A Covariance Methodology for ENDF File 7 $S(\alpha,\beta)$ and Thermal Neutron Inelastic Scattering Cross Section Data

Jesse C. Holmes ¹ Ay

Ayman I. Hawari²

Michael L. Zerkle¹

mini-CSEWG

Brookhaven National Laboratory

Upton, NY May 7th – 8th, 2015



Bechtel Marine Propulsion Corporation Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory West Mifflin, PA

North Carolina State University
 Department of Nuclear Engineering
 Raleigh, NC



Motivation

- 1. For systems with a significant thermal neutron population, calculated system response parameters can be very sensitive to the supplied thermal neutron scattering cross section data.
- 2. In these situations, any assessment of the impact of nuclear data uncertainties on system response parameter uncertainties is incomplete without accounting for uncertainties and correlations in the supplied thermal scattering cross section data.
- 3. For inelastic scattering, differential and integral thermal scattering cross sections are typically calculated by numerical integration of the double-differential thermal scattering law, $S(\alpha,\beta)$, tabulated in ENDF File 7.
- 4. Published ENDF File 7 $S(\alpha,\beta)$ data is calculated theoretically based on fundamental scattering physics models. In particular, $S(\alpha,\beta)$ is conventionally calculated based on a supplied excitation mode energy spectrum for the material's interatomic structure.
- 5. Currently, no published ENDF File 7 libraries contain covariance data. Furthermore, no accepted procedure exists for quantifying or representing covariances for theoretically generated $S(\alpha,\beta)$ or for handling the associated differential and integral cross section covariances.



- 1. Assess the (future) requirements for thermal neutron scattering covariance information in nuclear system simulation uncertainty and sensitivity analysis codes.
- 2. In many solids, interaction with phonons (or vibrational modes) is the only consequential mechanism for energy exchange in the neutron scattering process at thermal energies. In this case, the material phonon energy spectrum, $\rho(\varepsilon)$, is typically the fundamental parameter determining $S(\alpha,\beta)$.

Establish a general mathematical formalism for expressing and calculating uncertainties in $\rho(\varepsilon)$, which is a probability density function of the available phonon mode population. These uncertainties must reflect the particular methodology and physics models employed in generating $\rho(\varepsilon)$.

3. Demonstrate the Monte Carlo production of a covariance matrix for $S(\alpha,\beta)$, as well as for associated differential and integral scattering cross sections, by sampling perturbed phonon spectra. Hexagonal graphite is used as an example material for demonstrating the methodology.

Requirements for Thermal Scattering Covariance Data

- Various internal nuclear data library formats are utilized by nuclear system simulation and/or sensitivity and uncertainty analysis codes.
- Regardless of the data format or structure, the differential and integral thermal inelastic scattering cross sections employed are generally determined by some method of integration over ENDF File 7 $S(\alpha,\beta)$.
- In principle, uncertainties and correlations among all tabulated differential and integral cross sections at all incident energies are required for completeness.
- Differential cross sections are coupled in energy and angle. However, for many nuclear engineering applications, $d\sigma(E)/dE'$ is of much greater importance than the angular distribution of scattered neutrons.

$$\frac{d^2\sigma(E)}{d\alpha d\beta} = \frac{Ak_{\rm B}T\sigma_{\rm b}}{4E} S(\alpha,\beta) \leftarrow \rho(\mathcal{E})$$

double-differential cross section

$$\alpha = \frac{E' + E - 2\mu\sqrt{EE'}}{Ak_{\rm B}T} \qquad \beta = \frac{\varepsilon}{k_{\rm B}T}$$

momentum transfer factor

$$\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE'} = \frac{1}{k_{\rm B}T} \frac{d\sigma(E)}{d\beta} = \frac{1}{k_{\rm B}T} \int_{\alpha_{\rm min}(E,\beta,T)}^{\alpha_{\rm max}(E,\beta,T)} \frac{d^2\sigma(E)}{d\alpha d\beta} d\alpha$$

differential cross section in energy

$$\sigma(E) = \int_{\beta_{\min}(E,T)}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha_{\min}(E,\beta,T)}^{\alpha_{\max}(E,\beta,T)} \frac{d^2 \sigma(E)}{d\alpha d\beta} d\alpha d\beta$$

integrated inelastic cross section

Utility of the $S(\alpha,\beta)$ Covariance Matrix

- $S(\alpha,\beta)$ is tabulated over discrete evaluator-defined α and β grids for specified T.
- Let the vector **y** represent the set of all calculated differential and integral cross sections, and let the vector **x** represent the set of all tabulated $S(\alpha,\beta)$ for specified *T*. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{y}_{j} = \frac{Ak_{\mathrm{B}}T\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}}{4E} \sum_{b=b_{\mathrm{min}}(j)}^{b_{\mathrm{max}}} \left[\sum_{a=a_{\mathrm{min}}(b,j)}^{a_{\mathrm{max}}(b,j)} \mathbf{x}_{i(a,b)} \Delta \alpha_{a} \Delta \beta_{b} \right].$$

• The summation limits are determined by the α and β grids and by the physical limits of α and β for particular *j*. The intervals $\Delta \alpha_a$ and $\Delta \beta_b$ are defined by the grids. Linear interpolation is applied as required. For differential cross sections, the summation is over one variable with the appropriate Δ .

 $V_y = M^T V_x M$ defines the covariance matrix for **y** in terms of the covariance matrix for **x** with the terms of the sensitivity matrix **M** given by the constant factors

 $\boldsymbol{M}_{ij} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} = \frac{Ak_{\rm B}T\sigma_{\rm b}}{4E} \Delta \alpha_a \Delta \beta_b \quad \text{(Terms of } \boldsymbol{M} \text{ for non-physical or unused } \boldsymbol{\alpha} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ combinations are ZERO.)}$

• Since the terms of M can be calculated as needed, it is not necessary to store M for specific cross section library formats. Consequently, V_x is the only information required to determine covariances for any set of differential and integral thermal scattering cross section data.

Thermal Scattering Theory

• The double-differential thermal neutron scattering cross section may be written in general form as:

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma(E)}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k'}{k}\right) \left[\sigma_{\rm coh} S(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega) + \sigma_{\rm incoh} S_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega)\right] = \frac{\sigma_{\rm b}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{k'}{k}\right) F(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega)$$
$$F(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega) = S_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega) + \frac{\sigma_{\rm coh}}{\sigma_{\rm b}} S_{\rm d}(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega)$$

ħ

$$S(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega) = S_s(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega) + S_d(\boldsymbol{Q}, \omega)$$
$$\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{k}'$$
$$\omega = (\boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E}') / \boldsymbol{\hbar}$$

Randomly oriented polycrystalline structure applies for most nuclear engineering applications:

$$\Rightarrow \qquad S(Q,\omega) = S_{s}(Q,\omega) + S_{d}(Q,\omega) \\ F(Q,\omega) = S_{s}(Q,\omega) + \frac{\sigma_{coh}}{\sigma_{b}}S_{d}(Q,\omega) \qquad S(\alpha,\beta) = F(Q,\omega) \times k_{B}T \qquad \alpha = \hbar^{2}Q^{2}/2Mk_{B}T \\ \beta = -\omega/k_{B}T$$

The impact of coherent interference on integral scattering cross sections is negligible for many
materials at temperatures of concern, and the incoherent approximation is traditionally applied:

$$S(Q,\omega) \approx S_{\rm s}(Q,\omega) \longrightarrow F(Q,\omega) \approx S_{\rm s}(Q,\omega) \longrightarrow S(\alpha,\beta) = S_{\rm s}(Q,\omega) \times k_{\rm B}T$$

- S_s is a function of only $\rho(\omega)$ and *T*. Since thermal displacements of atoms from their equilibrium positions are generally small, $S(\alpha,\beta)$ may be calculated by a harmonic phonon expansion in terms of $\rho(\varepsilon) = \rho(\omega)/\hbar$.
- In this case, the uncertainty in theoretically calculated $S(\alpha,\beta)$ depends directly on the uncertainty in the supplied phonon energy spectrum $\rho(\varepsilon)$.
- If desired, the coherent interference term may be calculated using dispersion relations and added to $S(\alpha,\beta)$ to adjust for the bias. This has been done successfully for graphite in other works [Hawari et al., PHYSOR-2008].

Example Phonon Energy Spectrum



This is the phonon energy spectrum incorporated in the currently published ENDF/B-VII thermal scattering law evaluation for graphite. It is based on Young et al., "Phonon Spectrum of Graphite," *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **42** (1965).

The spectrum is calculated using a lattice dynamics model with four force constants for perfect hexagonal graphite fitted to thermodynamic data for porous reactor grade graphite.

Properties of the Phonon Energy Spectrum

- Probability density function of the available vibrational mode population in a given material structure.
- Generally defined as a normalized piecewise step function over uniformly distributed energy bins.
- In this case, $\rho(\varepsilon)$ may be described by a set of parameters (or random variables) p_d , where $d = 1 \dots D$, or by the vector **p**.
- For any method of arriving at the phonon energy spectrum (whether based on theory, experiment, or some combination), the features of the spectrum will be distorted to some extent in energy and magnitude with respect to the ideal phonon spectrum.
- In principle, these spectral shape uncertainties may be described by a joint probability density function (which must be estimated by the evaluator) for the parameters p_d .
- Uncertainties in the phonon spectrum will depend on the particular material structure and temperature as well as the physics models and methodology employed to generate it.

Hexagonal Graphite as a Demonstration Material

- Graphite is a layered material with highly anisotropic interatomic forces.
- In the parallel (in-plane) and perpendicular (out-of-plane) directions, graphite has distinct material properties and distinct partial phonon spectra, where $\rho_{tot} = \rho_{\parallel} + \rho_{\perp}$.
- In this work, the phonon spectrum for graphite is produced using the *ab initio* density functional theory code VASP and the lattice dynamics code PHONON.



It is not the intent of this work to produce a full evaluation for graphite with covariance data for ENDF publication.



Directional Properties of the Phonon Spectrum for Graphite

- The total phonon energy spectrum for graphite (left) and each directional partial phonon energy spectrum for graphite (right) calculated with VASP/PHONON in this work.
- For the partial spectra on the right, the in-plane parallel spectrum (blue) is normalized to 2/3 and the outof-plane perpendicular spectrum (black) is normalized to 1/3.
- In the low-energy region, phonon modes perpendicular to the plane are highly dominant. The low-energy perpendicular mode population is heavily influenced by the weak interplanar forces in graphite.



Phonon Scattering Probability

- The phonon energy spectrum maps the distribution of available phonon modes as a function of energy. It does not provide information about the likelihood that a *particular* phonon mode will interact with a scattering neutron (independent of the population density).
- For a phonon mode with energy ε , the thermal average of the phonon occupation number is

 $< n > = \frac{1}{2} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2k_{\mathrm{B}}T}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2k_{\mathrm{B}}T}\right) \right]^{-1}$

- P(ε) = C < n > ρ(ε) gives an estimate of the relative probability that a neutron with incident energy *E* will absorb a phonon with energy ε in a thermal scattering event. This is plotted to the right in red as a function of temperature.
- P(ε) provides a view of the regions of the phonon spectrum that thermal cross sections are expected to be sensitive to and informs an uncertainty analysis that focuses on the physics of the sensitive regions.



Phonon spectrum (black) for hexagonal graphite vs. $P(\varepsilon)$ (red).

Phonon Scattering Probability

- The phonon energy spectrum maps the distribution of available phonon modes as a function of energy. It does not provide information about the likelihood that a *particular* phonon mode will interact with a scattering neutron (independent of the population density).
- For a phonon mode with energy ε, the thermal average of the phonon occupation number is

 $< n > = \frac{1}{2} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2k_{\mathrm{B}}T}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2k_{\mathrm{B}}T}\right) \right]^{-1}$

- P(ε) = C < n > ρ(ε) gives an estimate of the relative probability that a neutron with incident energy *E* will absorb a phonon with energy ε in a thermal scattering event. This is plotted to the right in red as a function of temperature.
- P(ε) provides a view of the regions of the phonon spectrum that thermal cross sections are expected to be sensitive to and informs an uncertainty analysis that focuses on the physics of the sensitive regions.



Phonon spectrum (black) for hexagonal graphite vs. $P(\varepsilon)$ (red).

Phonon Scattering Probability

- The phonon energy spectrum maps the distribution of available phonon modes as a function of energy. It does not provide information about the likelihood that a *particular* phonon mode will interact with a scattering neutron (independent of the population density).
- For a phonon mode with energy ε, the thermal average of the phonon occupation number is

 $< n > = \frac{1}{2} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2k_{\mathrm{B}}T}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2k_{\mathrm{B}}T}\right) \right]^{-1}$

- P(ε) = C < n > ρ(ε) gives an estimate of the relative probability that a neutron with incident energy *E* will absorb a phonon with energy ε in a thermal scattering event. This is plotted to the right in red as a function of temperature.
- P(ε) provides a view of the regions of the phonon spectrum that thermal cross sections are expected to be sensitive to and informs an uncertainty analysis that focuses on the physics of the sensitive regions.



Phonon spectrum (black) for hexagonal graphite vs. $P(\varepsilon)$ (red).

Modeled Sources of Uncertainty in the Graphite Phonon Spectrum

 Statistical uncertainty from 10⁶ random samples of phonon wave vectors in the reciprocal space of the first Brillouin zone.

(top graph)

 Selected parameter-based physics model uncertainty within the VASP/ PHONON simulation process.

(bottom graph)

 Low-energy region uncertainty based on incomplete modeling of interplanar forces.

(next slide)



Uncertainty in the Low-Energy Region of the Phonon Spectrum for Graphite

- The phonon dispersion relations for graphite predicted by VASP using density functional theory match experimental dispersion relations data very well except for very low energy phonons propagating in the out-of-plane direction.
- Density functional theory does not account for Van der Waals forces, and low-energy perpendicular phonon modes are influenced significantly by Van der Waals forces.
- To assess the uncertainty in the low-energy phonon mode population, different sets of experimental dispersion relations data were examined, and the range of low-energy phonon spectra resulting from this experimental data was calculated geometrically and compared to the reference VASP/PHONON result.



Describing a Joint-PDF for the Phonon Spectrum Parameters by Monte Carlo Sampling

- Specific aspects of uncertainties in the shape of $\rho(\varepsilon)$ may be described by one or more reshaping functions that operate, independently or dependently, on any number of the parameters p_d .
- For example, statistical uncertainties could be described by randomly revaluing each p_d according to Gaussian distributions with known standard deviations.
- Bounds may be established for the possible shift of features in energy. This shift may be energy-dependent (e.g., for modeling the effect of uncertainty in lattice constants).
- Alternatively, bounds may be established for the possible variation in magnitude of the phonon spectrum in a
 particular energy region while maintaining features coupled (e.g., when a comparison is made to other
 calculated spectra or experimental data).
- In general, any number of non-redundant reshaping functions may be defined which operate on *p*. Any
 appropriate sampling scheme (e.g., Gaussian or flat) may be used to randomly revalue *p* according to each
 reshaping function.
- After the sequential application of all reshaping functions, the perturbed *p* must be renormalized. This entire
 process constitutes one Monte Carlo trial.
- Applying reshaping functions to a parameterized phonon spectrum allows a geometric description of statistical and systematic uncertainties in $\rho(\varepsilon)$ in the physical context of phonon mode density *regardless of the methodology employed in generating* $\rho(\varepsilon)$.

Uncertainty Calculation Methodology

Flowchart demonstrating the methodology for calculating uncertainties and covariances in the phonon energy spectrum and in differential and integral scattering cross sections.

Calculations for the phonon spectrum are on the left side and calculations for cross sections are on the right side.



Uncertainty Results in the Phonon Spectrum for Graphite

- Reshaping functions are applied to *p* to account for statistical uncertainties, selected VASP/PHONON parameter uncertainties, and uncertainties in the low-energy region of the spectrum resulting primarily from an incomplete description of interplanar forces.
- 500 Monte Carlo trials were carried out, resulting in 500 normalized phonon spectra representing the expected range and probable distribution of spectral shapes for the phonon energy spectrum of graphite.



Correlation Matrix for the Graphite Phonon Spectrum





Uncertainty in $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ for $\alpha = 0.2$

- All results are for the symmetric scattering law, S_{sym}(α,β), conventionally tabulated in ENDF File 7.
- For most materials, the principle of detailed balance implies the relationship $S(\alpha,\beta) = e^{-\beta}S(\alpha,-\beta)$

between upscattering and downscattering processes.

 Therefore, a symmetric scattering law can be defined such that

 $S_{\text{sym}}(\alpha,\beta) = e^{\beta/2}S(\alpha,\beta)$ $S_{\text{sym}}(\alpha,\beta) = S_{\text{sym}}(\alpha,-\beta)$

- This allows the full thermal scattering law to be tabulated in terms of positive β only.
- All plots are at 293.6 K and in terms of energy transfer (eV), instead of β, for more intuitive comparison to ρ(ε).



Correlation Matrix for $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ for $\alpha = 0.2$

eV

9.11E-01 1.39E+00

- The zero-correlation region for high energy transfer (or for high β) is manually set to zero for $S_{svm}(\alpha,\beta) < 1*10^{-10}$ to highlight the extremely low sensitivity of differential and integral cross sections to this region.
- This also demonstrates one method for greatly reducing the $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ covariance data required for storage. The 1*10⁻¹⁰ threshold can be set much higher without significant loss of information.

52E-01 83E-01 91E-01 .48E-02 28E-01 01E-02 55E-02 57E-02 58E-02 04E-01 0.00E+00 5.06E-04 5.06E-03 1.01E-02 1.52E-02 2.53E-02 3.54E-02 4.55E-02 5.57E-02 6.58E-02 8.48E-02 1.04E-01 1.23E-01 1.52E-01 1.83E-01 2.28E-01 2.91E-01 3.54E-01 4.55E-01 6.58E-01

$\alpha = 0.2$

39E+00

54E-01

23E-01

Correlation Gradient 8.00E-01-1.00E+00 6.00E-01-8.00E-01 4.00E-01-6.00E-01 2.00E-01-4.00E-01 0.00E+00-2.00E-01 -2.00E-01-0.00E+00 -4.00E-01--2.00E-01 -6.00E-01--4.00E-01 -8.00E-01--6.00E-01 -1.00E+00--8.00E-01

Uncertainty in $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ for $\beta = 0.4$



Correlation Matrix for $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ for $\beta = 0.4$



Correlation Gradient 8.00E-01-1.00E+00 6.00E-01-8.00E-01 4.00E-01-6.00E-01 2.00E-01-4.00E-01 0.00E+00-2.00E-01 -2.00E-01-0.00E+00 -4.00E-01--2.00E-01 -6.00E-01--4.00E-01 -8.00E-01--6.00E-01

Uncertainties in Integral Inelastic Scattering Cross Sections

 Theoretically calculated integral inelastic thermal scattering cross sections are always very highly correlated.

Any perturbations in the phonon energy spectrum tend to adjust all integral cross sections in the same direction.

 Uncertainties in the integral inelastic cross sections (due to phonon spectrum uncertainties) will always go to zero in the limit of high incident energy.

Integral cross sections always converge to the free cross section in the high-energy limit regardless of the features of the phonon spectrum.

In this case, uncertainty in the nuclear cross section is the only significant remaining uncertainty (typically on the order of 1%).

 Almost all of the integral uncertainty (in this example) is the result of uncertainties in the low-energy region of the phonon spectrum due to the modeling of weak interplanar forces.



Storage Issues for an $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ Covariance Matrix

- For a 100 × 100 α and β grid, the number of elements in the covariance matrix is 10⁸. This is likely to be too large to be of any practical use.
- There are several possible methods for massively reducing the quantity of stored data without suffering significant loss of uncertainty information fidelity at the differential and integral cross section level. Three general approaches will be listed.
 - 1. Similar to the procedure for collapsing fine energy-group covariance data to a coarse-group structure in the resonance energy region, a group averaging procedure may be applied where the $S_{\text{sym}}(\alpha,\beta)$ covariance matrix is subdivided into a coarse block structure with resolution based on magnitude and variation of $S_{\text{sym}}(\alpha,\beta)$. For example, collapsing to a 20 α and 40 β point covariance grid structure would reduce storage requirements by more than 99%. The $S_{\text{sym}}(\alpha,\beta)$ matrix itself is not affected.
 - 2. A low-threshold cutoff for the magnitude of $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ could be defined, below which all covariances are set to be zero and not stored. Integral cross sections have significant sensitivity to only a narrow range of $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ points and are quite insensitive to the majority of $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ points. The full $S_{sym}(\alpha,\beta)$ matrix is needed only for full convergence of the integrated cross sections.
 - 3. The covariance data for $S_{\text{sym}}(\alpha,\beta)$ may be supplied as a covariance matrix for the phonon spectrum, which may then be propagated with a temperature and grid-dependent sensitivity matrix. Additionally, a coarse phonon spectrum bin structure could be used accounting for the magnitude and variation of $\rho(\varepsilon)$ as well as the varying sensitivity to different energy regions.

Summary / Conclusions

- An S(α,β) covariance matrix allows the calculation of uncertainties and correlations (or corvariances) for all secondary neutron energy distributions, coupled energy-angle distributions and integral inelastic cross sections (for any data structure).
- Published ENDF File 7 libraries, by convention, contain $S(\alpha,\beta)$ generated theoretically in the incoherent approximation. In this case, for most materials, the phonon energy spectrum is the fundamental parameter describing the $S(\alpha,\beta)$ scattering law.
- The phonon spectrum is a probability density function that can be described by a set of random variables p_d . A Monte Carlo process of generating perturbed phonon spectra by operating on p_d with reshaping functions allows a geometric description of particular aspects of uncertainty in the phonon spectrum. This allows the calculation of an $S(\alpha,\beta)$ covariance matrix.
- The reshaping function and Monte Carlo processes are general and may be applied to describe any source of uncertainties in the phonon spectrum, regardless of how the spectrum was generated (theoretically or experimentally).
- The success of the procedure will be limited only by how well reshaping functions can be defined to accurately capture the systematic and statistical uncertainties in the spectrum. These will depend on the particular physics of the material structure and on the physics models and methodology utilized to arrive at the spectrum.