## Charm as a probe for strangeness at the EIC

. . .

Fred Olness SMU

... in collaboration with

Miguel Arratia, Yulia Furletova, Tim Hobbs, Stephen J. Sekula

Details: arXiv:2006.12520

Thanks for substantial input from my friends & colleagues

 $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{Q}$ 



EIC opportunities for Snowmass

29 January 2021



CC-DIS, 10GeVx275GeV, Q<sup>2</sup> > 100GeV<sup>2</sup>

Two-Loop Total Cross Section e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>: One Scale

$$\sigma(Q^2) = \sigma_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{4\pi} (3C_F) + \left( \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{4\pi} \right)^2 \left[ -C_F^2 \left[ \frac{3}{2} \right] + C_F C_A \left[ \frac{123}{2} - 44\xi(3) \right] + C_F T n_f (-22 + 16\xi(3)) \right] \right\}$$

Two-Loop Drell-Yan Cross Section: Two Scales

$$\begin{split} H_{q\bar{q}}^{(2),S+V}(z) &= \left[\frac{\alpha_{z}}{4\pi}\right]^{2} \delta(1-z) \left[C_{A}C_{F}\left[\left[\frac{193}{3}-24\zeta(3)\right]\ln\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]-11\ln^{2}\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]-\frac{12}{5}\zeta(2)^{2}+\frac{592}{9}\zeta(2)+28\zeta(3)-\frac{1535}{12}\right] \\ &+ C_{F}^{2}\left[\left[18-32\zeta(2)\right]\ln^{2}\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]+\left[24\zeta(2)+176\zeta(3)-93\right]\ln\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] \\ &+ \frac{8}{3}\zeta(2)^{2}-70\zeta(2)-60\zeta(3)+\frac{511}{4}\right] \\ &+ n_{f}C_{F}\left[2\ln^{2}\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]-\frac{34}{3}\ln\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]+8\xi(3)-\frac{112}{9}\zeta(2)+\frac{127}{6}\right]\right] \\ &+ C_{A}C_{F}\left[-\frac{44}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\ln^{2}\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]+\left\{\left[\frac{516}{9}-16\zeta(2)\right]\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)-\frac{176}{3}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z)\right\}\ln\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] \\ &- \frac{176}{3}\mathcal{D}_{2}(z)+\left[\frac{1072}{9}-32\zeta(2)\right]\mathcal{D}_{1}(z)+\left[56\zeta(3)+\frac{175}{9}\zeta(2)-\frac{1616}{277}\right]\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\right] \\ &+ C_{F}^{2}\left[\left[64\mathcal{D}_{1}(z)+48\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\right]\ln^{2}\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]+\left\{192\mathcal{D}_{2}(z)+96\mathcal{D}_{1}(z)-\left[128+64\zeta(2)\right]\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\right]\ln\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] \\ &+ 128\mathcal{D}_{3}(z)-(128\zeta(2)+256)\mathcal{D}_{1}(z)+256\zeta(3)\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\right] \\ &+ n_{f}C_{F}\left[\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\ln^{2}\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]+\left[\frac{32}{3}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z)-\frac{80}{9}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\right]\ln\left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right]+\frac{32}{3}\mathcal{D}_{2}(z)-\frac{160}{9}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z)+\left[\frac{224}{27}-\frac{32}{3}\zeta(2)\right]\mathcal{D}_{0}(z)\right] \end{split}$$

Ref: CTEQ Handbook

### **Strange PDF:** relatively large uncertainties



Additionally, consider charged current  $\nu N$  DIS: nuclear target corrections (Fe, Pb)

## **Charm Jet Production:** *Pythia with parameterized detector simulation*





CC-DIS, 10GeVx275GeV, Q2>100 GeV2

5



## **Strange PDF:** *Relatively Large Uncertainty*



#### 6

 $0.59 \ ^{+0.30}_{-0.30}$ 

 $0.63 \ ^{+0.23}_{-0.16}$ 

0.96

NNPDF31 nnlo as 0118

CT18A NNLO

**Rs-High** 





EIC an ideal QCD Laboratory "EIC would unlock scientific mysteries" NAP Report Ideally suited to "... glean the fundamental insights into QCD" "QCD is our most perfect physical theory" Frank Wilczek

# Extras

8

	Tracking resolution	
[-1.0, 1.0]	$0.5\% \oplus 0.05\%{ imes}p$	
$1.0 <  \eta  < 2.5$	$1.0\% \oplus 0.05\%{ imes}p$	
$2.5 <  \eta  < 3.5$	$2.0\% \oplus 0.01\%{ imes}p$	
Track	Impact Parameter Resol	ution
Parameter	Resolution $[\mu m]$	
$d_0$	20	
$z_0$	20	
Charged	Particle Tracking Efficie	ncy [%]
$\eta$	$p_{\rm T} = [0.1, 1.0] {\rm ~GeV}$	$p_{\rm T} > 1.0~{\rm GeV}$
[-3.5, -2.5]	95	97
[-2.5, -1.5]	96	98
[-1.5, 1.5]	97	99
[1.5, 2.5]	96	98
[2.5, 3.5]	95	97
Ele	ctromagnetic Calorimete	er
	(E > 0.2  GeV)	
η	Resolution [%]	
[-4.0, -2.0]	$\sqrt{E}  imes (2.0) \oplus E  imes (1.0)$	
[-2.0, -1.0]	$\sqrt{E} \times (7.0) \oplus E \times (1.0)$	
[-1.0, 1.0]	$\sqrt{E}  imes (10.0) \oplus E  imes (1.0)$	
[1.0, 4.0]	$\sqrt{E} imes (12.0)\oplus E imes (2.0)$	
	Hadronic Calorimeter	
	(E > 0.4  GeV)	
$\eta$	Resolution [%]	
[-4.0, -1.0]	$\sqrt{E} imes (50.0)\oplus E imes (10.0)$	
[-1.0, 1.0]	$\sqrt{E}  imes (100.0) \oplus E  imes (10.0)$	
[1.0, 4.0]	$\sqrt{E} imes(50.0)\oplus E imes(10.0)$	
	PID performance	
$K^{\pm}, \pi^{\pm}$	$\geq 3\sigma$ separation in the range	
[-4.0, -1.0]	up to $10 \mathrm{GeV}$	
[-1.0, 1.0]	up to 6 GeV	
[1.0, 4.0]	up to $50 \text{ GeV}$	
$e^{\pm},  \pi^{\pm}$	$\geq 2.4\sigma$ separation (rejection factor 50)	
$\mu^{\pm}, \pi^{\pm}$	$\geq 2\sigma$ separation	



Figure 4. Missing-transverse energy  $(E_T^{\text{miss}})$  response matrix for charged-current DIS events. The missing energy is reconstructed using DELPHES particle-flow objects.



Figure 5. Bin survival probability obtained using the Jacquet-Blondel method in charged-current DIS events.





Figure 6. Signed impact parameter significance,  $sIP_{3D}$ , probability distribution for light and charm jets.

Figure 7. A pair of event displays of a single CC DIS event simulated with PYTHIA8 and reconstructed with DELPHES. A reconstructed jet is represented as a yellow cone; blue bars are hadronic calorimeter energy deposits, and red bars are electromagnetic calorimeter energy deposits. Tracks are indicated by blue lines; the yellow-highlighted tracks originate from a displaced decay vertex. The zoomed-in view (bottom) shows these tracks and vertices.





### www.xFitter.org

Sample data files: LHC: ATLAS, CMS, LHCb Tevatron: CDF, D0 HERA: H1, ZEUS, Combined Fixed Target: ... User Supplied: ...



Features & Recent Updates:

Photon PDF & QED Pole & MS-bar masses Profiling and Re-Weighting  $(LTIAPDF) = \underbrace{Interms include}_{extensions include}$   $extensions in clude = \underbrace{Interms in clude}_{nuclear PDFs}$  Heavy Quark Variable Treshold  $Improvements in \chi^2 and correlations$  TMD PDFs (uPDFs) ... and many other

xFitter 2.0.1 Old Fashioned

## **Charged Current Charm Production Constrains** Strange PDF<sub>13</sub>

