}\left(\bar{q}_{j} d_{i}\right)_{v-A}  \tag{4}\\
Q_{2}^{q^{\prime}, q} & =\sum_{q, q^{\prime}=u, c} V_{q^{\prime}, s}^{*} V_{q, d}\left(\bar{s}_{i} q_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{v-A}\left(\bar{q}_{j} d_{j}\right) v_{-A}  \tag{5}\\
Q_{3} & =\left(\bar{s}_{i} d_{i}\right)_{v-A} \sum_{q=u, d, s, c}\left(\bar{q}_{j} q_{j}\right)_{v-A}  \tag{6}\\
Q_{4} & =\left(\bar{s}_{i} d_{j}\right)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u, d, s, c}\left(\bar{q}_{j} q_{i}\right)_{v-A}  \tag{7}\\
Q_{5} & =\left(\bar{s}_{i} d_{i}\right)_{v-A} \sum_{q=u, d, s, c}\left(\bar{q}_{j} q_{j}\right)_{v+A}  \tag{8}\\
Q_{6} & =\left(\bar{s}_{i} d_{j}\right)_{v-A} \sum_{q=u, d, s, c}\left(\bar{q}_{j} q_{i}\right)_{v+A} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

## Evaluation of $\operatorname{lm} M_{00}: 2$ nd order weak process.

- By multiplying two $H_{W}^{\Delta S=1}$, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T H_{W}(x) H_{W}(y)=\frac{G_{F}^{2}}{2} \lambda_{u} \lambda_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{6} C_{i} C_{j} Q_{i, j}  \tag{10}\\
Q_{i, j}= & T\left[2 Q_{i}^{c c}(x) Q_{j}^{c c}(y)-Q_{i}^{u u}(x) Q_{j}^{c c}(y)-Q_{i}^{c c}(x) Q_{j}^{u u}(y)\right. \\
& \left.-Q_{i}^{u c}(x) Q_{j}^{c u}(y)-Q_{i}^{c u}(x) Q_{j}^{u c}(y)\right],(j=1,2) \\
Q_{i, j}= & T\left[\left(Q_{i}^{c c}(x)-Q_{i}^{u u}(y)\right) Q_{j}(y)+Q_{j}(x)\left(Q_{i}^{c c}(y)-Q_{i}^{u u}(y)\right)\right],(j=3, \ldots, 6)
\end{align*}
$$

- The $C_{i}$ are the Wilson Coefficient.
- As in the $\Delta M_{K}$ calculation, evaluate the integrated correlator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t_{2}=1}^{t_{a}} \sum_{t_{1}=t_{a}}^{t_{b}}\langle 0| T\left\{\bar{K}^{0}\left(t_{f}\right) H_{W}\left(t_{2}\right) H_{w}\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{K}^{0}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Evaluation of $\operatorname{Im} M_{0 \overline{0}}$

- After insertion of a complete set of intermediate states, we have:

$$
\mathcal{A}=N_{K}^{2} e^{-M_{K}\left(t_{f}-t_{i}\right)}\left\{\sum_{n} \frac{\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right| H_{w}|n\rangle\langle n| H_{w}\left|K^{0}\right\rangle}{M_{K}-M_{n}}\left(-T+\frac{e^{\left(M_{K}-M_{n}\right) T}-1}{M_{K}-M_{n}}\right)\right\}(12)
$$

- By doing a linear fit with $T$, we can find the $M_{00}$.
- Two different parts for the intermediate states $|n\rangle$ :

1. $E_{n}>m_{K}$ : contribution to the exponential terms is highly suppressed, leaving only terms proportional to T , plus constant terms.
2. $E_{n}<m_{K}$ : their exponentially growing term should be identified and subtracted.

## Evaluation of $\operatorname{Im} M_{0 \overline{0}}$

- Four points diagrams:
type 1


$$
i=1,2, j=1,2
$$



$$
i=1,2, j=3,4,5,6
$$

- In all these diagrams, the momentum in the internal quark lines are cutoff by a unphysical scale $\propto 1 / a$ (inverse lattice spacing). The divergence piece should therefore be identified and corrected.


## Evaluation of $\operatorname{Im} M_{0 \overline{0}}$

- Four points diagrams.
type 3

type 4

- Leave these to future work!


## Simulation details

- $24^{3} \times 64$ Iwasaki lattice, $m_{\pi}=329 \mathrm{MeV}$, and $m_{K}=575 \mathrm{MeV}$. quenched charm, $m_{c}=0.363(949 \mathrm{MeV})$.
- Use wall source propagator for the kaon source and sink, and random volume source for the self loop.
- Use Lanczos algorithm with 300 eigenvectors.
- The main goal of this calculation:

1. To understand the size of long distance contribution.
2. Develop $\log (a)$ correction method.

- For the present analysis, we focus on type 1 and 2 diagrams :

1. Smaller statistical noise.
2. Short distance part easier to evaluate in continuum.

## Short distance divergence

- For the case of $\Delta M_{K}$ calculation, our diagrams are convergent.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int d^{4} p \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right)\left(\frac{\not p-m_{u}}{p^{2}+m_{u}^{2}}-\frac{\not p-m_{c}}{p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}}\right) \gamma^{\nu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right)\left(\frac{p p-m_{u}}{p^{2}+m_{u}^{2}}-\frac{p p-m_{c}}{\not p^{2}+m_{c}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\int d^{4} p \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right) \frac{\not p\left(m_{c}^{2}-m_{u}^{2}\right)}{\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{2}+m_{u}^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right)} \gamma^{\nu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right) \frac{\not p\left(m_{c}^{2}-m_{u}^{2}\right)}{\left(p^{2}+m_{u}^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

- We get this equation because the $V-A$ structure of the vertex made the $m$ on the numerator disappear. And the final expression is not divergent when $p$ is high.


## Short distance divergence

- In the $\epsilon_{K}$ calculation, with both the two weak operator $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, one of the internal quark lines is a single charm.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int d^{4} p \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right)\left(\frac{\not p-m_{c}}{\ddot{p}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}}-\frac{\not p-m_{u}}{\not p^{2}+m_{u}^{2}}\right) \gamma^{\nu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right)\left(\frac{p-m_{c}}{\ddot{p}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\int d^{4} \boldsymbol{p} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right) \frac{\not p\left(m_{c}^{2}-m_{u}^{2}\right)}{\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{2}+m_{u}^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right)} \gamma^{\nu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right)\left(\frac{\not p}{\boldsymbol{p}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

- Ultraviolet logarithm divergence, when the two weak vertex are close to each other. Cutoff by the unphysical scale $\propto 1 / a$ on lattice. therefore the divergent part must be corrected.


## Short distance divergence

- Gluonic penguin diagrams are also log divergent!



## Short distance divergence: Rome-Southamption method

- Use a local operator $O_{L L}=(\bar{s} d)(\bar{s} d)$, to represent the short distance divergent part of $\left\langle\overline{K^{0}}\right| T H_{W}(x) H_{W}(y)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle$
- Use Rome-Southamption method, work under momentum scale $\mu$ and find the coefficient $C_{i, j}^{\text {lat }}\left(\mu^{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x, y}\left\langle\overline{K^{0}}\right| T Q_{j}(x) Q_{j}(y)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle_{S D}=C_{i, j}^{l a t}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \sum_{x}\left\langle\overline{K^{0}}\right| O_{L L}(x)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Then work in continuum under same $\mu$, find the physical short distance part, which can be represented by a coefficient $C_{i, j}^{\text {cont }}\left(\mu^{2}\right)$. Finally, the correct correlator :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}-C^{l a t}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \sum_{x}\left\langle\overline{K^{0}}\right| O_{L L}(x)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle+C^{c o n t}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \sum_{x}\left\langle\overline{K^{0}}\right| O_{L L}(x)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Short distance divergence: Evaluation of $C^{\text {lat }}$

- To work out the coefficient $C^{\text {lat }}$ on lattice, use the off-shell amputated Green function for our weak Hamiltonian and the $O_{L L}$ operator, projected on the projection operator $P_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\Gamma_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^{a m p}(p)-C^{l a t}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \Gamma_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^{a m p, S D}(p)\right) P_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}=0  \tag{19}\\
\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}(p)=\left\langle s_{\alpha}\left(p_{1}\right) \bar{d}_{\beta}\left(p_{2}\right) \int d^{4} x_{1} \int d^{4} x_{2} H_{W}\left(x_{1}\right) H_{W}\left(x_{2}\right) s_{\gamma}\left(p_{3}\right) \bar{d}_{\delta}\left(p_{4}\right)\right\rangle .  \tag{20}\\
\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}^{\mathrm{SD}}(p)=\left\langle s_{\alpha}\left(p_{1}\right) \bar{d}_{\beta}\left(p_{2}\right) \int d^{4} x O_{L L}(x) s_{\gamma}\left(p_{3}\right) \bar{d}_{\delta}\left(p_{4}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

- If the lattice momentum has relatively high scale, then the major contribution to $\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}(p)$ is from short distance. Therefore $C^{\text {lat }}$ can correctly represent the divergent part of our correlator.


## Short distance divergence: Evaluation of $C^{\text {lat }}$

- choose momentum:
$\left|p_{1}\right|=\left|p_{2}\right|=\left|p_{3}\right|=\left|p_{4}\right|=\mu, \quad\left|p_{1}-p_{2}\right|=\left|p_{3}-p_{4}\right|=\mu$, $p_{1}+p_{4}=p_{2}+p_{3}$ (net momentum flow is 0 ).



## Short distance divergence: Evaluation of $C^{\text {lat }}$

- Use:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}=\frac{2 \pi}{L a}(M, M, 0,0), & p_{2}=\frac{2 \pi}{L a}(M, 0, M, 0) \\
p_{3}=\frac{2 \pi}{L a}(0, M, 0, M), & p_{4}=\frac{2 \pi}{L a}(0,0, M, M) \tag{22}
\end{array}
$$

- To study the short distance divergence of our correlator, we impose a space-time cutoff $R$ :
$\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}(p)=\left\langle s_{\alpha}\left(p_{1}\right) \bar{d}_{\beta}\left(p_{2}\right) \int d^{4} x_{1} \int_{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2}<R^{2}} d^{4} x_{2} H_{W}\left(x_{1}\right) H_{W}\left(x_{2}\right) s_{\gamma}\left(p_{3}\right) \bar{d}_{\delta}\left(p_{4}\right)\right\rangle$.
- Small $R$ dependence when $R \geq 5$ : $C^{\text {lat }}$ really represent short distance part!

| cutoff | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | none |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{11}^{\text {lat }}$ | 0.1726 | 0.1881 | 0.1903 | 0.1905 | 0.1904 |
| $C_{22}^{\text {lat }}$ | 0.0489 | 0.0520 | 0.0522 | 0.0522 | 0.0522 |

TABLE: $C_{i, j}^{l a t}$ : coefficient for $Q_{i} Q_{j}$.

## Short distance divergence: Evaluation of $C^{\text {lat }}$

- Energy scale $\mu$ dependence of $C^{l a t}\left(\mu^{2}\right)$ :



Figure: Short distance coefficients for energy scale $\mu=1.41 \mathrm{GeV}-2.6 \mathrm{GeV}$. Red line is a logarithm fit.

## Preliminary results: Wilson coefficients

- We use the basis for operators $\left(Q_{1}^{\mu u}, Q_{2}^{\mu \mu}, Q_{3}, Q_{4}, Q_{5}, Q_{6}\right)$. The Wilson coefficient for $Q_{i}^{c c}, Q_{i}^{c u}$, and $Q_{i}^{u c}$ will be the same to $Q_{i}^{u u}(i=1,2)$.
- We can find the Wilson coefficient in $\overline{M S}$ at $\mu=2.15 \mathrm{GeV}$ :

$$
C^{\overline{M S}}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
-0.2967 & 1.1385 & 0.0217 & -0.0518 & 0.0102 & -0.0671 \tag{24}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Use NPR to find the lattice Wilson coefficient, renormalized at $\mu=2.15$ GeV .

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1}^{6} C_{i}^{\overline{M S}} Q_{i}^{\overline{M S}}=\sum_{i=1}^{6} C_{i}^{\text {lat }} Q_{i}^{\text {lat }}  \tag{25}\\
C^{\overline{l a t}}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
-0.2373(1) & 0.6885(1) & 0.0113(8) & -0.0213(10) & 0.0085(8)
\end{array}-0.0256(8)\right) \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Preliminary Results

- Integrated correlator before and after short distance correcton:


Figure : Integrated correlator, only $Q_{1} Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2} Q_{2}$ are plotted.

## Preliminary Results

- Different contribution to $\operatorname{Im} M_{0 \overline{0}}$, before and after subtraction of short distance contribution. Error comes from both the fitting of correlator and the Wilson coefficients.

|  | before | after |  | before | after |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{1} Q_{1}$ | $-0.7241(61)$ | $-0.0786(59)$ |  |  |  |
| $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ | $0.9112(101)$ | $0.0637(099)$ | $Q_{2} Q_{2}$ | $-2.3472(281)$ | $-0.7816(282)$ |
| $Q_{1} Q_{3}$ | $0.1181(60)$ | $0.0506(27)$ | $Q_{2} Q_{3}$ | $-0.0190(21)$ | $0.0242(22)$ |
| $Q_{1} Q_{4}$ | $-0.0116(11)$ | $0.0161(12)$ | $Q_{2} Q_{4}$ | $0.2216(81)$ | $0.1182(51)$ |
| $Q_{1} Q_{5}$ | $-0.0681(048)$ | $-0.0035(009)$ | $Q_{2} Q_{5}$ | $0.3461(245)$ | $0.2917(208)$ |
| $Q_{1} Q_{6}$ | $0.1577(059)$ | $0.0828(051)$ | $Q_{2} Q_{6}$ | $0.0863(121)$ | $0.2914(137)$ |

TABLE: Imaginary part of $M_{0 \overline{0}}$, before/ after subtraction of short distance contribution.

## Preliminary Results

- We therefore obtain the $\lambda_{u} \lambda_{t}$ contribution to $M_{0 \overline{0}}$. Before the subtraction of short distance part:

$$
M_{0 \overline{0}}=(3.16(10)-1.32(4)) \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{MeV}
$$

- After the subtraction of short distance part:

$$
M_{0 \bar{o}}=(-1.79(99)+0.75(41)) \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{MeV}
$$

- To obtain correct results, we still need to calculate the physical short distance contribution in continuum and add them back.
- We can find the $\epsilon_{K}$ from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{k}=\frac{\exp (i \pi / 4)}{\sqrt{2} \Delta M_{K}}\left(\operatorname{Im} M_{0 \overline{0}}+2 \xi \operatorname{Re} M_{0 \overline{0}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The value for $\xi$ can be obtained from lattice calculation of kaon to two pion $A_{0}$. Currently, we can set this term to 0 , and we use the experimental value $\Delta M_{K}=3.483(6) \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{MeV}$.


## Preliminary Results

- The long distance contribution to $\lambda_{u} \lambda_{t}$ part of $\epsilon_{K}$ is:
- before short distance correction.

$$
\left|\epsilon_{K}\right|=2.69(8) \times 10^{-4}
$$

- after short distance correction.

$$
\left|\epsilon_{K}\right|=1.52(84) \times 10^{-5}
$$

- The experimental value(with all parts):

$$
\left|\epsilon_{K}\right|=2.228(11) \times 10^{-3}
$$

- We have relatively large error on the $\epsilon_{K}$ after short distance subtraction, because there is huge cancellation between different contribution to $\epsilon_{K}$, but the error is not cancelled.


## Outlook and summary

- To obtain complete calculation of $\lambda_{u} \lambda_{t}$ part of $\epsilon_{K}$, we have to:

1. Find the correct short distance contribution from continuum for the box diagram topology, and add it back to our results. Then our type 1 and 2 diagrams for the box topology is complete.
2. Include all the diagrams (type 3, 4, 5), do the corresponding NPR to remove the short distance artifact, and find the short distance contribution in continuum for the disconnected topology and add to our results.
3. Work on a lattice with more physical kinematics, including lighter pion, unquenched charm, and larger physical volume.
