Physics Working Group Requirements Overview

Nov 19, 2020

A. Dumitru, O. Evdokimov, A. Metz, C. Muñoz Camacho

Miami->DC-> Berkeley: Collaboration with DWG

Document studies/results in the wiki: <u>https://wiki.bnl.gov/eicug/index.php</u>
Prepare updates for the detector matrix!



https://physdiv.jlab.org/DetectorMatrix/

To summarize emerging requirements from each group: update (excel) table.

The "color-coding"/updating scheme is as follows:

- Unchanged cell -- only if this parameter has not yet been considered but it may have an impact on your processes
- Fill green -- if listed performance is sufficient and/or will have no impact on your processes. If known, add an updated performance quantifier of what your measurements could "tolerate" without loss of physics
- Fill red & update the cell -- if improvements are shown necessary

Summary of PWG Detector Requirements @ DC

Documentation:

https://wiki.bnl.gov/eicug/index.php/Yellow_Report_Physics_Common

- Inclusive Reactions (Renee Fatemi, Nobuo Sato, Barak Schmookler)
- <u>Semi-inclusive Reactions</u> (Ralf Seidl, Justin Stevens, Alexey Vladimirov, Anselm Vossen, Bowen Xiao)
- Jets, Heavy Quarks (Leticia Mendez, Brian Page, Frank Petriello, Ernst Sichtermann, Ivan Vitev)
- <u>Exclusive Reactions</u> (Raphaël Dupré, Salvatore Fazio, Tuomas Lappi, Barbara Pasquini, Daria Sokhan)
- <u>Diffractive Reactions & Tagging</u> (Wim Cosyn, Or Hen, Doug Higinbotham, Spencer Klein, Anna Stasto)

Summary of PWG Detector Requirements @ DC

Documentation: https://wiki.bnl.gov/eicug/index.php/Yellow_Report_Physics_Common



Intensive discussions with the DWG

Tension points/emerging constraints:

1) Central detectors optimal on $|\eta| < 3.5$ **2)** PID in $|\eta| < 1$ (*K* and π) up to 6 GeV **3)** HCAL only $\frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 10\%$ for $1 < \eta < 3.5$

Inclusive Reactions



- Central region constraints: loss of phase-space coverage; some loss in resolution in the JB reconstruction method, but no loss of physics capabilities per se.
- 2) PID cut-off at 6 GeV: no major effect
- 3) HCAL resolution: loss in resolution in the JB reconstruction method, but no loss of physics.

tracking, PID, HCAL

Semi-Inclusive Reactions



minimum p_T threshold, PID

- Central region constraints: loss of phase-space coverage (x-range for gluon Sivers); loss in resolution in the JB for charged current or Double Angle for neutral current; visible effects, but no dramatic loss of physics.
- 2) PID cut-off at 6 GeV: modest impact on TMD extraction (model dependent).
- 3) HCAL resolution: loss in resolution in the JB reconstruction method, but no loss of physics.

Jets and Heavy Quarks



- Central region constraints: loss of x-Q² coverage can be partially compensated by beam energy scan;
- PID cut-off at 6 GeV: will severely cut into the z-j_T phase-space for identified hadrons; degradation studies underway; larger impact expected for kaons

3) HCAL resolution: should suffice

vertex, PID, HCAL

Exclusive Reactions



 Central region constraints: loss of exclusivity, impact on resolution/precision;

2) PID cut-off at 6 GeV: n/a

3) HCAL resolution: resolution? large coverage required for jets

neutral detection @ forward, $\pi 0$ and γ for DVCS, HCAL coverage

Diffractive Reactions & Tagging



 Central region constraints: forward losses limit high-x studies (near-threshold production, pentaquarks, high-x gluons); backward losses limit low-x studies (gluons).

PID cut-off at 6 GeV: n/a (PID required in soft sector)

2) HCAL resolution: acceptable

minimim p_T, HCAL