

Dark Photons at the EIC

Ross Corliss



Outline

- Motivation
- Channels
- Kinematics
- Monte Carlo Studies
- Ongoing Work

Why a Dark Photon?

- Dark Matter Decay Mechanism?
- Anomalies:



• Because we can write it:



8Be/4He Anomalies

- Signal conflicts with simple charge-coupling model
- Allow particles to have independent couplings:
 - Simple Lagrangian term modified
 - Pion couplings suppressed
- Ratio of proton and neutron couplings no less 'natural' than for Z



Existing Limits and Projections



- In simple Kinetic Mixing Model: $\alpha_D = \epsilon^2 \alpha_{EM}$
- Want to explore the parameter space with purely leptonic couplings as well!
- (But keep the notation and name.)



A' Channels

- Production:
 - ISR (A'-strahlung from e- beam) (m_A < √s))
 - Decay (on-shell A' replaces photon in decay chain) (m_A < parent)
- Final States:
 - e+ e- pair (m_A>2m_e)
 - μ+ μ- pair (m_A>2m_μ, cleaner signal)
 - hadronic pair(s) (messier, harder)
 - invisible (much harder)
 - displaced vertices (cleaner, much harder)







- A' carries large fraction of beam energy -- at large boost, decay products go forward.
- Recoil proton carries little energy

EIC Kinematics

 at 20GeV x 250GeV, CM Boost substantially opens the angle between decay leptons:



EIC Kinematics



Generating Events

- Madgraph4.4 configuration:
 - custom (A',e,e) vertex
 - ignores proton structure xs must be modified for FF, but reaction prefers low Q²
 - ~10TeV e- on fixed proton target, boost to lab frame after generation (20x250 setting)
 - Gen-level cut at 1°<θ_e<179° wrt e- direction in lab (0.001°<θ_e<30° wrt e- beam in p-rest)
 - generate leading order: Signal: ep->epA'->epee for various m_A Irred. Bg: ep->epγ*->epee



MC Scatter Kinematics (signal)

- Can reconstruct Q2 of elastic e*p->ep (or ep->e*p) scatter from proton record
- Scattering angle is not uniquely determined, but both favor small scattering angles.
- Still working on how to apply this correction (esp. for QED background)



MC Decay Kinematics

- Signal e+e- pairs track heuristic kinematics well
- Spectator e- is spread more broadly





MC Decay Kinematics

- Spectator prefers to lose all its momentum, as expected
- A' prefers to carry forward momentum
- Low boost of A' produces relatively low boost e+e- decay pair.





Oct 16, 2020

31.93

4.935

 10^{-10}

 $10^{-\infty}$

Detector Needs

- Mass resolution -- maximize FOM. Intrinsic width very narrow, so window dominated by detector.
- Charge sign reco/PID -- reduce combinatorics, fewer wrong-pairs to deal with
- Coverage -- higher (-) eta accesses lower masses

Detector Smearing

- Quick standalone smearing based on handbook resolutions
- Assume fourvector reconstructed from momentum, neglect particle rest mass
- Perfect charge ID
- No momentum acceptance cut
- Eta cut per defined detectors
- 100% efficiency



Smeared Events

 25GeV A' signal sample shows main features: back-to-back, eta correlated, pT ~balanced



Smeared QED Background

• For BG, add both possible e+e- pairs. Expect similar structures for 'right' pair, no peak. (tridents different)



Reach Calculation

• Significance is signal size compared to fluctuation in irreducible background:

$$S = \frac{\sigma_A L}{\sqrt{\sigma_{QED} L}}$$

• Signal xs scales with coupling (ϵ^2):

$$S = \sigma_{A0} \frac{\alpha_D}{\alpha_{D0}} \sqrt{\frac{L}{\sigma_{QED}}}$$

Reach defined by extrinsic factors and Sig/ \sqrt{Bg} :

$$\alpha_D = S \frac{\alpha_{D0}}{\sqrt{L}} \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{QED}}}{\sigma_{A0}}$$

Optimizing mass window



- Integrate yield in Signal and Bg samples in window of varying size, find local maximum (analytic if flat background)
- Inv Mass from e+ and spectator edoes not have a peak



Repeat for multiple masses



Windows and Yields

• Windows track the gaussian fit, signal acceptance stable over most of the studied mass range



Performance at 100fb⁻¹

- Caveats first:
 - Weighting of some events may be off by more than order of magnitude (FF correction)
 - Only QED background considered
 - Branching ratio to mu, hadrons ignored
 - Resonances ignored
 - Detector complications not considered
- Default coupling set $\alpha_D = 1e-8$
- Performance vs QED bg and zerobg performance limit shown
- Naive model looks very promising across broad range
- Expect gains from cuts
- Expect losses from other backgrounds



Future Work

- MC generator/datasets:
 - expand mass range, different beam configs
 - muons, more efficient cuts, heavy ion beam
 - hadronic couplings, proton structure
 - other backgrounds
- Algorithm
 - explore Sig/ \sqrt{Bg} gains via mild cuts
 - explore displaced vertices
- Migrate to SmearHandbook
 - "free" improvements as detectors take shape

Summary

- Multiple probes desired to explore A' generalized parameter space
- Multiple approaches available at EIC:
 - ISR leptons ~500MeV<mA<~50GeV from kinematics
 - Dalitz decays mA<parent
 - hadronic decays?
- Boosted CM helps in ISR scenario
- Benefits from pid, charge, and resolution -especially in electron-going direction
- First glimpse very promising, but still in development

2.5GeV





Oct 16, 2020

Dark Photons at the EIC





Oct 16, 2020

Dark Photons at the EIC

true e- phi relative to e+ true e- pT vs e+ pT true e- eta vs e+ eta Unsmeared e+e- invariant mass heavy photon long vs transverse r TruePairP ruePairEta hPairMass 3.13 any 2.22 Dev x 1.54 10 10 10 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 5.2 Mass (GeV) 20 25 4.9 5.1 Δ φ (rad) eta e+ Reco A' pT vs pZ smeared e- phi relative to e+ smeared e- pT vs e+ pT smeared e- eta vs e+ eta Smeared e+e- invariant mass eta 3.13 10 ov v 4.7 10 10 10 10 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 40 5.2 Mass (GeV) 10 25 30 pZ $\Delta \phi$ (rad) eta e+



Oct 16, 2020

Dark Photons at the EIC

true e- phi relative to e+ true e- pT vs e+ pT true e- eta vs e+ eta Unsmeared e+e- invariant mass heavy photon long vs transverse n ruePairP ruePairEtas hPairMass eta 3.149 ev x 2.8 10 10 10 10 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 30 pZ 9.5 9.6 9.7 9.8 9.9 10 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 Mass (GeV) 10 15 20 20 Δ φ (rad) eta e+ Reco A' pT vs pZ smeared e- phi relative to e+ smeared e- pT vs e+ pT smeared e- eta vs e+ eta Smeared e+e- invariant mass eta 3.14 15. an v 3.0 Dev x 5.7 Dev v 4.3 10 10 10⁻¹ 30 pZ 9.5 9.6 9.7 9.8 9.9 10 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 Mass (GeV) 15 20 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 40 10 25 20 $\Delta \phi$ (rad) eta e+



Oct 16, 2020

true e- phi relative to e+ true e- pT vs e+ pT true e- eta vs e+ eta Unsmeared e+e- invariant mass heavy photon long vs transverse n ruePairP ruePairEta hPairMass 10 eta 3.14 10 ny 6.52 Dev x 3.70 w × 64 10 IN ANAL 10 14.4 14.6 14.8 15 15.2 15.4 15.6 Mass (GeV) 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 10 20 30 pZ Δ φ (rad) eta e+ smeared e- phi relative to e+ smeared e- pT vs e+ pT Reco A' pT vs pZ Smeared e+e- invariant mass smeared e- eta vs e+ eta eta 3.14 10 0.120 4.2 w x 6.4 10 10 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 20 30 pZ 14.4 14.6 14.8 15 15.2 15.4 15.6 Mass (GeV) 15 25 $\Delta \phi$ (rad) eta e+



Oct 16, 2020

Dark Photons at the EIC

true e- phi relative to e+ true e- pT vs e+ pT true e- eta vs e+ eta Unsmeared e+e- invariant mass heavy photon long vs transverse m ruePairP ueDeltaPl ruePairEta hPairMass eta 3.14 10 10 10 19 19.2 19.4 19.6 19.8 20 20.2 20.4 20.6 20.8 21 Mass (GeV)<u>.................</u>..... 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 30 pZ 6 eta e+ 10 15 20 25 10 20 Δ φ (rad) Reco A' pT vs pZ smeared e- phi relative to e+ smeared e- pT vs e+ pT smeared e- eta vs e+ eta Smeared e+e- invariant mass eta 3.141 10 1 Dev 0.157 4.6 w v 6.8 10 10 10 10 10 10 19 19.2 19.4 19.6 19.8 20 20.2 20.4 20.6 20.8 21 Mass (GeV) 30 pZ 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV) 15 20 25 40 20 $\Delta \phi$ (rad) eta e+



background

Oct 16, 2020

true e- pT vs e+ pT

true e- phi relative to e+ eDeltaPh ntries 226670 lean 3.139 d Dev 0.8203 10 10



true e- eta vs e+ eta

smeared e- eta vs e+ eta





smeared e- pT vs e+ pT

10 15 20 25 30 35 40 pT e+ (GeV)





ruePairF



heavy photon long vs transverse mo



Unsmeared e+e- invariant mass

PairMass









10

10

smeared e- phi relative to e+



Dark Photons at the EIC

background

unsmeared





Oct 16, 2020





Oct 16, 2020

Dark Photons at the EIC

Possible Cuts

• 50GeV signal vs QED background in nearby mass window







smeared sum of daughter etas near mass=50.00 GeV