
Paper Reviewing User Guide

Release 0.98

Indico Team

September 12, 2011


CONTENTS

1	Paper reviewing module - referee's guide	1
1.1	Getting started	1
1.2	Assigning papers to the reviewers	2
1.3	Referee area	4

PAPER REVIEWING MODULE - REFEREE'S GUIDE


1.1 Getting started

As a referee, your responsibilities are to assign papers to the reviewers and to give the final judgement for all of the papers that have been assigned to you. You may log in to the system and you will find in the left menu two links under Paper Reviewing, Assign papers and Referee Area.



1.2 Assigning papers to the reviewers


You can assign more than one paper to the same reviewers by selecting them using the check boxes. Depending on the chosen reviewing mode (Content reviewing or Content and layout reviewing) you need to assign different kinds of reviewers.



The screenshot shows the 'Assign papers' interface. At the top, there are tabs for 'Assign papers' and 'Judge as Referee'. Below this, it says 'Show Filters ? Displaying 2 papers'. There are two main sections for assigning reviewers: 'Layout Reviewer:' and 'Content Reviewers:'. Each section has 'Assign', 'Assign per ...', and 'Remove' buttons. Below these is a 'Select: All, None' option. The main part of the interface is a table with columns: Id, Title, Type, Track, Session, Status, Reviewing team, and Deadline. There are two rows of papers, each with a checkbox in the 'Id' column. The first row has Id '0' and Title 'contribution 1'. The second row has Id '1' and Title 'contribution 2'. Below the table, there are again 'Layout Reviewer:' and 'Content Reviewers:' sections with 'Assign', 'Assign per ...', and 'Remove' buttons, and a 'Remove All' button.

Id	Title	Type	Track	Session	Status	Reviewing team	Deadline
<input type="checkbox"/> 0	contribution 1				Not judged yet: Pending layout reviewer decision No content reviewers have decided yet	Referee: Pig Guinea Layout reviewer: No layout reviewer Content reviewers: No content reviewers	31-03-2011
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	contribution 2				Not judged yet: Materials not submitted yet	Referee: Pig Guinea Layout reviewer: No layout reviewer Content reviewers: No content reviewers	31-03-2011

After checking the check boxes and clicking the Assign button, a dialogue will be displayed. Use the popup dialogue to select the reviewer you wish to assign to the selected contributions. If there are pre-defined competences for him, they will be shown next to his name.



Also, you can click on the name of a contribution and assign a reviewing team for it.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	Paper Reviewing
------	----------	------------------	------------------------

Assign Team	Final Judgement	Material to Review	History
--------------------	-----------------	--------------------	---------

The reviewing mode chosen for this conference is: Content and layout reviewing

Assign a Referee [?](#)

Referee: GUINEA, Pig
Deadline 31/03/2011 15:55

Assign a Layout Reviewer [?](#)

Layout reviewer: SYROKA, Leszek Marek

Deadline 23/03/2011 15:55


Assign Content Reviewers [?](#)

Content reviewers: not assigned yet

Choose a content reviewer to assign from the list:

GONZALEZ LOPEZ, Jose Benito

1.3 Referee area



When you access the referee area you will find a list of all the papers that are assigned to you for judgement. You can follow

- if the author of the contribution has submitted the materials for reviewing
- the state for every contribution, whether it is judged by the content reviewers or by the layout reviewer, or by both.

Assign papers		Judge as Referee	
Contributions to judge as Referee			
Id	Title	State	Deadline
0	contribution 1	Not judged yet Layout judged by CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis as: Accept No content reviewers have decided yet	Thu 31 Mar 2011
1	contribution 2	Not judged yet Materials not submitted yet	Thu 31 Mar 2011

Your responsibility as referee will be to give the **final judgement** for the paper: to be corrected, rejected, accepted or one of the customized status added by the Managers. Accessing again the reviewing area (by clicking on the contribution's title) you will be able to see the judgements and the opinions on the layout and the content given by the reviewing team.

In order to submit your final judgement, click on the *Send* button. The authors of the contribution will receive automatically generated e-mail notification containing your comments and judgement, if the managers of the paper reviewing set this option.

[Main](#) | [Material](#) | [Sub Contribution](#) | **[Paper Reviewing](#)**

[Assign Team](#) | [Final Judgement](#) | [Material to Review](#) | [History](#)

The reviewing mode chosen for this conference is: Content and layout reviewing

Layout judgement details [?](#)

Layout judgement: Accept, submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:03
 Criteria Evaluation: Is the background color white?: Agree
 Layout Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis

Content judgement details [?](#)

Content judgement: Accept, submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:05
 Comments: Good content
 Answered questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?: Borderline
 Content Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis

Final Judgement [?](#)

Reviewing questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?
 -3 0 +3

Comments: No comments
[\(edit\)](#)

Judgement: None [\(edit\)](#)

[?](#) Judgement not sent yet

The material which is the subject of reviewing is accessible for the referees from the [Material to Review](#) tab.

[Main](#) | [Material](#) | [Sub Contribution](#) | **[Paper Reviewing](#)**

[Assign Team](#) | [Final Judgement](#) | **[Material to Review](#)** | [History](#)

 [paper.pdf](#)  

The system gives you the opportunity to follow the reviewing history for every contribution. The reviewing history is built when there is more than one review per paper. You can access it from the [History](#) tab right after sending your final judgement.

Main	Material	Sub Contribution	Paper Reviewing
Assign Team	Final Judgement	Material to Review	History

Review 0: [paper.pdf](#)

Final Judgement: To be corrected, *submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:00*
Approved questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?: Weakly Disagree
Referee: GUINEA, Pig

Layout judgement: Accept, *submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 11:59*
Criteria Evaluation: Is the background color white?: Agree
Layout Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis

Content judgement: Accept, *submitted on Tue 15 Mar 2011 at 12:00*
Comments: Good content
Answered questions: Is the paper interesting regarding the field of the conference?: Borderline
Content Reviewer: CASTILLA HERNANDEZ, Alexis
