Rapidity gap distribution in diffractive deep-inelastic scattering: predictions for future EICs

Anh Dung Le

(CPHT, CNRS, École polytechnique, IP Paris) Based on: arXiv:2103.07724

Presented at DIS-2021

Objectives



Rapidity gap $Y_0 \iff$ Angular gap in detectors



To analyze numerically the distribution of rapidity gaps in diffractive dissociation of a virtual photon off a large nucleus.

\rightarrow Predictions for future EICs

 \rightarrow Check of the applicability of the recently developed picture for diffractive dissociation.





- Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution of the forward elastic amplitude N and inelastic cross-section N_{in} :

$$\partial_Y \mathfrak{T}_r = \int_{r_1} K(r, r_1, r_2) \left[\mathfrak{T}_{r_1} + \mathfrak{T}_{r_2} - \mathfrak{T}_r - \mathfrak{T}_{r_1} \mathfrak{T}_{r_2} \right]$$

<u>Kernel K:</u>

- + Fixed coupling: $K^{fc} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2}$
- + Running coupling:

$$K^{pd} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s(r^2)}{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} \text{ (parent dipole presc.)}$$

$$K^{Bal} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s(r^2)}{2\pi} \left[\frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} + \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s(r_1^2)}{\bar{\alpha}_s(r_2^2)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s(r_2^2)}{\bar{\alpha}_s(r_1^2)} - 1 \right) \right] \text{ (Balitsky presc.)}$$
3

Initial conditions:

 $+ N(r, Y = 0) = 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{r^2 Q_A^2}{4} \ln\left(e + \frac{1}{r^2 \Lambda_{OCD}^2}\right)\right]$

 $+ N_{in}(r, Y = Y_0, Y_0) = 2N(r, Y_0) - N^2(r, Y_0)$

Results

Kinematics accessible at BNL-EIC and LHeC:

- Rapidity: Y = 6, 10 (x $\approx 2x10^{-3}$, 5x10⁻⁵, resp.)
- Photon virtuality: $Q^2 = 1 10 \text{ GeV}^2$

Results (1/3): Rate of diffractive events



Results (2/3): Rapidity-gap distributions



$$\Re^{\gamma*A} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_0} \left(\frac{\sigma_{diff}}{\sigma_{tot}}\right)^{\gamma^*A}$$

 $(\mathbf{Y}_{0}: \mathbf{rapidity gap})$

- Distributions with running coupling rather different from with fixed coupling
- Fixed-coupling distributions reflect the prediction of the partonic model (hammock shape), more obvious at higher rapidities.

Results (3/3): Diffractive mass spectra



$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^*A}} \frac{d\sigma_{diff}^{\gamma^*A}}{dM_X^2} = \frac{\mathcal{R}^{\gamma^*A}}{M_X^2 + Q^2}$$

- Low-mass dominates over high-mass.
- High-mass regime slightly enhanced at higher Q².
- Low-mass regime suppressed at higher Q².

- Diffractive events contribute significantly in considered kinematics at EICs.
- Fixed coupling and running coupling equations lead to different distributions.
- Distribution rather insensitive to running coupling prescription.
- Fixed coupling distributions reflect the partonic model for diffractive dissociation.

 \rightarrow Measurement of rapidity-gap distribution at future EICs is relevant for the microscopic understanding of diffractive dissociation!

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!