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Experimental landscape: Run opportunities are clear

Run 22: 16 weeks p+p at $\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV, expect $\mathcal{L} = 450$ pb$^{-1}$

- ‘Last chance’ to study observables unique to this energy, e.g., $W$, $Z$’s
- Substantial increase to data taken at midrapidity in Run 17 (~350 pb$^{-1}$)
- Crucial to take new data with forward upgrades at this energy

Run 24: 11 weeks p+p (240 pb$^{-1}$), 11 weeks p+Au (1.3 pb$^{-1}$), 200 GeV

- Essential to combine Run 22 results with similar data taken at 200 GeV
- Exploit new opportunities enabled by forward upgrade, iTPC, eTOF

All the above data to be taken with transversely polarized p beams

EIC in 2030:

- Demands that we take the most critical data to finish the RHIC mission
- But to realize full potential of EIC, information is needed that can only be obtained from hadronic studies – complementarity of probes
Experimental landscape: Recent, ongoing upgrades

Suite of new forward detectors will provide charged-particle tracking ($p_T$ and sign) via 3 Si $\mu$-strip and 4 smallstrip TGC planes, electromagnetic and hadronic calorimetry over range $2.5 < \eta < 4$

Also have iTPC, eTOF at midrapidity, and EPD

Does much more than ‘just’ extend kinematic reach! For dijet, correlation studies, provides unique access to highly asymmetric partonic collisions.

→ Dominated by interactions of high-$x$ (largely valence, polarized) quarks with (abundant) low-$x$ gluons, enriching data samples in $q$-$g$ subprocess
Extracting struct. functions: physics behind the physics

➢ At what level does this ‘decoupling’ of soft physics (structure functions) from hard physics (interactions) break down? Evidence for factorization breaking?
➢ To what extent are the parton distributions extracted from data dependent on the nature of the probe used? Are they truly intrinsic, so universality works?
➢ How does the information revealed about these functions depend on the energy, or $Q^2$ / distance scale, at which they are probed? How do they evolve?

Must explore these questions experimentally in order to interpret new data!

Take data at high $\sqrt{s}$ so QCD is weak, can be treated perturbatively – but several critical issues remain.
Need new theoretical tools, driven by data

Surprisingly large transverse single-spin (left/right) asymmetries in inclusive pion production – very similar behavior for $\sqrt{s} = 5$-200 GeV!

$\rightarrow$ A seemingly simple phenomenon not consistent with LO pQCD

Two ways to proceed:

- Transverse-momentum-dependent PDFs – work in leading twist, but allow for non-collinear interactions due to partonic $k_T$
- Incorporate higher-twist terms, e.g., soft gluon radiation, collinear

Both frameworks allow for ‘new’ effects in initial and/or final states
Sivers Function: TMD’s in initial state

Search for a non-zero correlation between proton spin and parton $k_T$:

$$\langle \vec{S}_{\text{proton}} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\text{proton}} \times \vec{k}_T) \rangle \neq 0$$

Non-zero $k_T$ leads to spin-dependent ‘tilt’ of dijet opening angle

Expect no effect on average – must sort jets by their net charge → yields samples enhanced in $u$ or $d$ quarks

First observation of effect in dijets!

Suggests clear flavor dependence, with $d$ opposite in sign, twice as large as average $k_T$ for $u$ quarks

→ Need data at 510 GeV to study $x$-dependence, also at higher $\eta^{\text{tot}} = \eta_3 + \eta_4$ using new forward detectors
Sivers effect for weak boson production

A spin-dependent $k_T$ will lead to asymmetries in other reaction channels as well.

For $W$, $Z$ production, Drell-Yan, no strong final state interactions. For SIDIS, none in initial state.

$\rightarrow$ Expect a change in sign between these processes, as effects should be repulsive for ISI but attractive for FSI – test of gauge invariance in QCD.
A fundamental structure function: transversity

A leading-twist function, like the unpolarized and helicity distributions

→ Net transverse polarization of quarks in a transversely polarized proton
→ Integration (in $x$) over valence region yields nucleon “tensor charge”
  • Calculable on lattice, ab initio QCD calc’s can be compared to exp’t
  • Sets sensitivity scale of low-energy BSM exp’ts with tensor couplings
→ Chiral-odd – must be accessed via a second chiral-odd function

One option: Collins function, a left/right asymmetry in fragmentation process – a TMD process acting in the final state.

Measure azimuthal distribution of pions, e.g., about the jet thrust axis, over broad range of jet ($p_T$, $\eta$), pion ($j_T$, $z$) parameters

Can also probe di-hadron correlations in jets, IFF’s
STAR well-suited for Collins / IFF measurements!

Hermetic coverage at mid-rapidity essential for these “particles within jet” measurements – need precise, unbiased determination of jet thrust axis.

For clean physics interpretation, also need good PID → iTPC will increase FOM by providing better dE/dx resolution, separating pions, kaons, protons

- While $\pi^+ / \pi^-$ are ~symmetric, $K^+ A_{UT}$ is larger than $\pi^+$, but $K^- \approx 0$
- Much higher statistics and better PID available in Runs 22, 24
STAR well-suited for Collins measurements (cont’d)

Precision measurements of Collins effect for pions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ and $500$ GeV

→ Will provide important constraints on evolution of TMDs, plus essential kinematic overlap in $x$-$Q^2$ space with future EIC

Also: can perform similar studies in $p+Au$ collisions, explore propagation and hadronization of polarized quark in nuclear matter
Extensive overlap with kinematic reach of EIC

- Full particle ID: $\pi^{+/-}$, $K^{+/-}$ in 200 and 510 GeV
- Unidentified hadrons: $h^{+/-}$ in 200 and 510 GeV
- Collins and Sivers $x$-$Q^2$ coverage for 510 and 200 GeV

- Forward acceptance with the iTPC comes with full PID
  - Precision measurements as at mid-rapidity, but limited to $x \lesssim 0.2$
  - Only a limited $Q^2$ lever arm between 200 GeV eta=0 and 200 GeV iTPC

- Forward acceptance with the Forward Upgrade only samples $h^{+/-}$
  - Coverage focuses on high $x$ where there are no SIDIS measurements
Impact of the Forward Upgrade: pion $A_N$

New results on the measurement that started it all: forward pion $A_N$

→ Transverse asymmetries for $\pi^0$ are *larger* if pion is isolated, rather than surrounded by other photons

→ Clue towards understanding the dynamics of the phenomena

But similar measurements taken with upgrades will be much richer:

- Enable measurements of $h^{+/−}$ (no PID) in addition to neutral pions
- Isolate particle of interest from nearby charged particles, not just $γ$’s
- Full jet and Collins asymmetries, for both charged and neutral particles
- Can also detect protons in Roman pots, ‘tag’ diffractive event sample
Gluon saturation: forward di-hadrons in p+p and p+Au

Detect two $\pi^0$s in FMS: trigger on high $p_T$ ($q$ in proton), study lower $p_T$ ($g$ in p or Au)

$\rightarrow$ Selection enhanced in low-x gluons from the ‘target’ – saturation region!

$\rightarrow$ Area of away-side peak suppressed in p+Au, width and ‘pedestal’ the same

$\rightarrow$ Suppression grows with increased event activity – first hint of CGC?
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Summary and Outlook

Looking forward (pun intended) to many exciting, high impact results from p+p running at 510 GeV in Run 22, p+p and p+Au at 200 GeV in Run 24

✓ High sampled luminosity, with forward upgrade and enhanced PID at mid-\( \eta \)
✓ Wide range in \( x \) (0.005 – 0.5) covered by combining data at two energies
  → Overlapping regions (same \( x \), different \( Q^2 \)) enable tests of evolution
✓ Precise, high statistics data in kinematic regions that overlap with those of EIC
  → Enables critical experimental studies of factorization breaking, universality in transverse spin phenomena, nuclear PDFs, fragmentation functions
✓ Allows exploration of low-\( x \), non-linear gluon dynamics → onset of saturation?

More broadly: data from these runs will not only address specific, crucial questions on nucleon and nuclear structure, but offer fundamental insights towards our understanding of QCD that will be essential while designing experiments that will maximize the scientific output of the EIC.
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